## CP 52, HMA Mix Design - Submittal Checklist

	Project No.:  CDOT Mix Design No.:	Mix Design Packago	Date Received
HMA Supplier Name:		Materials Sample	
Н	IMA Mix Design Company Name:	Material Sent to Central Lal	
	Contractor Mix Design No.:	Results from Central Lal	 D:
	Plant Location:	Mix Design Approva	l:
	HMA Grading and Gyrations:	3 11	
	PG Grade:		
	Item No:		
	PE Stamp Name:	Included Missing N/	<u>A</u> Date Received
3.2	One copy of Asphalt Mix Design on CDOT Form #429		
	Stamped by a registered Professional Engineer in the State of Colorado		
	Cover Letter describing asphalt mix design stamped by PE		
	Microsoft® Excel® electronic version of the CDOT Form #429		]
4.2	Cover Letter		J
	<ul> <li>Laboratory Name &amp; Address</li> </ul>		
	Suppliers Name & Address		
	Suppliers Unique Mix Design Number		
	Date of Batch Trial Testing		
	Source of all Mix Design Components		
	• Stamped and Signed by a Professional Engineer Registered in Colorado		]
.3 (1)	Aggregates Testing (Each Stockpile)		
	A. Source		_
	B. Gradation (CP 31) (at least the 10 most current samples, during production)		
	C. Specific Gravity (CP-L 4102 & AASHTO T 85) (3 most current samples, during prod.)		
	D. Atterburg Limits (AASHTO T90)		
	E. Los Angeles Abrasion (AASHTO T 96)		
	F. Statistical Data for Apparent SpG and Bulk SpG		
.3 (2)	Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) (Source and Statistical Data - at least 10 samples)		
	A. Asphalt Binder Content (AASHTO T-164, Method A or B, or CP-L 5120)		
	B. RAP Aggregate Gradation (CP 31)		
	C. Effective Specific Gravity (CP 51, Method B)		
	D. Uniformity Calculations to include Binder Content and Aggregate Gradation		
.3 (3)	Reclaimed Asphalt Shingles (RAS) (Source and Statistical Data - at least 10 samples)		
	A. Asphalt Binder Content (AASHTO T-164, Method A or B, or CP-L 5120)		
	B. RAS Aggregate Gradation (AASHTO PP 53)		
	C. Effective Specific Gravity (AASHTO PP 53)		
	D. Uniformity Calc. for RAS, to includes Grad., Binder Content and % passing #200		

E. Copy of RAS QC Plan

4 2 (4)	0 1-1	I A more made. Donor matter	<u>Included</u>	Missing	N/A	Date Received
4.3 (4)		d Aggregate Properties:				
	Α.	Percentage of each aggregate used				
	В.	Combined aggregate gradation w and w/o RAP				-
	C.	Sand Equivalent				
	D.	Fine and Coarse Aggregate Bulk Specfic Gravity				-
	E.	Fine Aggregate Angularity				
	F.	Combined Aggregate, Apparent and Bulk Specific Gravity				
	G.	Fractured Faces				
	Н.	Micro-Deval (CP-L 4211)				
	I.	Effective Specific Gravity				
4.3 (5)	Source ar	nd Grade of Binder (from APL)				
		cific Gravity				
	'	,				
4.3 (6)	Hydrated	Lime (APL)				
(-)	•	e Supplier & Location				
	Liiii	c supplier a Location				-
4.3 (7)	Namo and	d percentage of each additive				
4.5 (7)	ivanie and	percentage or each additive				
4.3 (8)	For each	Asphalt Content Tested:				
4.3 (0)	A.	Voids in Mineral Aggregate (VMA) @ N <sub>des</sub>				
						-
	В.	Dust to Asphalt Ratio				
	C.	Percent Voids Filled (VFA) @ N <sub>des</sub>		$\vdash$		
	D.	Hveem Stability at N <sub>des</sub> (S & SX mixes only)				-
	E.	Maximum Theoretical Specific Gravity				
	F.	Bulk Specific Gravity @ N <sub>des</sub>				
	G.	Air voids, Voids in Total Mix (VTM) @ N <sub>des</sub>				
	0	Constitution Attacked to MAAA and AVEA on Tabel Available Constant				
4.3 (9)	Graphs of	f Stability, Air Voids, VMA, and VFA vs Total Asphalt Content				
	1 - 44	and wat/down to notice at an about the contract Countract				
4.3 (10)	Lottman	and wet/dry tensile strength at optimum Asphalt Content				
	0.45	and the same and any May Density Line O Ch	ul Die			
4.3 (11)	0.45 pow	er plot of the prop. comb. agg. grad. w/ Max Density Line & Ct	II Pts			
4.0 (4.0)						
4.3 (12)		ditional Information				
	Α.	Bulk Specific Gravity of the Coarse Aggregate Fraction				-
	В.	Unit weight of the Coarse Aggregate Fraction in dry rodded con	dition			
	C.	Draindown test results (At production temps)				
	D.	Mineral filler analysis				
4.3 (12)	Warm Mix	Asphalt (				
	Α.	Contractor WMA Design Considerations				
		i. Summary of WMA design vs HMA design				
		ii. WMA deviation from CDOT Design				
	В.	WMA Production Considerations				
		i. Summary Equip and Plant requirements				
		ii. Property Differences Design to WMA prod	duction			
		iii. If WMA produced on project fails mixture	e verification			
	C.	WMA Contacts				
		i. WMA product manufacture representativ	e			-
		ii. WMA contact during production.				-
		J r				-