Committee (STRAC)

Quarterly Meeting –Thursday, February 14, 2013 0900-1430

**Department of Revenue (DOR) 1881 Pierce St. Denver
Conference Room "B"**

<u>Agenda Items</u>

1. **Introduction Previous Meeting Notes (Chairperson Major Barry Brett: Colorado State Patrol)**
   In attendance: CDOT: Glenn Davis, Robin Rocke, David Bourget, Rahim Marandi, Alisa Babler, Charles Meyer, CSP: Major Barry Bratt, DOR: Steve Hooper and Cheri Urder, David DiGiacomo, DPD: Michael Farr, William Copley, Jeffco: Maria D'Andrea, Shannon Felker, Scott Burton, CDPHE: Jan Hart, Tamara Hoxworth, Steve Boylls, APD: Lieutenant Chuck DeShazer and Officer Kevin Deichsel

2. **Previous Meeting Minutes (Hooper)**

   Motion, to accept
   Minutes accepted

3. **MOU**
   - CDOT will send out 2012 MOU and Strategic Plan to Bratt for all STRAC members to review.
   - Bratt suggests not to create a new document if previous document can be used

4. **Clarification of roles (Bratt)**

   What's the role of STRAC and new projects?
   - NHTSA's view 408 -projects that were received were given a conditional approval.
   - NHTSA wants to focus on approving (E-Citation) projects
   - Developing a repository residing in DOR or Judicial in the future
   - STRAC come together with a statewide plan for repository
   - Electronic data from roadside
   - From court to DOR
   - Bratt developed a broad overview of where STRAC is satisfying NHTSA's concerns.
   - NHTSA will accept document provided that further planning addressed throughout the year.
   Where do we see STRAC?
   - Talk to other states to see what they are doing in regards to sharing the data

Grant Submission
- Reviewing short forms
- Make sure information in grant was in alignment of assessment
- Proactive role in grant approval process

E-Citation – Accident Report:

{CDOT: Road conditions, Go location, Quality Control, crash type, Engineering Analysis, FASTER - OTS: Crash reports, FARS, Problem ID
- Helping agency with developing tools
- Loop back to officer

Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment (CDPHE)
- Patient care report/accident report from CDOT/FARS
- Safety aspect {i.e. – seat belts}
- Problem identification report

Department Of Revenue (DOR)
- Clearing house for gathering accident reports and having available to citizen and CDOT
- Administer financial responsibility act
    o Driver
    o Insurance
- Citation
- Data in EARS to look at 3rd party schools
- Adhoc inquiries from research and analysis companies looking for certain vehicles.

Division of Behavioral Health (DBH)
- Customers to collection places
- Viewing data for intervention
- Provide several different reports
- Data contributor
    o For alcohol programs
- Future data user

Colorado Department of Transportation – Office of Transportation Safety (CDOT/OTS)
- Behavioral based
- Work closely with Engineers
- Funding
- Map 21

Federal Government
- Focus on commercial vehicles
- Crash data drivers program
- Data from roadsides
- Provide funding to address issues in Highway Safety Commercial Vehicles
- Receive info from federal database

Colorado Department of Public Safety (CDPS)
- Intelligent policing
- Where crashes are happening, time, day of the week
- Better enforcement

Denver Police
- Identifies top ten locations
- Data collection

Jefferson County (Jeffco)
- CSP handles all crash data
- Go thru DOR for crash reports
- CDOT holder of crash data (should be located in EARS)

DOR
- Provider of custodian records by picking apart other access
- Information is not located in the same place
- Most states data users are data holders
- Most states DOT would be responsible for crash data
- Cleansing and location
- NHTSA would like DOR to be data depository
- Data should be extracted (info from Traffic Records Forum)
- 40% of crash data is held at state DOT and 20% is at DOR (info from Traffic Records Forum)
- CDOT data more engineering data
    o Need to distribute to partners
    o STRAC is helping with strategic vision of data and provided to customers

How can it be done more freely and openly?
- Legislative directive that DOR holds data
- Where are the best users for data?
- STRAC really needs to work hard to develop data user community
- Strongly develop an accessibility user database
- Can be developed through STRAC
- User force will be stronger
- State of Louisiana has a robust place to get data

Ticket: {Loop back to Officer}
- Record
- Tickets are sent to DOR
- Judicial
- Aurora, Denver and Greenwood Village tickets are sent to Municipal Court
- CSP writes a ticket and the ticket is sent to DOR
- If ticket is paid DOR handles
- If ticket is not paid, Judicial handles

- DUI tickets are sent to DOR
- Municipal court reports to DOR
- Municipal and Judicial do not use data collected
- Uses it on the backend for previous driving history
- Have access to driver's record
- Interest in developing charging
- Original charging is what's on your record @ DOR
- Engineering just what adjudicated for

CDOT/OTS
- No

Jeffco
- No

- Develop dataset
- Assist Law Enforcement and bring them to the table
- Fifty percent of grants for 408 funding were E-Citations

5. **Master Plan Discussion Related To Current Grants and STRAC Activities**
   - Greenwood E-Citations
   - Arapahoe County
   - Aurora PD {crash}
   - EMS {crash}
   - Lafayette Police Department {E-Citations}
   - Longmont Police {E-Citations}
   - Total $675,000 in funding
   - $175,000 was rolled over from FY2012

   Applications not funded in 2013
   - Thornton PD

   CDOT Consultant:
   - Three projects
     o Traffic Accident Reporting System (TARS)
     o CDOT EARS Maintenance
       ▪ Plus Solutions Contractor

6. **405 Grant Submissions**
   - Denver PD, $75,000
     o Approved
     o {Aurora PD would be able to piggyback off Denver PD project}

   - Aurora PD - $90,000
     o Approved

- - - ▪ Condition: Must return to STRAC with report on plans
      - Match with schema for County Court
      - Goal: Courts going to electronic format

- Jefferson County - $34,300
  - o Approved
  - o Hire someone to clean data
  - o Purchase software
  - o Would like five years of good dat**a**
    - ▪ Condition: Loop must be closed with CDOT
      - CDOT to start developing partnership with local jurisdictions
      - Ask Jeffco and CDOT to present at STRAC

- Greenwood Village PD - $42,750
  - o Approved
  - o Project will eventually pay for itself

- CDPHE - $95,938
  - o Approved
  - o Funding will continue statewide
  - o Care provided to motor vehicle crashes
  - o More data for patient care and emergency care
  - o State purchased a database (Image-trend)
  - o Funding is used for personnel to maintain information distributed to agencies
    - ▪ Condition: Ask CDPHE to back to STRAC and share EMS Collections

- Boulder County SO - $30,885
  - o Approved
  - o Issue: Where is data going?
    - ▪ Condition: Must have an existing court partner ready to accept data
      - Short and long term plan in electronic format
- Broomfield PD - $9,000
  - o Not approved as of 2/14/2013
  - o Issue: How will it benefit traffic records and traffic safety?
    - ▪ Does STRAC agree with Broomfield's strategic plan?
    - ▪ What does data sharing option mean?
    - ▪ Not traffic related
    - ▪ Potential for future crash data and crash data analysis

- Castle Rock - $8,989
  - o Approved
  - o Submitted late from 2012

- Elbert County S.O. - $41,157
  - o Approved (conditionally)

- o How are tickets getting into County Court?

- Colorado Springs Police Department - $40,352
  - o Not approved as 2/14/2013
  - o Issue: How is CSPD going to measure completeness

- TARS - $49,500
  - o Not approved – 2/14/2013
  - o Need more information from vendor
  - o Have vendor attend STRAC meeting
  - o Issues:
    - Have vendor explain performing measures
    - Explain what product is

- CDOT EARS Maintenance – $25,000
  - o Not approved – 2/14/2013
  - o Need more info and have vendor attend STRAC meeting

7. Next meeting – May 23, 2013 – CDOT HQ

   Meeting agenda items:
   - o MUCC Compliance Forms {different states}
   - o DR 24447 forms
   - o Davis – Bylaw change
   - o Strategic Plan