

Excess Revenue Policy Discussion

April 18, 2023

CTIO Revenue Sharing Policy - Why?

LANE

DEEN

 As CTIO becomes more of a mature Express Lane operator, there are going to be additional asks to CTIO for the use of excess revenue.

MERGEN

- For example:
 - CDOT wants excess revenue for transit on I-270
 - Clear Creek County Sheriff wants Safety Enforcement revenue to help with budget issues
 - Clear Creek County wants excess revenue for GHG-reducing activities
- CTIO needs direction from the Board on how (or if) CTIO contributes excess revenue to a project or interested parties along a corridor



CTIO Excess Revenue Discussion Points

- Does CTIO create an Excess Revenue Policy? If yes:
- Spending would have to comply with 23 USC 116 (per MOUs we agree to with FHWA)
- If spending complied with federal regulations, then if on a corridor with debt, excess revenue would have to come out of the bottom of the waterfall
- Could CTIO charge a small increment (\$0.05 to \$0.25) above tolls on a corridor and direct those funds to CDOT, RTD, Clear Creek County, etc. (so long as those funds were spent in accordance with 23 USC 116)
- Other thoughts?

