Integrating Resiliency at CDOT Project Prioritization Fact Sheet



The Concept and Value

In order to develop a method for integrating Risk & Resiliency into daily business practices— particularly project selection—subject matter experts from CDOT, FHWA and DRCOG were assembled to determine how to integrate the "4R's" (see link in Additional Resources) into project selection processes (local, regional and federal). The group thought it best to tightly link the resiliency approach with existing project selection methods, and to make adoption of the resiliency mindset a significant differentiator for projects that might otherwise not get funded. Key points of a successful scoring tool include:

- Resiliency would provide a 10% contribution of points
- These resiliency points would stay within existing scoring scales
- Majority of these points are contingent on a positive BC

The goal of this Case Study was to develop a scoring tool for incorporating resiliency into a project's overall score and rating that provides additional justification for funding a project with added resiliency measures.

RESILIENCE

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Adopted Definition:

Resiliency is "the ability to prepare and plan for, absorb, recover from, or more successfully adapt to adverse events."

Colorado Resilience Working Group Definition:

Resilience is the ability of communities to rebound, positively adapt to, or thrive amidst changing conditions or challenges – including disasters and climate change – and maintain quality of life, healthy growth, durable systems, and conservation of resources for present and future.

How To

The scoring tool that was developed to incorporate resiliency will need to be completed by Project Managers in order to assess resiliency considerations during project selection. An "Instruction" tab is provided in this tool/spreadsheet which outlines the steps and logic in this process. The scoring tool addresses the following factors of

- Criticality
- Screening level risk assessment (high, medium, low)
- Whether using current standards incorporates resiliency
- Asset vulnerability

- Risk mitigation per threat
- Projects with multiple assets/risks
- Additional points earned based on positive BCA*

Project Prioritization Score Sheet Example

Questio	General instructions or						
	ons 1-4 Initial Assessment - Bridge Replacement Project example	Logic	Scoring Logic	Type of funds	Yes/No	documentation needed.	
1 ls	s the funding program suitable for Resiliency?	IfYes, continue. If no, stop.	Apply to AM, Bridge, Roadway Surface Treatment, Regional Priority Program (RPP), National Freight Hejlway Program at first Bridge enterprise? Would need Board approval	Structures - all	Yes		
				Determination	Points		
2 A	assess criticality of the asset (use Criticality Map)	If highly-critical (H), moderate criticality (M), low criticality (L)	H = 2 points M = 1 point L = 0 points	н	2	Use criticality map layer on the GIS Map tool (attach snapshot with project location)	
3 -	omplete a screening level assessment of risk? Are physical risks involved? Are man-made risks possible?	Use GIS Map for potential risks from natural threats. Document logic for man-made risk	Assessment complete = 1 point Assessment not complete = 0 points	Yes	1	Use applicable map layer on the GIS Map tool (linked in Cell GS) - (attach snapshot with project location)	
						Supply a narrative using the 4R logic, see the instruction tab.	
4 c.	bees bringing the project from as built spedifications to current tandards or construction practices incorporate resiliency? samples with tandard was a 24° culvert, new standard requires a 48° users. As-built bridge had a less than 100-year capadity, new bridge nects or exceeds a 200-year capadity, new design incorporates rege neck as base to prevent fload dimangs (54° 72° base	Need to be able to document that assets a trisk as identified in one of the GS layers incorporates realizing by using correct standards or realizing by using correct standards of ffcurrent design standards don't incorporate resiliency no points will be allowed. Example: existing and new bridge are both at a 300-year design.	Using current standards or construction practices equates to resiliency = 2 points	No	o	The matrix can also be found here: https://www.codor.goo/program/le lansinglidentifying.and-coducating codifications to associate system-associate matrix.pdf	
	Risk Mitigation Assessment						
s	tisk identification and mitigation BEYOND bringing designs up to urrent standards. rom question 2, list what assets are at risk in their current state?	What risks could affect the asset? (Use drop downs to select all that apply)	How vulnerable is the asset based on this risk? Please select: Very vulnerable Somewhat Vulnerable Not Vulnerable	To what degree have you mitigated the risk and made the asset more resilient? Please select: • Mitigated • Not Mitigated		Use risk map layer on the GIS Map Tool (linked in Cell GS) - (attach snapshot showing all assets)	
	Bridge	100-year Floodplain	Very Vulnerable	Mitigated	4		
	Bridge	Rockfall/Geohazard	Somewhat Vulnerable	Mitigated	2		
	Bridge	Drought	Very Vulnerable	Not Mitigated	0		
	Bridge	Avalanche	Somewhat Vulnerable	Mitigated	2		
					0		
_					0		
				Max Risk Mitigation Points (Max cannot exceed 4; Subject to BCA)	0		
	A Vestina	To be come		Points (Max cannot exceed 4; Subject to	0		
	ICA Tool Score Inter your projects BCA Score in the cell to the right->	OCA Score	BCA Factorio Apply to Risk Miligati on Points Sor better = 1.5 Between 3 and 5 = 1 Seas than 1 = 0.	Points (Max cannot exceed 4; Subject to	0	Link to RER tool https://www.codok.gov/programs/sisteming/hisk-and-resilienney-tool-f- Zuklar	

Published: 12/13/2022

Project Prioritization Fact Sheet



Page 2

Case Study Results

This Case study progressed smoothly due to the brainstorming and collaboration with the SME's and their helpful feedback and insight. The case study team is confident that this practice of completing the scoring tool and, when practical, conducting the BCA to include the results in the spreadsheet can become part of CDOT's project selection "fabric." Further, the available points outlined in the tool can be refined over time to focus more on the impact of resilient solutions than the behavior.

The following are the main insights discovered throughout this Case Study:

- Those who oversee the projects must make sure that what is completed and filled out in the scoring spreadsheet is followed through; incentivizing the consideration of resiliency in an effective way will ensure the mitigation efforts listed in the spreadsheet are not dropped of the project later on if costs become too high
- Success and effectiveness of this tool will depend on the type of project and the types of funds allocated
- The scoring spreadsheet is initially recommended for the following programs:
 - Asset Management
 - Bridge
 - Surface Treatment
 - Regional Priority Program (RPP)
 - National Freight Highway Program
 - Bridge Enterprise—this will require approval from the Bridge Enterprise Board (a.k.a. the Transportation Commission)

Key Takeaways

- This scoring process will only be used when Program funds are suitable for resilience.
- The resiliency factor should be weighted at no more than 10%.
- Success and effectiveness of this tool will depend on the type of project and the types of funds allocated for the project .
- Once this method of thinking (incorporating resiliency) has become part of the project selection fabric, we can fine-tune the process to focus more on the positive impact of resilient solutions rather than the behavior.
- *The work and time required by the PM's to complete the BCA portion of this tool is minimal (<2 hrs. estimated), and may result in a higher overall score and funding for the project.

Contacts and Resources

For questions, please contact:

William Johnson

DTD—Performance and Asset Management Branch Manager

303-512-4808

will.johnson@state.co.us

Additional resources include:

- Resiliency website
- Scoring Spreadsheet
- 4R's Matrix

Published: 12/13/2022