

CDOT Best Value Request for Proposal Notice to Contractors

Project: {Fed No. *IM 0253-255*, CDOT Code No. *21506*}

I-25 Johnstown to Fort Collins Design Build Project

Package #4: WATERLINE CONSTRUCTION BID PACKAGE

The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) is issuing a Best Value Request for Proposal Notice for this project, for work associated with the WATERLINE CONSTRUCTION BID PACKAGE #4. The selected contractor will become a subcontractor to the prime consultant for this project, the Kraemer – IHC Joint Venture (JV). The contractor that is determined to provide the best value to the taxpayer and the State of Colorado shall be selected to contract for this the project. The Best Value Proposal submittal and Bid Price Submittal must be emailed to the attention of Marci Gray at the following email address: marci.gray@state.co.us, and received no later than 2:00 PM on Friday, November 20th, 2020.

The Solicitation and Award Schedule:

Project Advertisement (Request for Proposals): Monday, October 26th, 2020

Mandatory Pre-proposal Conference (Virtual): Tuesday, November 3rd, 2020 at 2:00 PM (MST)

Optional one-on-one Job Showings: Wednesday, November 4th through Friday, November 6th, 2020

Questions due by: Wednesday, November 11th, 2020

Answers to Questions posted by: Monday, November 16th, 2020

Proposal Due Date: Friday, November 20th, 2020 by 2:00 PM (MST)

Pricing Due Date: Friday, November 20th, 2020 by 2:00 PM (MST)

Award Results Announced: Anticipated on or before Friday, December 11th, 2020

Award of Contract/Issuance of Notice to proceed: Upon finalization of executed subcontract

The **Mandatory Pre-proposal Conference (Virtual)** is scheduled for **Tuesday, November 3rd, 2020 at 2:00 PM (MST)**. For a link to attend the virtual meeting please contact Brandon Simao at 303-688-7500 or BSimao@kraemerna.com.

Best Value Proposal Points of Contact:

All questions regarding this pursuit should be directed to Brandon Simao (JV) at either 303-688-7500 or BSimao@kraemerna.com. Questions are due by Wednesday, November 11th, 2020 by 5:00 PM (MST)

To schedule an optional one-on-one Job Showing please contact Austin Knapp at 720-646-1827 or AKnapp@kraemerna.com.

Project Scope of Work Overview:

As part of this current bid package, CDOT and the JV are soliciting bids for work associated with waterline installation and abandonment in Segments 4 & 5. This work consists of:

- 1) Approx. 3,000 LF of 2" Waterline (East Larimer County Water District)

- 2) Approx. 2,700 LF of 8" Waterline (East Larimer County Water District)
- 3) Approx. 330 LF of 24" Bored Fusible PVC Casing w/ a 12" Carrier (East Larimer County Water District)
- 4) Approx. 570 LF of restrained 24" PVC (Fort Collins/Loveland Water District)
- 5) Various Tap Re-connections
- 6) Two 3" AC Pipe Cut/Cap/Blowoff installs
- 7) Various PVC Waterline Abandonments

For a more detailed list of all work required for the bid package please see other documents corresponding to this bid package (ex. Instructions to Bidders, Plans, Schedule, etc.)

Note: The location of the documents will be identified through CDOT's B2G system at <https://cdot.dbesystem.com/>. Detailed project plans, schedule, bid items, and instructions to bidders can be found by visiting the website link provided.

Best Value Proposal Process:

In order to be considered for this project interested subcontractors must successfully complete the Best Value Proposal process identified in this notice and attend the Mandatory Pre-proposal conference.

Step 1 – Prospective bidders must be prequalified for the bidding level of \$0 to \$3 Million (or above) pursuant to CDOT's bidding rules prior to the date of the bid letting for this project. Prospective bidders not currently prequalified as general contractors must successfully complete a prequalification application through CDOT's B2G system. The web links for CDOT's Bidding Rules and the B2G System are provided below:

Bidding Rules: <https://www.codot.gov/business/bidding/documents/rules-governing-construction-bidding-2-ccr-601-10>

B2G System: <https://cdot.dbesystem.com/>

Step 2 – Upon successful completion of Step 1 prospective Contractors must complete and return the **Best Value Technical Proposal Submittal (Part 1 & Part 2), the Schedule affidavit (Appendix A) submit their Bid Price Proposal (Appendix B), and the DBE Affidavit (Appendix C).**

All requested documentation (**Technical Proposal Part 1, Technical Proposal Part 2, Schedule Affidavit (Appendix A), Bid Price Proposal (Appendix B), DBE Affidavit (Appendix C)**) must be sent to the attention of Marci Gray as per the instructions identified starting on Page 6 of this notice. Proposals received after the due date and time stated in this notice shall be considered non-responsive and will not be considered for evaluation.

The Step 2 submittals will be evaluated, and the results will be posted as defined above in the Solicitation and Award Schedule.

Prospective subcontractors must answer all questions and provide all information requested in the technical proposal submittal requirements in order to be considered.

Responses shall be type written single spaced using no smaller than an 11-point font with 1-inch margins, using 8.5"x11". Of the pages allotted, one page may be 11"x17" paper. The Part 1, Identifiable Submittal Requirement responses shall be no more than six (6) one sided page(s) in length and Part 2, Non-Identifiable Submittal Requirement responses shall be no more six (6) single sided page(s) in length (page limits do not include providing cover or signature pages). The proposal must be sworn to and signed by an authorized agent of the submitting Proposer and notarized.

The Part 2, Non-Identifiable Technical Proposal Evaluation process will be conducted using a blind

evaluation approach where information regarding the Bidder's identity is hidden from evaluation committee during the initial evaluation of the Best Value proposal. The evaluation committee will provide the results from the initial blind evaluation to the Engineering & Contracts Award Officer. Once the initial blind evaluations are completed, the identifiable information from each Bidder's Best Value proposal response will then be given to the evaluation committee for verification and reference check. The evaluation committee will then complete the verification of the Best Value proposal and finalize the results.

Ratings for each of the Best Value proposal questions/criteria will be rated using a Modified Satisficing Rating process as described below:

Green – Response indicates significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths and no significant weaknesses. Minor weaknesses are offset by strengths. There exists a small possibility that, if ultimately selected as the contractor, the minor weaknesses could slightly adversely affect successful project performance.

Yellow – Response indicates significant strengths and/or a number of minor strengths. Minor and significant weaknesses exist that could detract from strengths. While the weaknesses could be improved, minimized, or corrected, it is possible that if ultimately selected as the contractor, the weaknesses could adversely affect successful project performance.

Red – Response indicates weaknesses, significant and minor, which are not offset by significant strengths. No significant strengths and few minor strengths exist. It is probable that if ultimately selected as the contractor, the weaknesses would adversely affect successful project performance.

The terms "Strengths and Weaknesses" as used in the above color ratings are defined as follows:

Strengths: That part of a response that ultimately represents a benefit to the project and is expected to increase the submitter's ability to meet or exceed the project's goals. A minor strength has a slight positive influence on the submitter's ability to meet or exceed the project's goals whereas a significant strength has a considerable positive influence on the submitter's ability to meet or exceed the project's goals.

Weaknesses: That part of a response that detracts from the submitter's ability to meet the project's goals or may result in inefficient or ineffective performance. A minor weakness has a slight negative influence on the submitter's ability to meet project goals whereas a significant weakness has a considerable negative influence on the submitter's ability to meet the project's goals.

CDOT will be the sole judge in determining which proposer has provided Best Value to the Taxpayer. CDOT decisions regarding this proposal will be final.

Step 3 Bid Price Proposal (65 pts)

The Bid Price Proposal score, BPS, will be determined by comparing each firm's sealed Bid Price submittal with the lowest Bid Price Submittal using a ratio. That ratio will then be applied to the Total points available for the Bid Price Submittal to determine the points earned by the Contractor. The lowest Bid Price Submittal will receive the maximum score of 65 points.

Scoring of the Bid Price Submittal will use the following equation:

$$\frac{L_{low}}{L_n} \times Pts_a = Pts_e$$

L_{Low} = Lowest Bid Price Submittal of all Contractors

L_n = Individual Bid Price Submittal for each Contractor

n = Individual Contractor

Pts_a = Total Points available for this section

Pts_e = Points earned by the Contractor rounded* to the nearest half point

*** Calculation will be done to the second decimal point and rounded to the half point**

Example:

CDOT has received 3 Bid Price Submittals for this project.

Contractor A = \$14,000;

Contractor B = \$12,300

Contractor C = \$10,000

The Lowest Bid Price Submittal for this example is:

$$L_{low} = \$10,000$$

$$Pts_a = 65pt$$

Points earned for Contractor A:

$$L_{low} = \$10,000$$

$$L_A = \$14,000$$

$$Pts_a = 65pts$$

$$Pts_e = \frac{\$10,000}{\$14,000} \times 65pts = 46.5pts$$

Points earned for Contractor B:

$$L_{low} = \$10,000$$

$$L_B = \$12,300$$

$$Pts_a = 65pts$$

$$Pts_e = \frac{\$10,000}{\$12,300} \times 65pts = 53.0pts$$

Points earned for Contractor C:

$$L_{low} = \$10,000$$

$$L_C = \$10,000$$

$$Pts_a = 65pts$$

$$Pts_e = \frac{\$10,000}{\$10,000} \times 65pts = 65.0pts$$

Best Value Determination

To determine which contractor has provided the Best Value, CDOT will aggregate the individual scoring components for Technical Proposal Score and Bid Price Proposal Score. The Contractor with the Highest Best Value Score (max 100) will be selected using the guidance in this document.

$$BV = TS + BPS$$

BV = Best Value

TS = Technical Proposal Score

BPS = Bid Price Proposal Score

Best Value Determination Example:

CDOT has received 3 Best Value Submittals for this project. A summary of points earned by each subcontractor is as follows:

Points earned for Contractor A:

General Questions = 28pts

Schedule Narrative = 5pts

TS = 28pts + 5pts = 33pts

BPS = 46.5pts

BV = 33pts + 46.5pts = 79.5pts

Points earned for Contractor B:

General Questions = 27pts

Schedule Narrative = 4pts

TS = 27pts + 4pts = 31pts

BPS = 53.0pts

BV = 31pts + 53pts = 84pts

Points earned for Contractor C:

General Questions = 16pts

Schedule Narrative = 2pts

TS = 16pts + 2pts = 18pts

BPS = 65.0pts

BV = 18pts + 65pts = 83pts

Contractor B has the most points and would be deemed Best Value.

STEP 2 Best Value Technical Proposal Submittal Requirements

Part 1 – Identifiable Contractor Submittal Requirements

Part 1 Instructions: Please provide responses below to the Identifiable Best Value proposal Submittal Requirements for your firm. Responses to Part 1 are to be submitted as a separate pdf file from the non-identifiable Part 2 submittals.

Company Information:

Name of Contractor (Corporation, Partnership, etc.)

Main Address of Contractor

Authorized Agent Point of Contact

Authorized Agent Signature and Date

Phone Number of Authorized Agent Contact

Submittal Requirements:

A. Previous Experience

Provide a list all “Relevant” waterline projects within the Rocky Mountain Region that your company has completed as a contractor since 2012 (Relevant is defined as being similar in scope and complexity as described in the project plans and specifications for CDOT project 21506). Provide the following information for each project:

1. Project number, description, and location.
2. Name and address of owner.
3. Name and current phone number of owner’s project manager.
4. Scope of work performed (identify any similarities to the project proposed under this Best Value Request for Proposal notice).
5. Type of contract (design/bid/build, CMGC, Design Build, etc...).
6. Contract amount as bid and final amount paid.
7. Contract start date, initial completion date, and final completion date.
8. Indicate if Contract was fully completed, terminated for convenience or for cause, and or not completed for any other reason and why.
9. Indicate if the original contract schedule date was achieved. If it was not please explain why. What was done to mitigate completion time issues?

B. Current Contracts

Provide the following information regarding all current projects of similar scope within the Rocky Mountain Region still in progress that your company is under contract for:

1. Project number, description, and location.
2. Name and address of owner.
3. Name and phone number of owner's project manager.
4. Begin date, percent complete, and estimated completion date.
5. Contract amount as bid and dollar amount of uncompleted work.
6. Scope of work being performed (identify any similarities to the project proposed under this special prequalification notice).
7. Indicate if the project will be completed on schedule per the original awarded contract or not? If not, please explain why.
8. Name and work experience of superintendents employed on current contracts.
9. For current projects is your current schedule on track to meet contract completion times? If not what is your mitigation strategy?

C. Proposed Project Organizational Chart

Please provide the proposed project organizational chart with the identifiable information relating to key personnel planned to be used for administration/completion of the project (the project organization chart should correspond with the one provided under Question No. 1 in Step 2 – Part 2).

Note: The responses provided under Part 1 will be used to verify the responses provided under Part 2 for Questions 1 & 2 of this prequalification notice.

D. Proposed Plan and Approach for Meeting DBE Goal

What is your plan and approach to meet the DBE goal? If you are not able to meet the goal, please explain your good faith effort approach taken during the bid process to provide maximum opportunities for DBE commitment on this scope of work.

Part 2 – Non-Identifiable Submittal Requirements

Package Specific Goals:

- **Complete all work within allotted time window, as reflected by JV Completion dates listed below in Schedule Affidavit.**
- **Plan and execute work with focus on providing safe work zone for ingress and egress, minimizing any impact to travelling public, and coordination with the JV, other subcontractors and all 3rd Parties.**
- **Build scope of work with highest quality**

Part 2 Instructions: Please provide responses below to the Non-Identifiable Prequalification Submittal Requirements for your firm. Responses to Part 2 are to be submitted as a separate pdf file from the Identifiable Part 1 submittals. Please avoid providing information in responses for Part 2 that reveal your company's identity. Responses should reflect your understanding of and ability to successfully complete the CDOT project described in this solicitation.

General Questions (30pts):

- 1) Provide your proposed project organizational structure/chart (Titles and Roles only).
- 2) Describe your company's relevant experience in completing similar work
 - Give 3 examples of similar projects in the last eight years.
- 3) Please describe a few specific innovations that would benefit this scope of work in terms of safety, quality, and schedule goals?
- 4) What unique resources does your firm offer for this package?
- 5) Please explain in detail a similar project scope that you were successful in regard to schedule coordination with adjacent work. What was done (process, approach, innovation, etc.) that led to the success?
- 6) Describe a situation where you had to work with the owner to mitigate an unforeseen condition. Include in your example how cost and schedule impacts were minimized. Provide a narrative of the outcome.

Schedule narrative (5pts):

- 7) Describe your team's plan for completing all Work within the time constraints provided.

Note: Responses to Part 2 Question's 1 & 2 will be verified against the associated responses provided under Part 1 of this prequalification notice.

Appendix A - Schedule Affidavit

Proposer: _____

8-W-FCL-011 Complete

Kraemer / IHC Joint Venture

Date of Completion: April 15, 2021

Proposer's Date of Completion: _____

Proposer's Acknowledgement of Mandatory Date of Completion

(Initial)

8-W-CSP-001 Complete

Kraemer / IHC Joint Venture

Date of Completion: January 18, 2021

Proposer's Date of Completion: _____

Proposer's Acknowledgement of Mandatory Date of Completion

(Initial)

8-W-ELCO-007 Complete

Kraemer / IHC Joint Venture

Date of Completion: February 15, 2021

Proposer's Date of Completion: _____

Proposer's Acknowledgement of Mandatory Date of Completion

(Initial)

8-W-ELCO-010 Complete

Kraemer / IHC Joint Venture

Date of Completion: February 12, 2021

Proposer's Date of Completion: _____

Proposer's Acknowledgement of Mandatory Date of Completion

(Initial)

8-W-ELCO-012 Complete

Kraemer / IHC Joint Venture

Date of Completion:

February 22, 2021

Proposer's Date of Completion:

Proposer's Acknowledgement of Mandatory Date of Completion

(Initial)

The Proposer's date shall be the same as or earlier than that identified by the Kraemer/IHC Joint Venture. The Proposer's date shall become the Subcontract Time. "Completion", as referenced above, means that all Work has been completed and approved by the JV and CDOT, the subcontractor has removed all equipment, materials, waste, etc. and has demobilized from the area, and that all punch list work (if required) has been completed and approved by the JV and CDOT.

I, the undersigned, declare that:

1. I am an authorized agent of the Proposer and have the authority to submit this statement on behalf of the Proposer.
2. The Proposer's schedule is genuine, not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, firm, or corporation.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name (Printed): _____

Title: _____

Appendix B - Bid Price Proposal Form

**I-25 Johnstown to Fort Collins Design Build Project
Fed No. IM 0253-255, CDOT No. 21506**

Package #4: Waterline

Contractor submitting: _____

8-W-FCL-011 24" Relocation Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
24" PVC WATERLINE	LF	573		\$ -
24"x24" TEE	EA	2		\$ -
24" BUTTERFLY VALVE AND BOX (BUY AMERICA)	EA	2		\$ -
24" 90 DEGREE BEND W/ MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS	EA	1		\$ -
24" 11.25 DEGREE BEND W/ MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS	EA	1		\$ -
24" 45 DEGREE BEND W/ MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS	EA	1		\$ -
24" PLUG/CAP	EA	2		\$ -
PRESSURE TESTING, BACTERIA SAMPLING, AND TIE OVER	LS	1		\$ -
24" WATERLINE REMOVAL	LF	560		\$ -
REMOVAL OF WATERLINE APPURTENANCE	LS	1		\$ -
POTHOLING	LS	1		\$ -
MOBILIZATION	LS	1		\$ -

8-W-FCL-011 24" Relocation Lump Sum Price:**

\$ -

8-W-CSP-001 Service Line Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
4" TAP SADDLE	EA	1		\$ -
3/4" TYPE K COPPER PIPE	LF	155		\$ -
METER PIT (PURCHASE FROM FCL WATER DISTRICT)	EA	1	\$ 284.00	\$ 284.00
INFRASTRUCTURE PIF (PURCHASE FROM FCL WATER DISTRICT)	LS	1	\$11,304.00	\$ 11,304.00
WATER RESOURCE FEE (PURCHASE FROM FCL WATER DISTRICT)	LS	1	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00
TIE OVER	LS	1		\$ -
ABANDON EXISTING SERVICE LINE	LS	1		\$ -
RESTORE BOX ELDER SANITATION LIFT STATION SURFACING	LS	1		\$ -
POTHOLING	LS	1		\$ -
MOBILIZATION	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-CSP-001 Service Line Lump Sum Price*:				\$ -

8-W-ELCO-007 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
24" C900 DR 14 SLEEVE (BORED/TRENCHED)	LF	345		\$ -
12" C900 DR 18 PVC CARRIER W/ JOINT RESTRAINTS	LF	381		\$ -
12" BUTTERFLY VALVE AND BOX (BUY AMERICA)	EA	2		\$ -
12"X12" TEE	EA	2		\$ -
PRESSURE TESTING, BACTERIA SAMPLING, AND TIE OVER	LS	1		\$ -
POTHOLING	LS	1		\$ -
MOBILIZATION	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-007 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:				\$ -

8-W-ELCO-010 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
2" ASTM D2241 SDR21 WATERLINE	LF	1509		\$ -
2" KUPFERLE BLOWOFF	EA	2		\$ -
2" CAP	EA	2		\$ -
2" GATE VALVE WITH BOX (BUY AMERICA)	EA	5		\$ -
12" X 2" REDUCER OR EQUIVALENT PARTS	EA	2		\$ -
2" WATERLINE BENDS	EA	1		\$ -
SERVICE LINE RECONNECT (ARCHERY RANGE & BOX ELDER SAN)	EA	2		\$ -
METER PIT RESET	EA	1		\$ -
NEW SERVICE LINE TO FUTURE WEIGH STATION BUILDING	LS	1		\$ -
NEW METER PIT/TAPPING FEE (PURCHASE FROM ELCO WATER)	EA	1	\$ 488.00	\$ 488.00
PRESSURE TESTING, BACTERIA SAMPLING, AND TIE OVER	LS	1		\$ -
POTHOLING	LS	1		\$ -
MOBILIZATION	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-010 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:				\$ -

8-W-ELCO-012 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
2" ASTM D2241 SDR21 WATERLINE	LF	1552		\$ -
2" KUPFERLE BLOWOFF	EA	1		\$ -
2" CUT AND CAP	EA	1		\$ -
2" GATE VALVE WITH BOX (BUY AMERICA)	EA	2		\$ -
8" X 2" REDUCER OR EQUIVALENT PARTS	EA	1		\$ -
2" WATERLINE BENDS	EA	6		\$ -
SERVICE LINE RECONNECT (STABLE DRIVE)	EA	1		\$ -
8" C900 DR 18 PVC	LF	2641		\$ -
8" GATE VALVE W/ BOX	EA	4		\$ -
FIRE HYDRANT, TEE, AND GATE VALVE ASSEMBLY	EA	4		\$ -
8" 22.5 DEGREE BEND W/ MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS	EA	2		\$ -
8" 11.25 DEGREE BEND W/ MECHANICAL RESTRAINTS	EA	2		\$ -
12"X8" REDUCER	EA	1		\$ -
RECONNECT 2" PVC (STA 34+53.44)	LS	1		\$ -
2.5" ASTM D2241 SDR 21 WATERLINE	LF	20		\$ -
2.5" 11.25 DEGREE BEND	EA	2		\$ -
2.5" 90 DEGREE BEND	EA	1		\$ -
12" X 2.5" REDUCER OR EQUIVALENT PARTS	EA	1		\$ -
2.5" GATE VALVE W/ BOX	EA	1		\$ -
METER PIT RESET	EA	1		\$ -
NEW SERVICE LINE TO FUTURE WEIGH STATION BUILDING	LS	1		\$ -
NEW METER PIT/TAPPING FEE (PURCHASE FROM ELCO WATER)	EA	1	\$ 488.00	\$ 488.00
REMOVE EXISTING METER PIT	EA	1		\$ -
RESTORE EXISTING CHURCH DRIVEWAY	LS	1		\$ -
RESTORE ACCESS ROAD DRIVEWAY	LS	1		\$ -
PRESSURE TESTING, BACTERIA SAMPLING, AND TIE OVER	LS	1		\$ -
POTHOLING	LS	1		\$ -
MOBILIZATION	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-012 Relocation Lump Sum Price*:				\$ -

WATERLINE ABANDONMENTS (ELCO AND FCL) Lump Sum Price*:

Description	U.M.	QTY	Unit Price	Extended Price
8-W-FCL-006 (AC) CUT, CAP, AND INSTALL BLOWOFF	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-FCL-007 (AC) REMOVE AND DISPOSE OF 3" WATERLINE	LF	87		\$ -
8-W-FCL-007 (AC) CUT, CAP, AND INSTALL BLOWOFF	LS	1		\$ -
FCL AC PIPE ABATEMENT, REMOVAL, AND DISPOSAL	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-015 REMOVE EXISTING SERVICE FROM 4" WATERLINE	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-014 REMOVE EXISTING SERVICE FROM 4" WATERLINE	LS	1		\$ -
8-W-ELCO-016 REMOVE EXISTING SERVICE FROM 4" WATERLINE	LS	1		\$ -
WATERLINE ABANDONMENTS (ELCO AND FCL) Lump Sum Price*:				\$ -

Total Lump Sum Price for the East and West Frontage Road Reconstruction*:**

\$ -

Total Price for all Bid Items written in words*:**

Subcontractor acknowledges that they have received and reviewed Addendum #_____ (subcontractor to fill in blank)

Information on individual responsible for submitting on behalf of contractor:

Name: _____ (printed)

Title: _____

Signature: _____

Notes:

*The purpose of requesting Schedule of Value pricing is to allow for a better understanding of pricing for progress payments. The JV reserves the right to negotiate the distribution of payment between the Schedule of Values if it appears that the submitted distribution is unbalanced and inconsistent with the Schedule of Value item. **Items and quantities will not be measured for payment.**

If additional items are required to complete the work they will not be measured and paid for, and will be considered incidental to the LS price provided

**The Lump Sum Price for each Frontage Road Item must equal the breakdown of the Schedule of Values shown. These two LS prices will be carried forward to the subcontract of the proposer deemed Best Value.

***Total Lump Sum Price is the value of both Frontage Roads added together. This is the price that will be scored for Best Value Selection

Appendix C - Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Affidavit

Proposer: _____

Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) participation will be required for this Integrated Construction Contract. The DBE participation goal for this project is 12%.

This form shall be included along with the Price Proposal at the time of proposal submission. The DBE goal percentage submitted at bid time shall be maintained for the duration of the contract on the total contract amount. Commitments as part of this Affidavit will be incorporated into the subcontract.

Proposer's Total Contract Amount: _____

Proposer's Committed DBE Amount: _____

DBE Participation Percentage: _____

I, the undersigned, declare that:

1. I am an authorized agent of the Proposer and have the authority to submit this statement on behalf of the Proposer.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name (Printed): _____

Title: _____