

CM/GC PRE-CONSTRUCTION RFP QUESTIONS AND ANSWER DETAILS

Project Number	Project Subaccount Number	Date	Region
FBR 0702-385	22712	10/21/2019	3

Resident Engineer	Project Manager	
Grant Anderson (CDOT Resident Engineer)	Sarah Navarro (CDOT – Project Manager)	
Others in Attendance:		
Roberta Lopez (CDOT- Contracting Officer)	Nabil Haddad (CDOT Alternate Delivery)	Patrick Holinda (Colorado Bridge Enterprise)

It is the responsibility of the proposer to verify all information within this document. If proposers use the information in preparing a proposal, it is used at their own risk. Proposers are responsible for all conclusion, deductions, and inference drawn from such information.

Source of Question: Informal Project Briefing

Question: This project will contract both the CM/GC contractor and the designer simultaneously. Suppose an engineering consultant is listed as a sub on the contractor's proposal, and also shows up as a sub on the designer's proposal. Will using the same sub affect selection? Would CDOT allow the same consultant to serve on both the contractor's team and the designer's team?

CDOT Response: For engineering consultants wishing to be consultants on both the CM/GC proposal and the Designer proposal, CDOT has historically followed the Guidelines of Policy Memo 23, where that particular consultant cannot perform more than 20% of the work for either the CM/GC contractor or the Design Consultant.

Source of Question: Informal Project Briefing

Question: Why were the one-on-one informal project briefings offered and held prior to advertisement of the RFP? Other CM/GC projects have offered these one-on-one debriefing meetings after advertisement of the RFP.

CDOT Response: It's a typical industry standard to close down the contract after the release of the RFP to avoid possible perceptions of certain proposers getting an advantage from unique interactions with the owner. Also, and since there is no SOQ shortlisting for CM/GC, CDOT does not know how many proposers need to be accommodated, and having an unlimited amount of one-on-one meetings could be time consuming. However, the CM/GC project delivery method is an ever-changing process, and CDOT will be open to allowing one-on-one meetings in the future if the industry pushes for the change, as long as these one-on-ones are well defined, and fairly provided to all proposers.

PROJECT SHOWING QUESTION AND ANSWER DETAILS

PAGE:

It is the responsibility of the proposer to verify all information within this document. If proposers use the information in preparing a proposal, it is used at their own risk. Proposers are responsible for all conclusion, deductions, and inference drawn from such information.

Source of Question: Informal Project Briefing

Question: Can CDOT offer one-on-one meetings with contractors after the pre-proposal meeting?

CDOT Response: Not at this time. It's a typical industry standard to close down the contract after the release of the RFP to avoid possible perceptions of certain proposers getting an advantage from unique interactions with the owner. Also, and since there is no SOQ shortlisting for CM/GC, CDOT does not know how many proposers need to be accommodated, and having an unlimited amount of one-on-one meetings could be time consuming. However, the CM/GC project delivery method is an ever-changing process, and CDOT will be open to allowing one-on-one meetings in the future if the industry pushes for the change, as long as these one-on-ones are well defined, and fairly provided to all proposers.

Source of Question: Pre-Proposal Meeting

Question: Mondays are difficult for contractors to submit proposals as we are very busy on the first day of the week. Tuesdays and Wednesdays work much better; would it be possible to change the date?

CDOT Response: Yes, CDOT will extend the date for proposal submittals from Monday 11/4/2019 to Tuesday 11/5/2019. The change will be included in Addendum 1 of the RFP.

PROJECT SHOWING QUESTION AND ANSWER DETAILS

PAGE:

It is the responsibility of the proposer to verify all information within this document. If proposers use the information in preparing a proposal, it is used at their own risk. Proposers are responsible for all conclusion, deductions, and inference drawn from such information.

Source of Question: Pre-Proposal Meeting

Question: Is CDOT aware of other adjacent projects that will be in construction the same year this project is schedule for (2021)?

CDOT Response: Projects this far out are not certain at this time. The I-70, Resurfacing MP 202.5 to MP 206 (Frisco to Silverthorne) project was scheduled for construction in 2021, but it is not for certain at this time.

Source of Question:

Question:

CDOT Response:

Source of Question:

Question:

CDOT Response