ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

APMPY	Accidents per Mile per Year
ACHP	Advisory Council on Historic Preservation
ABC	Aggregate Base Course
AASHTO	American Association of State Highway Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
AADT	Average Annual Daily Traffic
ADT	Average Daily Traffic
BGEPA	Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act
BMPs	Best Management Practices
BCC	Birds of Conservation Concern
BA	Biological Assessment
BLM	Bureau of Land Management
CWA	Clean Water Act
CD	Collector/Distributor
CDPHE	Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment
CDOT	Colorado Department of Transportation
CNHP	Colorado Natural Heritage Program
CPW	Colorado Parks and Wildlife
CORIS	Colorado Roadway Information System
CBC	Concrete Box Culvert
CMF	Crash Modification Factor
cfs	Cubic Feet per Second
cy	Cubic Yards
EA	Environmental Assessment
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
EDB	Extended Detention Basin
FPPA	Farmland Protection Policy Act
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency

FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
fps	Feet per Second
FIR	Field Inspection Review
FEIS	Final Environmental Impact Statement
GPS	Global Position System
GFREG	Growth Fund Real Estate Group
HSM	Highway Safety Manual
HMA	Hot Mix Asphalt
HDS	Hydrodynamic Separators
LEDPA	Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative
LOS	Level of Service
LOSS	Level of Service of Safety
MSE	Mechanically Stabilized Earth
MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
MBTA	Migratory Bird Treaty Act
MP	Milepost
MS4	Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NHPA	National Historic Preservation Act
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRCS	Natural Resource Conservation Service
OHWM	Ordinary High Water Mark
OW	Outstanding Water
PE	Permanent Easement
PLDs	Porous Landscape Detention Ponds
PDO	Property Damage Only
ROD	Record of Decision
RGM	Revised G Modified
RPW	Relatively Permanent Water
RAMP	Responsible Acceleration of Maintenance and Partnerships

ROW	Right-of-Way
RSA	Road Safety Audit
SPF	Safety Performance Function
SPUI	Single Point Urban Interchange
SME	SME Environmental
SWFL	Southwestern Willow Flycatcher
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SSD	Stopping Sight Distance
SFEIS	Supplemental Final Environmental Impact Statement
TCE	Temporary Construction Easement
TNW	Traditional Navigable Water
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
URA	The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (Uniform Act)
USACE	US Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	US Department of Agriculture
USDI	US Department of the Interior
USFWS	US Fish and Wildlife Service
VHT	Vehicle Hours of Travel
VMT	Vehicle Miles of Travel
vpd	Vehicles per Day
WQCV	Water Quality Capture Volume
WAC	Weighted Accident Concentration

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1-1

1.1 PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY 1-1
1.2 NEED TO BE INDEPENDENT 1-2
1.3 ALTERNATIVES STUDIED 1-2
1.4 RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVE 1-2

2 INTRODUCTION 2-1

2.1 BRIEF PROJECT HISTORY 2-1
2.1.1 *2006 US 160 Durango to Bayfield EIS and Record of Decision* 2-1
2.1.2 *Supplemental EIS/Section 4(f) Evaluation* 2-2
2.1.3 *Independent Alternatives Analysis* 2-5
2.2 SCOPE OF THE INDEPENDENT ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS 2-5
2.2.1 *No-Action Alternative* 2-6
2.2.2 *On-Alignment Alternatives* 2-6
2.2.3 *Off-Alignment Alternatives* 2-6
2.2.4 *Study/Analysis Process* 2-6
2.3 STAKEHOLDER INVOLVEMENT 2-7

3 TRAFFIC 3-1

3.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS 3-1
3.1.1 *Data Collection* 3-1
3.1.2 *Operations Analysis* 3-5
3.2 FUTURE CONDITIONS (2035) 3-8
3.2.1 *2035 Traffic Volumes* 3-9
3.2.2 *Operations Analysis* 3-13
3.3 SUMMARY 3-23
3.4 WORKS CITED 3-23

4 SAFETY ANALYSIS 4-1

4.1 EXISTING SAFETY SUMMARY 4-2
4.1.1 *US 160: MP 88.00 to MP 92.00* 4-2
4.1.2 *SH 172: MP 23.00 to MP 24.50* 4-8
4.1.3 *US 550: MP 15.00 to MP 16.56* 4-9
4.2 FUTURE SITE CONDITIONS 4-13
4.2.1 *The Highway Safety Manual Predictive Method* 4-13
4.3 SUMMARY 4-18
4.4 WORKS CITED 4-19

5 DESIGN CRITERIA 5-1

5.1 DESIGN SPEED 5-1
5.1.1 *US 550* 5-1
5.1.2 *CR 220* 5-2
5.2 FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION 5-2
5.3 DRAINAGE/WATER QUALITY 5-4

5.3.1 *Roadway Drainage Design Criteria* 5-4
5.3.2 *Hydrologic Design Criteria* 5-5
5.3.3 *Wildlife Crossings* 5-6
5.3.4 *Floodplains* 5-6
5.3.5 *MS4 Requirements* 5-6
5.4 TYPICAL SECTIONS 5-7
5.4.1 *US 550* 5-7
5.4.2 *R5 Interchange Ramps* 5-8
5.4.3 *CR 220 and Frontage Road* 5-8
5.5 WORKS CITED 5-9
LIST OF EXHIBITS
Exhibit 5-1: US 550 with Divided Median
Exhibit5-2: US 550 Barrier Separated Median

6 ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS 6-1

6.1 R5 ALIGNMENT 6-1
6.1.1 *Background* 6-1
6.1.2 *Horizontal and Vertical Alignment* 6-3
6.1.3 *R5 Interchange* 6-7
6.1.4 *Drainage Design and Water Quality* 6-13
6.1.5 *Bridges* 6-16
6.1.6 *Geotechnical Considerations* 6-19
6.1.7 *Construction Phasing and Constructability* 6-21
6.1.8 *R5 Right-of-Way* 6-27
6.1.9 *Purpose and Need* 6-28
6.1.10 *Estimated Construction Cost* 6-29
6.2 RGM ALIGNMENT 6-29
6.2.1 *Background* 6-29
6.2.2 *Horizontal and Vertical Alignment* 6-30
6.2.3 *RGM Interchange* 6-32
6.2.4 *Drainage Design and Water Quality* 6-33
6.2.5 *RGM Bridges* 6-36
6.2.6 *Geotechnical Considerations* 6-38
6.2.7 *Construction Phasing and Constructability* 6-39
6.2.8 *Right-of-Way* 6-40
6.2.9 *Purpose and Need* 6-41
6.2.10 *Estimated Construction Cost* 6-42
6.3 RGM6 6-42
6.3.1 *Background* 6-42
6.3.2 *Horizontal and Vertical Alignment* 6-49
6.3.3 *RGM6 Interchange* 6-51
6.3.4 *Drainage Design and Water Quality* 6-54
6.3.5 *RGM6 Bridges* 6-58
6.3.6 *Geotechnical Considerations* 6-59
6.3.7 *Construction Phasing and Constructability* 6-60
6.3.8 *Right-of-Way* 6-61

6.3.9 *Purpose and Need* 6-62
6.3.10 *Estimated Construction Cost* 6-62
6.4 ENGINEERING AND STAKEHOLDER COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES 6-63
6.5 WORKS CITED 6-67

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 6-1: R5, RGM, RGM6 Alternatives
Exhibit 6-2: Alternative R5
Exhibit 6-2.1: Alternative R5 vs. RPE Alignment
Exhibit 6-3: Proposal Concept for R5 Interchange
Exhibit 6-4: Concept A - Modified Diamond
Exhibit 6-5: Concept B - Compact Offset Diamond
Exhibit 6-6: Concept C - Compact Offset Diamond with Braided Ramps
Exhibit 6-7: Concept D - Folded Tight Diamond
Exhibit 6-8: Concept E – Directional
Exhibit 6-9: H1.1
Exhibit 6-10: H1.2
Exhibit 6-11: R5 Interchange West Ramps
Exhibit6-12: R5 Interchange East Ramps
Exhibit 6-13: R5 Phase 1
Exhibit 6-14:R5 Phase 2
Exhibit 6-15: R5 Phase 3
Exhibit 6-16 R5 Phase 4
Exhibit 6-17: R5 Section A-A
Exhibit 6-18: R5 Section B-B
Exhibit 6-19: R5 Section C-C
Exhibit 6-20: Alternative RGM
Exhibit 6-21: All Alternatives Studied
Exhibit 6-22: RGM2
Exhibit 6-23: RGM3
Exhibit 6-24: RGM4
Exhibit 6-25: RGM5
Exhibit 6-26: RGM6
Exhibit 6-27: R5 Illustration 1
Exhibit 6-28: R5 Illustration 2
Exhibit 6-29: R5 Illustration 3
Exhibit 6-30: R5 Illustration 4
Exhibit 6-31: RGM6 Illustration 1
Exhibit 6-32: RGM6 Illustration 2
Exhibit 6-33: RGM6 Illustration 3
Exhibit 6-34: RGM6 Illustration 4
Exhibit 6-35: RGM6 Illustration 5
Exhibit 6-36: RGM6 Illustration 6
Exhibit 6-37: RGM6 Illustration 7
Exhibit 6-38: RGM6 Illustration 8
Exhibit 6-39: RGM6 Illustration 9
Exhibit 6-40: RGM6 Illustration 10

7 ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION AND RESOURCES 7-1

7.1 INTRODUCTION 7-1
7.1.1 *Description of the Environmental Study Area Used for this Report* 7-2
7.2 FARMLANDS 7-2

7.2.1 *Background* 7-2
7.2.2 *Current Conditions* 7-4
7.2.3 *Environmental Consequences* 7-4
7.2.4 *Mitigation* 7-5
7.3 SOCIOECONOMICS AND RELOCATIONS 7-7
7.3.1 *Current Conditions* 7-7
7.3.2 *Environmental Consequences* 7-7
7.3.3 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-8
7.4 WETLANDS AND WATER RESOURCES 7-8
7.4.1 *Background* 7-8
7.4.2 *Methodology* 7-9
7.4.3 *Regulatory Update* 7-9
7.4.4 *Current Conditions* 7-11
7.4.5 *Environmental Consequences* 7-20
7.4.6 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-23
7.4.7 *Mitigation* 7-24
7.5 WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES 7-24
7.5.1 *Current Conditions* 7-24
7.5.2 *Environmental Consequences* 7-28
7.5.3 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-30
7.5.4 *Mitigation* 7-30
7.6 THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND SENSITIVE SPECIES 7-31
7.6.1 *Regulatory Update* 7-31
7.6.2 *Current Conditions* 7-32
7.6.3 *Environmental Consequences* 7-33
7.6.4 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-35
7.6.5 *Mitigation* 7-36
7.7 HISTORIC PRESERVATION 7-37
7.7.1 *Background* 7-37
7.7.2 *Methodology* 7-37
7.7.3 *Regulatory Update and Coordination* 7-37
7.7.4 *Current Conditions* 7-38
7.7.5 *Environmental Consequences* 7-41
7.7.6 *Mitigation* 7-42
7.8 VISUAL RESOURCES 7-42
7.8.1 *Methodology* 7-42
7.8.2 *Current Conditions* 7-42
7.8.3 *Environmental Consequences* 7-43
7.8.4 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-44
7.8.5 *Mitigation* 7-44
7.9 SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES 7-45
7.9.1 *Current Conditions* 7-45
7.9.2 *Environmental Consequences* 7-45
7.9.3 *Comparison of Impacts* 7-46
7.10 WORKS CITED 7-39

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit 7-1: Environmental Impacts
Exhibit 7-2: Alternative R5 Irrigated Farmland Impacts
Exhibit 7-3: Alternative RGM Irrigated Farmland Impacts
Exhibit 7-4: Design Variation RGM6 Irrigated Farmland Impacts
Exhibit 7-5: Alternative R5 Wetland Impacts
Exhibit 7-6: Alternative RGM Wetland Impacts
Exhibit 7-7: Design Variation RGM6 Wetland Impacts
Exhibit 7-8: Alternative R5 Historic Ranches Impacts
Exhibit 7-9: Alternative RGM Historic Ranches Impacts
Exhibit 7-10: Design Variation RGM6 Historic Ranches Impacts

8 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 8-1

8.1 CONCLUSIONS 8-1
8.1.1 *Purpose and Need* 8-1
8.1.2 *Existing US 550 Corridor versus New Corridor* 8-1
8.1.3 *Construction Cost* 8-1
8.1.4 *Operation and Safety* 8-1
8.1.5 *Disruptions and Safety during Construction* 8-2
8.1.6 *Residential or Business Relocations* 8-2
8.1.7 *Bridgework at Grandview Interchange* 8-2
8.1.8 *Maintenance Costs* 8-2
8.1.9 *Visual Impacts* 8-2
8.1.10 *Large-Animal Crossings and Connectivity* 8-3
8.1.11 *Impacts to Webb Ranch Operations* 8-3
8.1.12 *Wetland Impacts* 8-3
8.1.13 *Threatened and Endangered Species Habitat* 8-3
8.1.14 *Stakeholder Support* 8-3
8.1.15 *Section 4(f) Property Impacts* 8-3
8.2 RECOMMENDATIONS 8-4

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A R5 PLAN SHEETS

APPENDIX B RGM PLAN SHEETS

APPENDIX C RGM6 PLAN SHEETS

APPENDIX D COST ESTIMATES

APPENDIX E ROW

APPENDIX F F&P REPORT

APPENDIX G LOS ANALYSIS SHEETS

APPENDIX H CR 220 SAFETY EVALUATION

APPENDIX I EXISTING SAFETY ANALYSIS REPORT

APPENDIX J HSM PREDICTIVE METHOD SHEETS

APPENDIX K DESIGN SPEED MEMO

APPENDIX L DESIGN CRITERIA

APPENDIX M PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL EVALUATION

APPENDIX N DRAINAGE REPORT

APPENDIX O STAKEHOLDER MEETING MINUTES

APPENDIX P BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES REPORT

APPENDIX Q ASSESSMENT OF JURISDICTIONAL STATUS

APPENDIX R PALEONTOLOGY REPORT

APPENDIX S NOT USED

APPENDIX T NOT USED

APPENDIX U NOT USED

APPENDIX V WETLANDS DELINEATION MEMORANDUM

APPENDIX W ALTERNATIVES H1 AND H2 FROM WEBB

APPENDIX X WETLANDS IMPACT DATA

APPENDIXY Y TRAFFIC COUNT SUMMARY

APPENDIX Z 2006 EIS PREFERRED ALTERNATIVES