

**Responses to Questions for Office of Major Projects and Development (OMPD)
Request for Proposal RFP# 14 HAA 1213DW**

January 9, 2014

Below are CDOT Responses to the Questions for OMPD RFP # 14 HAA 1213DW

Question 1:

To clarify, under this solicitation CDOT/OMPD will engage an engineering firm to provide General Program Support for CDOT Innovative Project Delivery. Currently CDOT has several active projects for which it already has selected various engineering firm(s) to provide Program Management Support including the development of procurement documents. These projects are C-470 (I-25 to Kipling), I-70 East and I-25 North (Segments 3 – 8).

Specifically under what criteria (if any) would the engineering firm selected for the OMPD General Program Support be precluded (ineligible) to participate as a member of the construction team for any or all of the above stated project(s)? Accordingly, do you currently envision or plan on the selected firm to be engaged in the kinds of activities that would meet any of these criteria such that it would be precluded from these construction projects?

Response: The Department's objective is to procure projects in a fair, open and competitive manner. Accordingly, any consultant firm that assisted in the development of, or had prior access to the scope of services, the Request for Proposal (RFP) or other solicitation documents for a particular project or phase of a project is ineligible to compete for work on the elements of the project, or project phase for which it developed or had prior access to the documents. In addition any consulting firm that assists the department in the selection process is ineligible to bid for the work on which it assisted.

The Department's intent is for the selected consultant firm to assist the Department through the selection process and a consultant firm that does so is ineligible to compete for such work.

As the consultant firm selected under this RFP will normally participate in the selection process in some form, the consultant firm is ineligible to compete directly or participate on a team competing for such work. Subsequent to project award the consultant firm will be eligible to compete for construction/project services procured separately by the winning proposer or by the CDOT Region that has project oversight; unless the consultant firm was tasked (at the discretion of OMPD/the department) to develop or materially assist in the selection process for procuring the requested services.

As of the release date of this RFP, CDOT has three projects in development for which the successful bidder for this contract is not expected to have access to, develop the procurement documents, or assist in the selection process.

These are C-470 (I-25 to Kipling), I-70 East and I-25 North (Segments 3-8).

Therefore the consultant selected under this RFP would be eligible to compete on the design/construction bids for these projects, and to compete for construction/ project services which may be procured by the winning proposer or the CDOT Region with project oversight, as long as the successful firm did not assist in the development of, or have prior access to: the scope of services, the Request for Proposal (RFP) or other solicitation documents for a particular project

**Responses to Questions for Office of Major Projects and Development (OMPD)
Request for Proposal RFP# 14 HAA 1213DW**

Question 2:

On page 25 In Attachment I – FY2014 FY15 OMPD Program Anticipated Projects. Please clarify the intent of Attachment I and the three projects listed. The first project listed is C-470 Express Lanes, I-25 to Kipling. Is this the correct project or should the project be C-470, Kipling to I-70?

Response: The intent of Attachment I was to list the projects that CDOT currently has in active development. C-470 Express Lanes, I-25 to Kipling is correct.

Question 3

Are Resumes & descriptions of recent relevant projects included in the 20 page limit?

Response: Relevant Project narratives shall be included in the 2 page cover letter or 20 page RFP submittal. CDOT has elected to allow for an Appendix containing resumes of your proposed team's "key personnel" 6 page limit on Appendix.

Question 4

Do the font requirements apply to captions and tables?

Response: Yes

Question 5

How does 11" x 17" paper count against the page limit?

Response: 11" x 17" page would count as two (2) pages against the 20 page limit.

Question 6

Page 22 of the RFP states that the 12 percent UDBE goal includes both DBE and ESB firms. Is that correct?

Response: Yes

Question 7

Page 20 of the RFP If a firm has performed work as a prime or sub-consultant on the C-470 I-25 to Kipling project, would this present a conflict of interest?

See Response to Question #1 and review page 20 of RFP

Question 8

Confirm if the evaluation sheet on page 24 will be used as scoring or if the standard scoring sheet that was sent along with the RFP will be used in scoring the proposals, or if a combination of the two will be used.

Response: Evaluation sheet on page 24 will be used

Question 9

Will any appendices such as resumes be allowed in addition to the 20 page limit?

See Response to Question # 3