



H1 Recommended Best Management Practices for DeBeque milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*)

1. Practices Developed to Reduce the Impacts of Road Maintenance Activities to Plants of Concern

t

CNHP's mission is to preserve the natural diversity of life by contributing the essential scientific foundation that leads to lasting conservation of Colorado's biological wealth.

Colorado Natural Heritage Program
Warner College of Natural Resources
Colorado State University
1475 Campus Delivery
Fort Collins, CO 80523
(970) 491-7331

Report Prepared for:
Colorado Department of Transportation and
the Colorado Natural Areas Program

Recommended Citation:

Panjabi, S.S. and G. Smith, 2014. Recommended best management practices for DeBeque milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*): practices developed to reduce the impacts of road maintenance activities to plants of concern. Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.

Front Cover: *Astragalus debequaeus* plants and habitat, from top to bottom,

© Peggy Lyon, Terry Bridgman, Georgia Doyle

H2 Recommended Best Management Practices for DeBeque milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*)

Best Practices Developed to Reduce the Impacts of
Road Maintenance Activities
to Plants of Concern

Susan Panjabi and Gabrielle Smith

Colorado Natural Heritage Program
Warner College of Natural Resources

Colorado State University
Fort Collins, Colorado 80523



May 2014

H2 Introduction

Funding for this important project was provided by the Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) and the Colorado Natural Areas Program (CNAP).

We appreciate the input of numerous individuals during the preparation of this document, especially Sarah Triplett, Brian Elliott, Jill Handwerk, and Bernadette Kuhn.

H2 TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Introduction.....	1
Best Management Practices for DeBeque Milkvetch (<i>Astragalus debequaeus</i>)	1
Noxious Weed Management in Habitat for DeBeque Milkvetch (<i>Astragalus debequaeus</i>).....	3
Other Needs and Recommended Guidelines.....	4
Species profile.....	6
<i>Astragalus debequaeus</i> (DeBeque milkvetch).....	7
Taxonomic Comments	8
Ranks and Status.....	8
Description and Phenology.....	8
Habitat.....	9
Distribution.....	9
Threats and Management Issues.....	10
References	11

H2 Introduction

DeBeque milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*) is a small plant in the Fabaceae (Pea Family) that is known only from the Colorado River Valley in Delta, Garfield and Mesa counties, Colorado, and is considered to be imperiled at a global and state level (G2/S2; Colorado Natural Heritage Program 2014). One of the biggest conservation issues for this imperiled plant species is the lack of awareness of its existence and status. Avoiding or minimizing impacts to this species during road maintenance activities will effectively help to conserve its habitat and is unlikely to confer substantial impacts on road maintenance goals and projects. The Best Management Practices (BMPs) included in this document are intended to help increase the awareness of this species for anyone involved in road maintenance activities.

The desired outcome of these recommended BMPs is to reduce significantly the impacts of road maintenance activities to the DeBeque milkvetch on federal, state, and/or private land. The BMPs listed here are intended to be iterative, and to evolve over time as additional information about the DeBeque milkvetch becomes available, or as road maintenance technologies develop.

The intent of these BMPs is to inform people working along roadside areas regarding the importance of DeBeque milkvetch, one of Colorado's botanical treasures, and to outline some of the ways in which this species can coexist with road maintenance activities. The implementation of these recommendations will help to assure that maintenance activities proceed without unintended harm to these globally imperiled plants.

H2 Best Management Practices for DeBeque Milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*)

1. Gather mapped location information for DeBeque milkvetch along roadsides (within 50 meters/54 yards of all roads: CDOT, County, USFS, BLM, and municipalities) consulting with the Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) at Colorado State University, local herbaria, and other known sources of rare plant location data. In 2014 this step was conducted by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program as part of a pilot project to conserve roadside populations of globally imperiled plants (Panjabi and Smith 2014).
 2. Work with the Colorado Natural Heritage Program to create Special Management Areas based on the distribution of DeBeque milkvetch within 50 meters/54 yards of roads and a recommended avoidance buffer of 200 meters/218 yards. The 200 meter/218 yard buffer reduces dust transport, weed invasion, herbicide damage, magnesium chloride damage, and other unintended impacts, such as alteration of hydrological setting. It also reduces impact to pollinators and their habitat. Special Management Areas (maps and data tables) are
-

presented in Appendix One if a data sharing agreement has been signed with the Colorado Natural Heritage Program.

3. Prior to road maintenance work, the field supervisor (CDOT) or land manager (County, BLM, etc.) should provide maps to road crews showing all known Special Management Areas for the plants (as hard-copy and GIS files, and including the UTMs indicating the extent of the Special Management Areas along roads). The maps and other data should be “species blind”; they should *not* indicate what species are found within the Special Management Areas (DeBeque milkvetch as well as other rare taxa). The maps should be updated as new plant locations are found.
 4. Within the Special Management Areas the roadsides should not be seeded, sprayed or mowed to avoid disturbance to soils, plants, and habitat. This includes all brush control, fire control, and weed control. Dust abatement applications, if necessary, should be comprised of water only, with minimal use of magnesium chloride.
 5. If mowing is necessary, for example for safety reasons, avoid mowing from May 1-August 31. Mowing with a 10 in/25 cm or higher cut could take place in the Special Management Areas before May 1 (or after August 30) as long as the mowers do not drive over/park on top of the plants.
 6. If grading is necessary, following rain or other events that wash out roads, avoid burying the rare plants.
 7. Snow and ice control measures present some concerns for the Special Management Areas, though public safety is a priority. When possible, plowing, deicer and sand applications, rock slide removal, snow fence maintenance and construction activities should consider the locations of the Special Management Areas. For example, sand applications could cover plants when the snow melts and should be avoided if possible.
 8. Locating signs away from Special Management Areas would benefit the DeBeque milkvetch. If guardrails need to be installed/repared, minimize impacts to the milkvetch to the greatest extent possible.
 9. *Ex-situ* techniques such as transplanting are not recommended under any circumstances.
-

10. Develop monitoring plans for the roadside locations of DeBeque milkvetch, with goals to detect any decrease in the population size or condition, and/or needs for restoration efforts and/or noxious weed management.

11. Minimize impacts to habitat for DeBeque milkvetch through appropriate and creative project planning. Some examples of appropriate and creative project planning include:
 - Wash vehicles and other equipment to reduce the spread of noxious weeds from other areas.
 - Assure that straw and hay bales used for erosion control are certified free of noxious weeds.
 - Contact the Colorado Natural Heritage Program at Colorado State University when planning ground breaking activities at or near (within 200 meters/218 yards of) DeBeque milkvetch sites.

H2 Noxious Weed Management in Habitat for DeBeque Milkvetch (*Astragalus debequaeus*)

1. Document, map, monitor and control all infestations of noxious weeds (Colorado Noxious Weed Act 2003) and other non-native invasive plant species in and adjacent to occupied habitat for DeBeque milkvetch. The Colorado Noxious Weed List can be found online at: <http://www.colorado.gov/cs/Satellite/Agriculture-Main/CDAG/1174084048733>

 2. Monitor Special Management Areas for new weed infestations. Noxious weeds in close proximity (within 400–800 meters/437-875 yards) to the plants of concern should be the highest priority for control. Ensure that the rare plants are protected from any damage resulting from weed control efforts.

 3. Control noxious weeds using integrated techniques. Limit chemical control in areas within 200 meters/218 yards of rare plant species to avoid damage to non-target species. Mechanical or chemical control in and near rare plant habitat should only be implemented by personnel familiar with the rare plants.

 4. Herbicide application should be kept at least 200 meters/218 yards from known plant populations, except in instances where weed populations threaten habitat integrity or plant populations. Great care should be used to avoid pesticide drift in those cases.
-

H2 Other Needs and Recommended Guidelines

Further inventory, monitoring, research, and conservation planning is recommended for the DeBeque milkvetch to assist with future development and implementation of these Best Management Practices (BMPs), as well as our basic understanding of this rare species. As we work to manage for the long-term viability of the DeBeque milkvetch it will be important to conduct botanical surveys (inventories) and map new locations to improve our understanding about how roadside locations contribute to full species distribution. Inventory work may also help to identify sites that could be suitable for conservation efforts. Monitoring roadside locations is important to determine if the BMPs are working, and clarify the conservation status of the species. Research into pollination ecology, recommended setbacks, and phenology is also suggested. As these research efforts are undertaken, the following recommendations can help assure high quality results that will be most useful in conservation planning activities.

1. Botanical field surveys should be conducted by qualified individual(s) with botanical expertise, according to commonly accepted survey protocols, and using suitable GPS equipment. The Colorado Natural Heritage Program (CNHP) at Colorado State University can provide references, field forms, etc. Surveys should be repeated at least once every 10 years. Prioritize surveys on preferred geologic substrates within species range.
 2. Botanical field surveys should be conducted during April-July when the DeBeque milkvetch can be detected and accurately identified. In some cases multi-year surveys may be necessary, e.g., if drought conditions occur during the survey window.
 3. If DeBeque milkvetch (or other species of concern) are found within the survey area, the botanist should endeavor to determine the complete extent of the occurrence and the approximate number of individuals within the occurrence. Ideally occurrences should be delineated by GPS and the results imported to GIS for inclusion on updated project maps.
 4. Field survey results should be reported CNHP, and to appropriate land managers. A photograph or voucher specimen (if sufficient individuals are present) should be taken. Vouchers should be deposited in one of Colorado's major herbaria (e.g., University of Colorado, Colorado State University, Denver Botanic Gardens). Negative results of surveys should also be reported to CNHP.
 5. Perform frequent and timely inspections of development sites and plants of concern occurrences to ensure that BMPs are being followed, and to identify areas of potential conflict. Inspections of plant occurrences should be performed by a botanist or other qualified personnel.
 6. Monitoring is more likely to succeed if properly planned. Collection of baseline data, prior to any impact, is vital. Although land management agencies may have specific monitoring
-

guidelines, an excellent reference for developing and implementing a monitoring plan is Elzinga et al. (1997).

7. Monitor impacts on plants of concern from road maintenance or other activities in the area. If impacts are noted, change management to address the cause of impacts.
 8. Develop and implement monitoring plans for noxious weeds. Plans should be designed to detect new infestations and document the extent and spread of existing weeds.
-

H2 Species profile

Astragalus debequaeus
(DeBeque milkvetch)

Fabaceae (pea family)



Close up of *Astragalus debequaeus* by Peggy Lyon



Close up of *Astragalus debequaeus* by Georgia Doyle



Close up of *Astragalus debequaeus* flowers by Georgia Doyle



Close up of *Astragalus debequaeus* fruit by Georgia Doyle

H3 Taxonomic Comments

Weber and Wittmann (2012) note that this is regarded by some as a color form of *Astragalus eastwoodiae*.

H3 Ranks and Status

Global rank: G2

State rank: S2

Federal protection status: BLM Sensitive

State protection status: None

H3 Description and Phenology

General description: Perennial plants forming multi-branched clumps, up to roughly 2 dm/8 in in height. Flowers are white or yellowish-white, mostly 7-9 (11) per raceme; calyx tubes have short black hairs and are 5-6 mm/0.20-0.23 in long. Stems and pod are glabrous (Spackman et al. 1997, Ackerfield 2012).

Look Alikes: *Astragalus eastwoodiae* flowers are pink-purple, mostly 3-7 per raceme; and the calyx tubes are mostly 8-9 mm/0.31-0.35 in long (Ackerfield 2012).

Phenology: *Astragalus debequaeus* flowers April-May and produces fruit May-July (Colorado Natural Heritage Program 2013).

H3 Habitat



Habitat of *Astragalus debequaeus* by Terry Bridgman



Habitat of *Astragalus debequaeus* by Susan Spackman Panjabi

Astragalus debequaeus is found in varicolored, fine-textured, seleniferous, saline soils of the Atwell Gulch Member of the Wasatch Formation, in areas surrounded by pinyon-juniper woodlands and desert shrub. Plants are mostly clustered on toe slopes and along drainages, but many occur on steep sideslopes. Soils are clayey but littered with sandstone fragments. Associated taxa include *Astragalus flavus*, *Greyia*, *Bahia*, *Artemisia*, *Phacelia submutica*, *Aster*, *Cryptantha*, *Eriogonum*, *Grindelia*, and *Sitanion* (Welsh 1985, O'Kane 1986, Spackman et al. 1997).


Elevation Range: 4,944 - 6,680 feet; 1,507 - 2,036 meters

H3 Distribution

Colorado endemic: Yes


Global range: Colorado endemic, known from Delta, Garfield and Mesa counties, in the Colorado River Valley near DeBeque. The plant's range evidently corresponds to the extent of the Atwell Gulch Member of the Wasatch Formation. Estimated range is 1,736 square kilometers (670

square miles), calculated in 2008 by the Colorado Natural Heritage Program in GIS by drawing a minimum convex polygon around the known occurrences.



Distribution map of *Astragalus debequaeus* in Colorado.

H3 Threats and Management Issues



Summary results of an analysis of the status of *Astragalus debequaeus* based on several ranking factors. This species was concluded to be “weakly conserved”. From Rondeau et al. 2011.

Oil and gas development is considered to be the primary threat to the species at this time (Rondeau et al. 2011). Many occurrences are on public land that will likely be developed for oil and gas. This species occurs near oil shale deposits and would be severely threatened (should oil shale development begin) from developments associated with oil shale extraction. Grazing is not a high threat for this species as it accumulates selenium and likely is not an important forage plant. However, trampling by cattle has been observed. The state noxious weed, *Bromus tectorum* is reported at many occurrences and should be monitored. Off-road vehicle use is also a potential threat.

H2 References

- Ackerfield, J. 2012. *The Flora of Colorado*. Colorado State University Herbarium. 433 pp.
- Colorado Native Plant Society. 1989. *Rare plants of Colorado*. Rocky Mountain Nature Association, Colorado Native Plant Society, Estes Park, Colorado. 73 pp.
- Colorado Noxious Weed Act. 2003. Title 35: Agriculture, Article 5.5: Colorado Noxious Weed Act, and 8 CRR 1203-19 Rules pertaining to the administration and enforcement of the Colorado Noxious Weed Act.
- Cronquist A. 1989. *Intermountain Flora Vascular Plants of the Intermountain West, USA*. Vol. 3, Part B. New York Botanical Garden, Bronx, NY.
- Dawson, C. 2009. Personal communication regarding BLM rare plant monitoring in Colorado.
- Elliott, B. A., S. Spackman Panjabi, B. Kurzel, B. Neely, R. Rondeau, M. Ewing. 2009. Recommended Best Management Practices for Plants of Concern. Practices developed to reduce the impacts of oil and gas development activities to plants of concern. Unpublished report prepared by the Rare Plant Conservation Initiative for the National Fish and Wildlife Foundation.
- Elzinga, C.L., D.W. Salzer, and J.W. Willoughby. 1997. *Measuring & Monitoring Plant Populations*. BLM Technical Reference 1730-1.
- Isely, D. 1998. *Native and naturalized Leguminosae (Fabaceae) of the United States (exclusive of Alaska and Hawaii)*. Monte L. Bean Life Science Museum, Brigham Young University; MLBM Press, Provo, Utah. 1007 pp.
- Kartesz, J.T. 1994. *A synonymized checklist of the vascular flora of the United States, Canada, and Greenland*. 2nd edition. 2 vols. Timber Press, Portland, OR.
- Neely, B., S. Panjabi, E. Lane, P. Lewis, C. Dawson, A. Kratz, B. Kurzel, T. Hogan, J. Handwerk, S. Krishnan, J. Neale, and N. Ripley. 2009. *Colorado Rare Plant Conservation Strategy*, Developed by the Colorado Rare Plant conservation Initiative. The Nature Conservancy, Boulder, Colorado, 117 pp.
- O'Kane, S. L. 1988. Noteworthy Collections, Colorado. *Madrono*, 35(4):353-359.
- Panjabi, S.S. and G. Smith. 2014. *Conserving Roadside Populations of Colorado's Globally Imperiled Plants 2013-2014 Pilot Project*. Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
-

- Rondeau, R., K. Decker, J. Handwerk, J. Siemers, L. Grunau, and C. Pague. 2011. The state of Colorado's biodiversity 2011. Prepared for The Nature Conservancy. Colorado Natural Heritage Program, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado.
- Scheck, C. 1994. Special Status Plants Handbook Glenwood Springs Resource Area. Unpublished report prepared for the Bureau of Land Management, Glenwood Springs, CO.
- Spackman, S., B. Jennings, J. Coles, C. Dawson, M. Minton, A. Kratz, and C. Spurrier. 1997. Colorado rare plant field guide. Prepared for Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Forest Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service by Colorado Natural Heritage Program.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 2007. Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; 90-Day Finding on A Petition to List *Astragalus debequaeus* (DeBeque milkvetch) as Threatened or Endangered. Federal Register 72(30): 6998-7005.
- USDA, NRCS. 2013. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, NC 27401-4901 USA.
- Weber, W. A. and R. C. Wittmann. 2012. Colorado Flora, Western Slope, A Field Guide to the Vascular Plants, Fourth Edition. Boulder, Colorado. 532 pp.
- Welsh, S.L. 1985. A new species of *Astragalus* (Leguminosae) from Mesa County, Colorado. Great Basin Naturalist 45 (1):31-33.
-