Questions & Answers - 1

Solicitation
Buying Organization

R1092123 - R1 PS Colorado Blvd. BRT NEPA & Preliminary Design

CDOT CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING SERVICES

Onestion: D. Approach Page Requirements RFP Pages 8 & 10. Approach Section (5-page limit - 8 1/2 x 11 paper + optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper) & The team may submit supplemental materials/exhibits on 2 pages of 11 x 17 paper (nne-sided) as part of the D. Approach section. This is optional. Please confirm that the "+ optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper" are in addition to the 5 page limit. Answer: The optional pages are in addition to the 5-page limit. 5 pages + 2 optional. Og Question: RFP Clarification Please clarify and confirm the page limit in the Approach section: 3. Approach Section (5-page limit - 8 1/2 x 11 paper + optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper) Are the optional two 11x17 pages in addition to the 5-page limit. 5 pages + 2 optional. Og Question: RFP Clarification In Section 7 of the RFP SOW (on page 33) it is stated that, "VISSIM and Synchro shall be used to analyze study intersection and person throughput." However, in the third paragraph on the page the text says, the Consultant shall use micro simulation software package (i.e. CORSIM), VISSIM, Dynasmart-P, or others as approved by CDOT1 to evaluate the operations of the entire roadway network" For clarification in Section 7 or en other comparable software packages permitted. The proposal should recommend a strategy to get the best possible traffic analysis for the project. Question: RFP Clarification In Section 3 Item I of the RFP (on page 4) it is stated that "the firm that performed final design services and any consultants (prime or sub) learn members that performed more than 20% of the work will not be allowed to submit a proposal in construction management services unless the Chief Engineer has waived the policy for the project." Please confirm that this restriction does not apply to this RFP as no final design services are being completed as part of the SOW. Answer: This is correct, the restriction does not apply to this RFP. Question: RFP Clarification In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of	No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Please clarify and confirm the page limit - 8 1/2 x 11 paper + optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper) Are the optional two 11x17 pages in addition to the 5-pages or to be counted as part of the 5-page limit? Answer: The optional pages are in addition to the 5-page limit. 5 pages + 2 optional. Question: RFP Clarification In Section 7 of the RFP SOW (on page 33) it is stated that, "VISSIM and Synchro shall be used to analyze study intersection and person throughput." However, in the third paragraph on the page the text says, the Consultant shall use micro simulation software package (i.e. CORSIM, VISSIM, Dynasmart-P, or others as approved by CDOT) to evaluate the operations of the entire roadway network" For clarification, is the Consultant team required to use VISSIM and Synchro for the microsimulation analysis, or are other comparable software packages permitted? Answer: Other comparable software packages are permitted. The proposal should recommend a strategy to get the best possible traffic analysis for the project. Question: RFP Clarification In Section 3 Item I of the RFP (on page 4) it is stated that "the firm that performed final design services and any consultants (prime or sub) team members that performed more than 20% of the work will not be allowed to submit a proposal for construction management services unless the Chief Engineer has waived the policy for the project." Please confirm that this restriction does not apply to this RFP as no final design services are being completed as part of the SOW. Answer: This is correct, the restriction does not apply to this RFP. Q5 Question: RFP Clarification In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of this corridor for oore BRT service is £ 40th Ave, at the 40th and Colorado Station. The southern boundary is the Colorado Station at Evans and Colorado Blatk, Additional service may be expanded north to the 1-25 and Vasquez Blvd. interchange and/or south to US 285/Hampden Ave, if ridership projections warrant considera	Q1	RFP Pages 8 & 10: Approach Section (5-page limit - 8 1/2 x 11 paper + optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper) & The team may submit supplemental materials/exhibits on 2 pages of 11 x 17 paper (one-sided) as part of the D. Approach section. This is optional. Please confirm that the "+ optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper" are in addition to the 5 page limit.	09/22/2023
In Section 7 of the RFP SOW (on page 33) it is stated that, "VISSIM and Synchro shall be used to analyze study intersection and person throughput." However, in the third paragraph on the page the text says, "the Consultant shall use micro simulation software package (i.e. CORSIM, VISSIM, Dynasmart-P, or others as approved by CDOT) to evaluate the operations of the entire roadway network" For clarification, is the Consultant team required to use VISSIM and Synchro for the microsimulation analysis, or are other comparable software packages permitted? Answer: Other comparable software packages are permitted. The proposal should recommend a strategy to get the best possible traffic analysis for the project. Q4 Question: RFP Clarification In Section 3 Item I of the RFP (on page 4) it is stated that "the firm that performed final design services and any consultants (prime or sub) team members that performed more than 20% of the work will not be allowed to submit a proposal for construction management services unless the Chief Engineer has waived the policy for the project." Please confirm that this restriction does not apply to this RFP as no final design services are being completed as part of the SOW. Answer: This is correct, the restriction does not apply to this RFP. Q5 Question: RFP Clarification In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of this corridor for core BRT service is E 40th Ave. at the 40th and Colorado Station. The southern boundary is the Colorado Station at Evans and Colorado Blvd. Additional service may be expanded north to the I-25 and Vasquez Blvd. interchange and/or south to US 285/Hampden Ave. if ridership projections warrant consideration." Please confirm that the additional service limits to the north are I-270 and Vasquez Blvd instead of I-25 and Vasquez Blvd.	Q2	Please clarify and confirm the page limits in the Approach section: 3. Approach Section (5-page limit - 8 1/2 x 11 paper + optional 2 pages 11 x 17 paper) Are the optional two 11x17 pages in addition to the 5-pages or to be counted as part of the 5-page limit?	09/26/2023
In Section 3 Item I of the RFP (on page 4) it is stated that "the firm that performed final design services and any consultants (prime or sub) team members that performed more than 20% of the work will not be allowed to submit a proposal for construction management services unless the Chief Engineer has waived the policy for the project." Please confirm that this restriction does not apply to this RFP as no final design services are being completed as part of the SOW. Answer: This is correct, the restriction does not apply to this RFP. Q5 Question: RFP Clarification 09/28/2023 In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of this corridor for core BRT service is E 40th Ave. at the 40th and Colorado Station. The southern boundary is the Colorado Station at Evans and Colorado Blvd. Additional service may be expanded north to the I-25 and Vasquez Blvd. interchange and/or south to US 285/Hampden Ave. if ridership projections warrant consideration." Please confirm that the additional service limits to the north are I-270 and Vasquez Blvd instead of I-25 and Vasquez Blvd.	Q3	In Section 7 of the RFP SOW (on page 33) it is stated that, "VISSIM and Synchro shall be used to analyze study intersection and person throughput." However, in the third paragraph on the page the text says, "the Consultant shall use micro simulation software package (i.e. CORSIM, VISSIM, Dynasmart-P, or others as approved by CDOT) to evaluate the operations of the entire roadway network" For clarification, is the Consultant team required to use VISSIM and Synchro for the microsimulation analysis, or are other comparable software packages permitted? Answer: Other comparable software packages are permitted. The proposal should recommend a	09/28/2023
In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of this corridor for core BRT service is E 40th Ave. at the 40th and Colorado Station. The southern boundary is the Colorado Station at Evans and Colorado Blvd. Additional service may be expanded north to the I-25 and Vasquez Blvd. interchange and/or south to US 285/Hampden Ave. if ridership projections warrant consideration." Please confirm that the additional service limits to the north are I-270 and Vasquez Blvd instead of I-25 and Vasquez Blvd.	Q4	In Section 3 Item I of the RFP (on page 4) it is stated that "the firm that performed final design services and any consultants (prime or sub) team members that performed more than 20% of the work will not be allowed to submit a proposal for construction management services unless the Chief Engineer has waived the policy for the project." Please confirm that this restriction does not apply to this RFP as no final design services are being completed as part of the SOW.	09/28/2023
·	Q5	In Section 1.2 of the RFP SOW (on page 3), it is stated that "The northern boundary of this corridor for core BRT service is E 40th Ave. at the 40th and Colorado Station. The southern boundary is the Colorado Station at Evans and Colorado Blvd. Additional service may be expanded north to the I-25 and Vasquez Blvd. interchange and/or south to US 285/Hampden Ave. if ridership projections warrant consideration." Please confirm that the additional service limits to the north are I-270 and Vasquez Blvd instead of I-25 and Vasquez Blvd.	09/28/2023

No	Question/Answer	Question Date
Q6	Question: RFP Clarification In Section 2.4 of the RFP SOW (on page 9), it is stated that "The communication plan shall also specify the creation of an online hub where information can be shared across agencies." Please describe the requirements for the online communication hub, including whether the consultant or CDOT is expected to host the online hub. Answer: CDOT does not expect the proposal to define this component of the communication plan and requirements will be negotiated with the selected consultant. The proposal may include recommendations on this deliverable.	09/28/2023
Q7	Question: Proposal Instructions 1.6. Proposal Instructions: C. Project Team and Firm Capability, b) Firm Capability, (2) Include the firm's relevant experience and accomplishments as a prime consultant that were not listed in the project team section. a. Are we allowed to provide additional information in this section even if the projects had been mentioned in the previous section describing our project team? b. Are the projects listed in this section only the Prime firm's projects where they were the Prim firm, or can we include projects that any team member was the Prime firm? Answer: Yes, information regarding a project that was included in the "Project Team" section may also be included in the "Firm Capability" section, as that information relates to the overall firm/team in addition to an individual team member. b. If a team member worked on a relevant project with another firm and brings expertise from that project to this proposal then that may be included.	09/28/2023
Q8	Question: Proposal Instructions 2.6. Proposal Instructions: C. Project Team and Firm Capability, b) Firm Capability, (4) Describe how the firm will support their field personnel. a. Since this project will not have dedicated field personnel, is this question intended to seek info about individuals who might occasionally visit the study area, or was it accidentally included in this section? Answer: This answer may address survey, utilities, and/or traffic data collection staff as necessary.	09/28/2023