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| 10 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|}  \\ 1 \text { Areater Denver } \\ \text { Area } \end{array}$ |  | Denver | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { I-225: I-25 to } \\ \text { Yosemite } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Final alternative pending results of pilot．Remove bottleneck at Yosemite by splitting traffic going to northbound and southbound I－25 with two lanes for each direction．Includes replacement of Ulster bridge． | Design to Budget | 61，394，000 | \＄ |  |  | x | x |  |  | $\times$ | $\times$ |
| 153 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arapahoe | 1－25／Belleview | Interchange Improvements | Design to build | 90，000，000 |  | Potential for local partnership to expand scope |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
|  |  | Greater Denver Area | Adams | I－25 North：84th Ave to Thornton Pkwy Widening | Improvements on I－25 between US 36 and 120th including addition of one General Purpose lane in each direction from 84th Ave．to Thornton Pkwy．and reconstruction of 88th Ave． bridge including a center loading median station for the Thornton Park－n－Ride． | Subsequent phase（not reflected in costs）includes second phase auxiliary lanes and other improvements． | 85，285，000 | \＄ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | $\times$ |
| 48 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 1 \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | Adams | I－25 North：US36 to 120th，remaining PEL improvements | Aux lanes and safety and operational improvements identified by the PEL with the exception of work completed above． | Elements could be phased．No details or estimates on phasing at this time． | \＄50，000，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams， Broomfield | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-25 North: TEL } \\ & \text { Expansion } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Expansion of Tolled Express Lanes（TELS）from current planned end at E－470 to Weld County Line．Project would need to be combined with local funds to rebuild $\mathrm{I}-25$／ SH 7 Interchange． |  | 101，750，000 | \＄25，000，000 | Tolling | x | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 1 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { Area, } \\ & \text { 1 Pikes Peak Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Douslas and El｜ Paso | $\begin{array}{l\|l} \text { I-25: Colorado } \\ \text { Springs Denver } \\ \text { South Connection } \end{array}$ | Corridor mobility and safety improvements from Monument to C－470 as outlined in the PEL currently underway．Assumes construction of one new lane in each direction from Monument to Plum Creek Parkway． | Design to Budget of $\$ 350 \mathrm{~m}$ ．Subsequent phase includes additional work needed to improve geometrics and reconstruct roadway，and full PEL improvements north of Plum Creek Parkway to C－470． | 350，000，000 | 35，000，000 | Local funding．Tolling could potentially mitigate some costs． | x | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1 }{ }^{\text {Area }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Denver | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 1-25: Speer and 23rd } \\ \text { Bridges } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Replacement of bridges at 23rd and Speer，and construction of northbound connector road． | Subsequent phase（not reflected in costs）includes second phase roadway widening，and other safety and mobility improvements to be identified in planned PEL． | \＄57，140，000 | \＄10，000，000 | Freight fund match |  | $x$ | x |  |  | x | x |
| 148 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 1 \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Denver | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { 1-25: Valley Highway } \\ \text { Phase } 3.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Widening of $1-25$ from Alameda to 6 th Ave． |  | 134，062，000 | \＄ | Tolling will be considered |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 1488 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1\|Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Denver | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { \|-25: Valley Highway } \\ \text { Phase } 4.0 \end{array}$ | Grade separation of the Consolidated Mail Line RR tracks from Santa Fe and Kalamath as well as a pedestrian bicycle bridge over Santa Fe，Kalamath，CML， 125 and the S．Platte River along the Bayaud alignment． |  | \＄50，000，000 |  | Tolling will be considered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| 11 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1. Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-270: Widening } \\ & \text { from 1-76 to } 1-70 \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction to improve capacity, safety, and economic competitiveness. Capacity improvements, replacement of bridges, and reconstruction of concrete pavement. |  | 398,774,000 | \$ 165,000,000 | Tolling \& Potential Local Match. Lowered tolling assumption based on HPTE guidance | x | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 144 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | -70 Eastbound Hook Ramps at 27th Ave. and Ped Bridge | Construction of hook ramps on eastbound I-70 at 27th Ave. and pedestrian bridge over I-70. Related to planned (at the time) Cabela's development. |  | 20,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 7 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Clear Creek | 1-70 West: Floyd Hill | Reconstruction of westbound Bridge at US 6 (MP 244) and construction of third lane westbound down Floyd Hill to bridge. Construction of third lane to Twin Tunnels- either Peak Period Shoulder Lanes (PPSL) or permanent. | Design to Budget. Final alternative is unknown and the aisimment may vary. Project could potentitill be phased to incorporate improvements in westbound direction only based on alternative selected and funding availability. | \$ 550,000,000 | \$ 70,000,000 | Design to Budget of $\$ 550 \mathrm{M}$ with \$70 M Bridge Enterprise/ tolling assumed. Bridge Enterprise; Tolling will be considered | x | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 6 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Clear Creek | 1-70 West: Westbound Peak Period Shoulder Lanes (PPSL) | Construction of Peak Period Shoulder Lanes (PPSL) on westbound side from Twin Tunnels to Empire Junction. | Design to Budget | \$ 80,000,000 | \$ | Tolling - no revenue assumed yet | x | $x$ | x |  |  | $\times$ | x |
| 145 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-70 Westbound } \\ & \text { To/From Ward Rd. } \end{aligned}$ | Improvements to $\mathrm{I}-70$ westbound at Ward Rd. Related to planned (at the time) Cabela's development. |  | 15,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | x |
| 146 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1 Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Denver | 1-70: Central 70 Peoria St. to Tower Rd. (Segment 2) | Phase II of the Central 70 project. Widening from Peoria St. to Tower Rd. with direct connects to $\mathrm{I}-225$ and Pena Blvd. |  | \$ 270,000,000 | \$ - |  | x |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 147 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Denver | -70: Central 70 Quebec St. to Peoria st. | Phase II of the Central 70 project. Widening from Quebec St. to Peoria St. |  | \$ 160,000,000 | \$ - |  | x |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 8 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1) Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Jefferson | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-70: Kipling } \\ & \text { Interchange } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction of interchange to reduce congestion and improve operational performance and safety. |  | 63,816,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | $\times$ |
| 154 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { 1 }{ }^{\text {Area }} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Arapahoe | SH 30 | Specific improvements to be determined |  | \$ 22,051,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 143 |  | 4/Greater Denver | Boulder, Weld, Broomfield | SH 7 Corridor Improvements | BRT, commuter bikeways, managed/express lanes, highway and other multimodal improvements to be determined from Boulder to Brighton. | Design to Budget | \$ 112,000,000 | \$ 12,000,000 | \$12M Region 4 Surface Treatment funds. See MMOF SH 7 project for further details on additional transit matching funds. |  | x | x |  |  | x |  |
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| 100 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Central Front } \\ 2 & \text { Range } \end{array}$ | El Paso | SH 115: Rock Creek Bridge Replacement and Widening | Bridge replacement on SH 115 over Rock Creek Bridge and widening for approximately 1.5 miles south. (MP 37-39) |  | \$ 15,100,000 | \$ |  |  | x |  |  | $x$ | x |  |
| 131 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { SH 21: Central } \\ \text { Freeway } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reconstruction of SH 21 (Powers Blva.) to a six to eight lane freeway including construction of 11 interchanges and three overpasses between Milton E. Proby Pkwy. and Dublin Blvd. (MP 137.5-148.0) |  | 780,350,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | $x$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 116 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | SH 21: Intersection ImprovementsConstitution to North Carefree | Construction of new interchanges along SH 21 at Constitution and North Carefree. (MP 143.5-145.3) |  | 143,650,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 129 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | SH 21: North Expansion SH 83 to I 25 | Construction of SH 21 (Powers Blvd.) from SH 83 to I-25 as a six lane freeway including four interchanges at SH 83, Flying Horse Club Drive, Voyager Parkway and I-25. (MP 153.8-156.9) |  | 145,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 130 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | SH 21: North Expansion Woodmen Rd. to SH 83 | Construction of SH 21 (Powers Blvd.) Woodmen Rd. to SH 83 from a four lane freeway to a six lane freeway. (MP 149.0 153.8) |  | 30,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 28 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | SH 21: Research Pkwy. Interchange | Construction of new grade-separated interchange at SH 21 and Research Pkwy (MP 149-151). |  | 39,896,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 26 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | ElPaso | SH 21: Widening | Widening from Milton E. Proby Pkwy. to East Fountain Blvd. (MP 137.6-139.5) |  | 13,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 29 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Central Front } \\ \text { Range } \end{array}$ | Teller | SH 67: Victor to Divide \& North of Woodland Park | Shoulder widening and safety improvements. Victor to Divide (MP 45.5-69.7) and Woodland Park to Deckers (MP 77-100). | Revised project limits. Design to Budget. | 25,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x |  |  | $\times$ | $x$ |  |
| 128 |  | 2 South Central | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Huerfano / Las } \\ \text { Animas / } \\ \text { Custer } \end{array} \end{array}$ | SH 69 and SH 12 Improvements | Shoulder widening, safety improvements, and passing lanes on SH 69 (MP 0-59) and SH 12 (MP 0-73.9) | Design to Budget | 21,000,000 | \$ 6,000,000 | HSIP, RPP, FASTER |  | x |  |  | $\times$ | x |  |
| 103 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Central Front } \\ \text { 2 } \end{array}$ | Park / Summit | SH 9: Breckenridge to Alma, Shoulders and Safety Improvements | Addition of shoulders and safety improvements from Breckenridge to Alma. (MP 71-86) |  | \$ 18,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
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| 156 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH 94: Safety } \\ & \text { Improvements } \end{aligned}$ | Safety Improvements on SH 94 from US 24 to Enoch Rd（MP 0 － <br> 9．1） | Design to budget | \＄11，000，000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 98 |  | 2 South Central | Huerfano | Us 160：Mobility Improvements | Addition of passing lanes，shoulder widening and safety improvements．（La Veta Pass to I－25：MP 278－304） | Design to Budget | 15，000，000 | \＄ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 101 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Central Front } \\ \text { Range } \end{array}$ | El Paso | US 24 East：Elbert Rd．to El Paso County Line Turn and Passing Lanes | Addition of turn and passing lanes on US 24 from Elbert Rd．to El Paso County line．（MP 325．5－350．5） |  | \＄32，000，000 | \＄－ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 22 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Front } \\ & \text { Range, Pikes Peak } \\ & \text { 2Area } \end{aligned}$ | El Paso | US 24 East： Widening Garrett／Dodge to Stapleton Rd． | Widening of roadway to four lanes from Garett Rd．to Stapleton Rd．（MP 318 －324） |  | 64，242，000 | \＄－ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 127 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | US 24 West | Expand US 24 from $1-25$ to Ridge Road．Includes the US $24 / I-25$ Flyover．（MP 299．7－303．7） |  | \＄270，000，000 | \＄－ |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| 20 |  | 2 Pikes Peak Area | El Paso | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Us } 24 \text { West: Divide } \\ & \text { to l-25 } \end{aligned}$ | Drainage and intersection improvements on US 24 from $1-25$ to Divide（MP 304－278）． | Design to Budget | \＄25，000，000 | \＄ |  |  | x |  |  | x | x |  |
| 99 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Central Front } \\ & 2 \text { Range } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Park | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Us 285: Fairplay to } \\ & \text { Richmond Hill } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Addition of passing lanes and shoulder widening．（MP 183 － 234） | Design to budget | 15，000，000 | \＄－ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | $\times$ |
| 25 |  | 2 Southeast | Prowers | US 287：Lamar | Phase I and II of the Lamar Reliever Route．Realignment of US 50 to the South－needed for future US 50／US 287 Interchange． （US 50 MP 433－435）．Phase II is the construction of the new two lane reliever route．（US 287：MP 73－81） | Project can be divided into two phases．Phase 1：US 50 realignment（\＄30M）；Phase 2：US 287 Reliever Route （\＄185M） | \＄211，071，000 | \＄－ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 102 |  | $2 \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { Central Front } \\ & \text { Range } \end{aligned}\right.$ | Chaffee／ Fremont | US 50：Salida to Canon City Passing Lanes | Addition of passing lanes between Salida and Canon City．（MP 223－277） |  | \＄25，000，000 | \＄ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 23 |  | 2 Pueblo Area | Pueblo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { us 50: West of } \\ & \text { Pueblo } \end{aligned}$ | Construct the 3rd westbound lane on US 50 from just west of Pueblo Blvd to Purcell Blvd．Construct the US 50 ／Purcell Interchange which will include ped／bike facility improvements （MP 309－312） |  | 45，895，000 | \＄6，000，000 | RPP |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
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| 238 |  | 2 Pueblo Area | Pueblo | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Us 50: West of } \\ & \text { Pueblo } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Construct three lanes in both the EB and WB directions of US 50 between Purcell Blvd and West McCulloch Blvd (MP 307310) |  | 30,000,000 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 24 |  | Pueblo Area, 2 Southeast | Pueblo / Otero <br> / Bent / <br> Prowers | US 50B: East Widening | Implement Tier II project along the US 50 Corridor from Pueblo to Holly (MP 318-467) per the Tier I FEIS/ROD. Likely project includes widening US 50 to four lanes. Location and length of project TBD. | Design to Budget | 50,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | $\times$ |
| 248 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pueblo Area, } \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | Pueblo / Otero <br> / Bent/ <br> Prowers | US 50B: East Widening | Implement Tier II project along the US 50 Corridor from Pueblo to Holly (MP 318-467) per the Tier I FEIS/ROD. Likely project includes widening US 50 to four lanes. Location and length of project TBD | Design to Budget | 100,000,000 |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 34 |  | 3 Intermountain | Eagle | 1-70 West: Dowd Canyon Interchange | Reconstruction and upgrade of 1-70 Dowd Canyon Interchange for safety and operations. |  | 14,450,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 36 |  | 3 Intermountain | Summit | 1-70 West: Exit 203 Interchange Improvements | Conversion of single lane roundabout at ramp termini to a double lane to correct back ups on westbound $1-70$ in peak periods and weave from an auxiliary lane east of the ramp. | Project can be phased. \$2 M for preconstruction. | 30,344,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
| 37 |  | 3 Intermountain | Summit | I-70 West: Frisco to Silverthorne Auxiliary Lane | Construction of eastbound auxiliary lane from MP 203 to 205. Identified in the Silverthorne Interchange PEL as a safety improvement for eastbound $1-70$. Minimal widening required. |  | 16,924,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 38 |  | 3 Intermountain | Summit | I-70 West: Silverthorne Interchange | Reconstruction of Exit 205 (Silverthorne) interchange including construction of a Diverging Diamond Interchange, extensive paving, curb, drainage. All four ramps affected, including new capacity on westbound on ramps. |  | 24,701,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 35 |  | 3 Intermountain | Eagle / Summit | $1-70$ West: Vail Pass | Phase 1: Completion of NEPA, engineering and Phase I of construction of a third lane in both directions to increase safety and mobility. Includes installation of permanent water quality features, and relocation of bike path. | Total Escalated Project Cost fixed to $\$ 225 \mathrm{M}$ will complete Phase I, with a total project cost of $\$ 400 \mathrm{M}$. | 225,000,000 | \$ |  |  | $x$ | x |  | x | x | x |
| 35B |  | 3 Intermountain | Eagle / Summit | 1.70 West: Vail Pass | Phase 2: Completion of NEPA, engineering and Phase I of construction of a third lane in both directions to increase safety and mobility. Includes installation of permanent water quality features, and relocation of bike path | Total Escalated Project Cost fixed to $\$ 225 \mathrm{M}$ will complete Phase I, with a total project cost of $\$ 400 \mathrm{M}$. | \$ 175,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 3 Grand Valley | Mesa | 1-70: Business Loop | Reconstruction of First and Grand intersection to improve operations and safety, meet current geometric design standards, and improve pedestrian safety. |  | \$ 32,549,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
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| 33 |  | 3 Intermountain | Eagle | 1-70: Edwards Spur Rd. | Road and bridge widening, intersection and pedestrian improvements to southern half of the Edwards Spur Rd. starting north of the roadway bridge and ending with connection to US 6 to the south. |  | 23,000,000 | \$ 6,500,000 | Eagle County |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 132 |  | 3 Intermountain | Garfield | I-70: Garfield County Interchange Improvements (New Castle) | Upgrade of current 4-way stop at the intersection of I-70 Spur/US 6 with a roundabout concluded to be necessary from a recently completed corridor study for I-70. |  | 15,072,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 32 |  | 31 Intermountain | Garfield | I-70: Garfield County Interchange Improvements (Silt) | Upgrade of current 4-way stop with a roundabout concluded to be necessary from a recently completed corridor study for $1-70$. |  | \$ 15,000,000.00 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | x |
| 31 |  | 3 Grand Valley | Mesa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-70: Palisade to } \\ & \text { Debeque } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction with realignment of curves and other safety improvements. improvements. | Project can be phased. | 71,014,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 81 |  | 3 Multiple | Multiple | Region 3 Sediment Control Plan | Development of permanent water quality solutions on passes affected by the use of traction sand. Region 3 is responsible for 13 mountain passes several of which require the use of traction sand. Over the years several tons have accumulated and now are endangering the environment and wildlife. |  | \$ 3,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 |  | 3 Intermountain | Garfield | SH 13: Rifle North | Reconstruction of NHS and high volume truck route to add shoulders, game fence and wildlife underpasses. | Project cost pending additional review. Project can be phased. SB 267 funding is fixed at $\$ 60 \mathrm{~m}$ maximum and remainder must be from ballot. Design to budget. | 60,000,000 | \$ 25,000,000 | Potential TIGER Grant |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 458 |  | 3 Intermountain | Garfield | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { SH 13: Rifle North } \\ \text { Phase II } \end{array}$ | Reconstruction of NHS and high volume truck route to add shoulders, game fence and wildlife underpasses. | Phase 2 is dependent upon receiving the TIGER grant | \$ 25,000,000 |  |  |  | * | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |  | $\times$ |
| 46 |  | 3 Northwest | Rio Blanco | SH 13: Rio Blanco South to County Line Shoulders and Passing Lanes | Addition of shoulders and passing lanes. Can be implemented in phases. | Project is scalable. | \$ 24,680,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 47 |  | 3 Northwest | Moffat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SH 13: Wyoming } \\ & \text { South } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction of NHS and high volume truck route to add shoulders, game fence and wildlife underpasses. Can be implemented in phases. | Project is scalable. | \$ 48,304,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 50 |  | 3/Northwest | Rio Blanco | SH 139: Little Horse South | Safety improvements including reconstruction of the surface and addition of 4-8' paved shoulders. |  | 22,789,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | x |  |
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| 1348 |  | 3 Northwest | Grand | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 40 \text { Kremmling } \\ & \text { West } \end{aligned}$ | Reconstruction and additional paved shoulder widening from Kremmling East. | Phase 2 | 20,500,000 |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| 42 |  | 3 Northwest | Routt | US 40: Steamboat <br> Springs to Steamboat II | Widening of roadway and addition of intersection turn lanes and dedicated bus lane. |  | 28,000,000 | s |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 43 |  | 3 Gunnison Valley | Gunnison | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { US 50: Little Blue } \\ \text { Canyon } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reconstruction and widening of existing roadway to meet current geometric design standards and improve safety, drainage and access. Addition of passing lanes and mitigation of geohazard land-slide within the project limits. | Design to Budget | 29,500,000 | \$ 20,000,000 | Federal Lands Access Program - <br> \$18 M <br> NHFP - \$2 M |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 137 |  | 3 Gunnison Valley | Montrose | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 550: Safety } \\ & \text { Improvements } \end{aligned}$ | Intersection improvements, bicycle and pedestrian mobility, and improved willdife mitigation. |  | 22,475,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | $\times$ |
| 39 |  | 3 Grand Valley | Mesa | US 6: Improvements Mesa County | Safety and mobility improvements throughout the corridor including intersections, shoulders, and other safety and mobility improvements at problem locations throughout the corridor. | Project can be phased. | \$ 23,651,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 52 |  | North Front Range, <br> Greater Denver <br> 4 Area | Adams/ <br> Broomfield / Weld / <br> Larimer | I-25 North: SH 66 to SH 402 (Segments 5 $\& 6$ ) | Expanding $1-25$ with an Express Lane in each direction and improving the CO 56 on-ramps to $1-25$, this project will provide trip reliability, safety mprovements and more for northern Colorado, and will do it about 14 years earlier than originally expected. Phase 5 and 6 |  | 653,000,000 | \$ 100,000,000 | Potential toll revenue assumed in other funding. | x | x | x |  |  | x | $x$ |
| 52A |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { North Front Range, } \\ \text { Greater Denver } \\ \text { 4 Area } \end{array}$ | Weld / Larimer | I-25 North SH 402 to SH 14 (Segments 7 \& 8) | Preparing footprint of Segment 7 and 8 to accommodate eventual 3 GP + 1 TEL configuration. | Project cost under review and refinement, which may cause the $\$ 80$ million "other funding" need to fluctuate a bit. | 330,000,000 | \$80,000,000 | Anticipated new federal grants and/or local match contribution | x | x | x |  |  | x | $x$ |
| 528 |  | $\text { 4 } \begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & \text { Area } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Adams / } \\ \text { Broomfield / } \\ \text { Weld } \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH7 to SH66 (Segment 4)- Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | \$ 127,200,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 52 C |  | North Front Range, <br> Greater Denver <br> 4 Area | Weld | $\begin{aligned} & \text { l-25 North: SH } 7 \text { to } \\ & \text { SH } 14 \end{aligned}$ | SH66 to SH56 (Segment 5) - In addition to Ballot List \#52, Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | \$ 30,000,000 |  |  | x |  | x | x |  |  | x |
| 52 D |  | 4 North Front Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Larimer / } \\ & \text { weld } \end{aligned}$ | I-25 North: SH 7 to SH 14 | SH56 to SH402 (Segment 6) - In addition to Ballot List \#52, Add one general purpose lane to meet EIS Rural template of 3 General Purpose Lanes +1 tolled express lane each direction |  | 16,300,000 |  |  | $x$ |  | x | x |  |  | x |
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| 64 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | arimer | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { US 287: CR } 72 \text { (Owl } \\ \text { Canyon Road) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Intersection improvements. |  | 2,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 65 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | Larimer | $\left.\right\|_{\substack{\text { us 287: LCR } 80 C \\ \text { (West) }}}$ | Intersection improvements. |  | 0.6 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 62 |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 287: SH } \\ & \text { 14-Ted's Place } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Intersection improvements. |  | \$ 1,600,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 63 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l}  & \begin{array}{l} \text { Upper Front } \\ \text { Range, North Front } \end{array} \\ 4 \text { Range } \end{array}$ | Larimer | US 287: Ted's Place to Wyoming Border | Construction of passing lanes and other safety improvements. |  | 20,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 61 |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 287: Widening } \\ & \text { Fort Collins } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Widening of roadway from four to six lanes. |  | 25,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | $\times$ |
| 58 |  | 4 North Front Range | eld | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { US } 34 \text { / US } 85 \\ \text { Interchange } \\ \text { Reconfiguration } \end{array}$ | Improvements to the safety and capacity of "Spaghetti Junction" interchange by making the geometric configuration more intuitive, adding grade separations, and improving access points. | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases - <br> Phase 1: Replace aging infrastructure $\sim \$ 113 \mathrm{M}$ <br> Phase 2: System to System connections $\sim \$ 50 \mathrm{M}$ | 113,000,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 588 |  | 4 North Front Range | Weld | US 34 / US 85 Interchange Reconfiguration | Construction of remaining interchange connections, safety, operational and Intelligent Transportation components of US34/US85 not included above | Project could be divided into phases Phase 2: System to System connections ~\$50M | 50,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 55 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | Larimer | US 34/US 36 Intersection in Estes Park | Intersection improvements. |  | 2,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 57 |  | 4 North Front Range | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Larimer / } \\ & \text { weld } \end{aligned}$ | US 34: Widening | US 34 from Loveland to east of Greeley is currently being studied under a Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) study, and the changes outlined in that study are vital to the future transportation needs of the region, including interchanges, safety and access improvements. | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases: <br> MP 93.5-97.8 Widening ~\$25 M <br> MP 97.8-113.65 Widening $\sim \$ 170 \mathrm{M}$ | 90,000,000 | \$ |  |  |  | x |  |  | x | x |
| 578 |  | 4 North Front Range | Larimer/ | US 34: Widening, Interchanges, and Operational Improvements | Widening of roadway from four to six lanes, and safety, operational and Intelligent Transportation System improvements not included in project above | Design to Budget. Project could be divided into phases: Interchanges $\$ 90 \mathrm{M}$ <br> Widening and safety improvements: $\$ 410,000,000$ | \$ 410,000,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
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| 171 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Greater Denver } \\ & 4 \text { Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Boulder | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Us } 36 / 28 \text { th Street } \\ & \text { and SH } \\ & \text { 93/Broadway } \end{aligned}$ | Operation improvements for multiple regional BRT routes | Design to Budget | 26，000，000 | \＄－ | See transit MMOF US 36／SH 93 project for further details on additional transit matching funds not included in this row． |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 59 |  | 4 Upper Front Range | arimer | US 36：Estes Park to Boulder County Line | Mobility improvements including widening，and construction o passing lanes and pullouts． |  | 8，000，000 | \＄－ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
| 66 |  | 4 Eastern | Cheyenne | US 385：Intersection， Shoulders，and Other Safety Improvement at Problem Locations | Intersection，shoulders，and other safety improvements at problem locations from Cheyenne／Kiowa County line northerly to $1-70$ | Design to Budget．Subsequent phase（not reflected in costs） includes additional reconstruction，intersection improvements， shoulders，and other safety improvements：Cheyenne County $\sim \$ 128 \mathrm{M} ;$ Kit Carson $\sim \$ 195 \mathrm{M} ;$ Yuma $\approx \$ 330 \mathrm{M} ;$ Phillips County ～ 1155 M ；Sedgwick $\sim \$ 135 \mathrm{M}$ | 40，000，000 | \＄ |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 668 |  | 4 Eastern | Kit Carson／ Yuma／Phillip ／Sedgwick | US 385：Intersection， Shoulders，and Other Safety Improvements at Problem Locations | Intersection，shoulder，safety，operational and Intelligent Transportation Systems improvements from 170 to Nebraska as outlined in US385 corridor plan | Subsequent phase（not reflected in costs）includes additional reconstruction，intersection improvements，shoulders，and other safety improvements：Cheyenne County ${ }^{\sim} \$ 128$ M；Kit Carson ～\＄195 M；Yuma～\＄330 M；Phillips County～\＄155 M；Sedgwick $\sim 135$ M $\sim$ | 903，000，000 | \＄ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |
| 60 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper Front Range, } \\ & \text { North Front Range, } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { Greater Denver Area } \end{aligned}$ | Weld | US 85：Corridor Improvements | Project includes construction of new Peckham grade－separated intersection，railroad siding extensions，closure of railroad crossings at key county roads to limit number of trains blocking the road and construction of alternative routes．The US 85 Planning and Environmental Linkages（PEL）study，completed in 2018，outlines these components plus future corridor needs． |  | 101，840，000 | \＄58，400，000 | $\$ 58.4 \mathrm{~m}$ TC Program Reserve： \＄34．9M UP ROW and \＄24M＋／－ Peckham interchange |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 608 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Upper Front Range, } \\ & \text { North Front Range, } \\ & \text { 4 Greater Denver Area } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Adams／Weld | US 85：Corridor Improvements | Other US85 projects，including Intersection，shoulder，safety， operational and Intelligent Transportation Systems improvements as defined in the PEL from I76 to WYO | Other US85 projects as defined in the PEL from 176 to wyo | \＄487，000，000 |  |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| 159 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Alamosa | SH 112 Asset Management | Paving project to maintain system | Design to Budget | 15，000，000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 96 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | SH 140：New Mexico State Line to Hesperus | Widen shoulders and rehab／reconstruct three bridges． | Not scalable－there are 3 bridges that need widening | 10，000，000 | \＄－ |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |
| 97 |  | 5 Gunnison Valley | San Miguel | SH 145：Safety and Mobility Improvements between Sawpit and Keystone Hill （Shoulder Widening and／or Passing Lanes） | Shoulder widening and／or addition of passing lane between Sawpit and Keystone Hill． | Not scalable | 15，204，000 | 5，845，000 | Surface Treatment $-\$ .5 \mathrm{M}$ RPP－\＄4．65 M FASTER SAFETY－$\$ 695 \mathrm{~K}$ |  |  | x |  | x | x | x |
| 95 （ |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Saguache | SH 17：Safety and Mobility <br> Improvements <br> North of Mosca <br> （Widen shoulders） | Shoulder widening north of Mosca． | Scalable，multiple projects（3－4）could be completed． | 37，498，000 | 8，500，000 | Surface Treatment |  | x | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
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| 151 |  | 5 Southwest | Archuleta | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { US 160/SH } 151 \\ \text { Safety Mitigation } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Construction of an alternating passing lane in both directions and the installation of two wildlife crossing structures along with wildlife fencing. | Phasing possible. Wild life crossing structures could be phased. | 8,831,000 | 1,200,000 | Potential partnership with Southern Ute Tribe, CPW |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 83 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 160: Dry Creek } \\ & \text { Passing and } \\ & \text { Mobility } \\ & \text { Improvements } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Addition of two eastbound lanes making it a divided 4 -lane highway, with two new structures on mainline in each direction and realignment of CR 223. The project also includes shoulder widening and access consolidation. | Scalable, smaller projects could be completed over time | \$ 36,000,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
| 138 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Us 160: Elmore's } \\ & \text { East } \end{aligned}$ | Completion of improvements consistent with the EIS and ROD, which includes widening, access improvements, and wildlife mitigation. | Scalable. | 34,528,000 | \$ |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 84 |  | 5 Southwest | Archuleta | US 160: Pagosa Reconstruction and Multi-Modal Improvements | Reconstruction to correct wheel rutting and addition of pedestrian facilities for safety. | Scalable with 2 distinct projects; bridge and roadway. | 23,670,000 | \$ 3,000,000 | Surface Treatment |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 80 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | US 160: <br> Reconstruction and Shoulder Widening MP oto MP 8 | Full depth reconstruction of the existing paved surface and shoulder widening. | Scalable by mile. | 25,646,000 | \$ 6,000,000 | Surface Treatment |  |  | x |  | x | x | x |
| 86 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Alamosa | US 160: Rio Grande River Bridge to SH 17 | Improvements to Rio Grande bridge, realignment of roadway, and addition of bike and pedestrian facilities in Alamosa (4th Street to SH 17). | Scalable. | 8,735,000 | \$ - |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 81 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { US 160: Towaoc } \\ \text { Passing Lanes } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Addition of passing lanes and vehicle turnouts. | Design to budget. | 11,220,000 | \$ . |  |  | x | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
| 152 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Costilla | US 160: Trinchera Safety Mitigation | Construction of an alternating passing lane in both directions and the installation of two wildlife crossing structures along with wildlife fencing. | Phasing possible. Wild life crossing structures could be phased. | \$ 15,602,000 | \$ . | \$ |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 85 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Mineral | US 160: Wolf Creek Pass East Mobility and Safety Improvements | Addition of passing opportunities, mobility and safety improvements including shoulder widening, curve corrections, rock excavation and rockfall protection, chain station reconstruction, and fiber optic ITS. | The project is highly scalable, with three distinct sections. | 91,979,000 | \$ - |  |  |  | x |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| 78 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee / Park | US 24: Safety and Mobility Improvements on Trout Creek PassPhase II | Shoulder widening/bike facilities and addition of passing lanes and bike facilities on Trout Creek Pass. | Not scalable. | 7,742,000 |  |  |  |  | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
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| 88 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Saguache | US 285: Safety and Mobilty Improvements between Center to Saguache (Widen Shoulders) | Shoulder widening from Center to Saguache. | This project is highly scalable. | 33,680,000 | \$ 2,800,000 | Surface Treatment |  | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 150 |  | 5 Southwest | Montezuma | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 491: Ute Farms } \\ & \text { Ditch } \end{aligned}$ | Extend Irrig Cross Culv 15 ' both sides, design conc channel with lateral spillway, stilling basin and low flow channel at Talk Rd | Not scalable due to size. Note: CDOT not constructing, only design \& const. reimbursement to UMUT. | 422,000 |  |  |  | x | x |  |  | x | x |
| 158 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee/Frem ont | US 50 Passing Lanes | Addition of passing opportunities, mobility and safety improvements including shoulder widening, curve corrections, rock excavation and rockfall protection. | Scalable | 8,432,000 |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 157 |  | 5 San Luis Valley | Chaffee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US 50/285 } \\ & \text { intersection } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | RAB at intersection | Not scalable | \$ $\quad 7,400,000$ |  |  |  | x |  |  |  | x |  |
| 91 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | US 550 South: Gap | Reconstruction to four lanes, including drainage, utilities, large and small mammal crossings, and intersection improvements. | Project is scalable to a two lane roadway. | 31,992,000 | \$ - |  | x | $x$ | x |  | x | x | $\times$ |
| 90 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { US } 550 \text { South: } \\ & \text { Sunnyside } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Major reconstruction requiring widening to a four lane roadway, including earthwork, drainage, irrigation, utilities, HMA paving, pedestrian bridge, sound wall, small and large mammal crossings. | Project is scalable to a two lane roadway. | 32,620,000 | \$ - |  | $\times$ |  | x |  | x |  | $\times$ |
| 92 |  | 5 Southwest | La Plata | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { US 550/US } 160 \\ \text { Connection } \end{array}$ | Completion of the connection of US 550 to US 160 at the Grandview Interchange. Phase 1 provides 2 lane configuration. Phase 2 provides for additional 2 lanes. | Design to budget | 99,600,000 | \$ 45,200,000 | FASTLANE - $\$ 12.3 \mathrm{M}$; RPP; FASTER Safety; Surface Treatment | ${ }^{x}$ | x | x |  | x | x | x |
| 93 |  | 5 Gunnison Valley | Ouray | US 550: Ridgway to Ouray Shoulder Widening | Shoulder widening between Ridgway and Ouray. | The project is highly scalable. | 17,597,000 | 7,050,000 | Surface Treatment - \$5.9 M FASTER Safety - $\$ 1.15 \mathrm{M}$ |  |  | x |  | x | x | x |
| 94 |  | 5 Gunnison Valley | Ouray | US 550: Shoulder Improvements, Deer Fencing and Animal Underpasses between Uncompahgre River and Colona (Billy Creek) | Addition of shoulders between Uncompahgre River and Colona <br> (Billy Creek). Construction of deer fencing and animal underpasses. Passing opportunities at Ridgway State Park. | Not scalable. | \$ 30,537,000 | \$ . |  |  | $x$ | $\times$ |  | x | x | x |



| Statewide Program－Asset Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need／Total Project Cost  <br> scalated Significant other funds anticipated，which reduces the identified funding need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing | Tier |  | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\grave{⿷ ⿻}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 采 } \end{aligned}$ | 毞 | $\stackrel{9}{4}$ |  |  |
| тво | Statewide |  |  | Statewide <br> Replacement of Ramp Metering System | Signals－ 200 ramp meters，mostly located in the Denver Metro area |  |  |  | 50，000，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tBd | Statewide |  |  | Statewide Replacement of Traffic Signals in Poor or Severe Condition | Signals |  |  |  | 115，000，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | South Central | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Huefano } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | 1－25：Butte Creek North to North of Pueblo County Line Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  |  |  | 25，254，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| тво | 2 | Pikes Peak Area | El Paso County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-25: } 1-25 \text { South } \\ & \text { Academy South } \\ & \text { y Surface Treatment } \end{aligned}$ | Surface Treatment |  |  |  | 32，000，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| твD | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln County | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { l-70: Genoa East } \\ \text { and West Surface } \\ \text { ITreatment } \end{array}$ | Surface Treatment |  |  |  | 64，100，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tBd | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln <br> County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \|-70: :-70 SMA at } \\ & \text { Aribobilitation } \\ & \text { Rehabiltand } \\ & \text { Westbound } \end{aligned}$ | Surface Treatment |  |  | \＄ | 41，450，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 4 | Eastern | Lincoln County | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1-70: } 1-70 \text { SMA at } \\ & \text { Arriba } \\ & \text { Rehabilitation } \\ & y \text { Easabbound } \end{aligned}$ | Surface Treatment |  |  | \＄ | 41，260，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tBd | 4 | Eastern | Kit Carson <br> County | 1－70：Burlington West，Eastbound and Westbound Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  |  | s | 48，288，676 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tBd | 2 | Southeast | Kiowa County | SH 96：Jct 287 to Kansas State Line Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  |  | \＄ | 26，500，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TBD | 2 | Central Front Range | Fremont County，EI Paso County | SH 115：West of El Paso Country Line to Rock Creek Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  |  | s | 38，326，000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| тво | 1 | Denver Area | Denver County | I－25：I－25，Alameda Ave．to I－70 Surface Treatment | Surface Treatment |  |  | s | 56，642，877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Total Project Cost Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need |  |  | Potential Funding OpportunitiesMeets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | fier | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®̈ñ }}{\substack{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\S}{\frac{8}{4}}$ | 采 | ¢ |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {T80 }}$ | TBD | TBD | TBD | RoadX Rural Safety Solutions | Identify new technology solutions to address rural safety issues like animal vehicle collisions and run off the road crashes. | Projects identified through coordination with Regions and industry partners. |  | \$5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Statewide | Statewide | Statewide | RoadX Panasonic Connected Vehicle (V2X) Ecosystem | Data platform and systems integration to support connected vehicle communications and applications. Provides real-time roadway conditions to passenger and commercial vehicles. | Existing project; funding for all project phases has yet to be identified. |  | \$50,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{80}$ | TBD | TBD | TBD | RoadX Smart Infrastructure | New technologies to improve safety and reduce delay, like smart pavement, in-pavement lighting, and dynamic lane utilization. | Projects to be identified through coordination with Regions and industry partners. |  | \$20,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 4 | Upper Front Range TPR, Eastern TPR | Weld, <br> Morgan, <br> Washington, <br> Logan, <br> Sedgwick | I-76 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Hudson and the State Line | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$40,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 5 | Southwest TPR, San Louis Valley TPR | Montezuma Archuleta, Mineral | US 160 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Durango and Wolf Creek Tunnel | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$27,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and 3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Central Front } \\ \text { Range TPR, DRCOG } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | Park, Jefferson | US 285 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Tiny Town and Fairplay | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$40,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 3 | Intermountain TPR, Grand Valley TPR | Mesa, Garfield | I-70 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Glenwood Springs and the Utah border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$47,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region 3 } \\ & \text { and } 5 \end{aligned}$ | Southwest TPR, Gunnison Valley TPR | Gunnison, Ouray, San Juan | US 550 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Montrose and Silverton | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 3, Valley | Grand Valley TPR Gunnison Valley TPR | Montrose, Delta, Mesa | US 50 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between I-70 and Montrose | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 1 \\ & \text { and } 3 \end{aligned}$ | DRCOG, Northwest TPR | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t Clear Creek } \\ & \text { and Grand } \end{aligned}$ | US 40 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between I-70 and Kremmling | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region 1 } \\ & \text { and 2 } \end{aligned}$ | DRCOG, PPACOG | Douglas, EI <br> Paso | SH 83 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Franktown and North Gate Road in Colorado Springs | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$18,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Statewide Program - Transportation Systems Management \& Operations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Total Project Cost <br> Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need |  |  | Potential Funding OpportunitiesMeets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Tier | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  |  |  | 㐍 | ¢ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 䓂 } \\ & \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{a}} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 2 | ppacog | Teller, El Paso | US 24 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructur | Instalation of ITS devices between 1-25 and Woodland Park | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 2,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \%80 | Region 1 | DRCOG | Jefferson | US 285 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between C470 and Kipling | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 1,500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 1 | drcog | Jefferson | C-470 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between US 85 and SH 83 | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 1,500,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T80 | Region 5 | SWTPR | Montezuma | US 550 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Durango and New Mexico border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 5,00,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 5 | Gunnison Valley TPR | Ouray, San <br> Miguel | SH 62 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Ridgeway SH 145 and US 550 | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 6,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 1 and 4 | DRCOG | Denver, Broomfield, Boulder | US 287 Intelligent <br> Transportation <br> Systems <br> Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Denver and Longmont | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 5,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | Region 2 | South Central TPR | Las Animas | I-25 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructur | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Aguilar and New Mexico border | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 10,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {r80 }}$ | ${ }_{-}^{\text {Region }} 2$ | Central Front Range TPR, San Louis Valley | Rio Grande, <br> Saguache, Chaffee, Park | US 285 Intelligent <br> Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fairplay and Monte Vista | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$45,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | Region 4 | North Front Range MPO, Upper Front Range TPR, Eastern TPR | $\begin{array}{l\|l}  \\ \text { n } & \\ & \text { Larimer, Weld, }, \\ \text { Logan } \end{array}$ | SH 14 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fort Collins | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{180}$ | Region 2 | PACOG, Southeast TPR | Pueblo, Crowley, Bent, Prowers | US 50 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Pueblo and Lamar | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 27,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 | Region 3 | Central Front Range TPR, Intermountain TPR | Park, Summit | SH 9 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure |  Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Fairplay and <br> Breckenridge  | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | 19,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Total Project Cost  <br> Cost Estimate Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need |  |  | Potential Funding OpportunitiesMeets minimum eligibility requirements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Notes | Tier | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{e}{e n}}{\substack{0}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 芘 } \end{aligned}$ | 㐍 | 혼 |  |  |
| T80 | Region 2 | PPACOG, Central Front Range TPR Eastern TPR | El Paso, Elbert Lincoln | US 24 Intelligent Transportation Systems Infrastructure | Installation of fiber-optics and ITS devices between Colorado Springs and Limon | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$11,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {T80 }}$ | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Connected/Autono mous Vehicle (CV/AV) Network on Corridors with Existing Fiber | Dedicated Short Range Communication (DSRC) roadside units and cellular infrastructure to support vehicle-to-vehicle (V21) communications and safety \& mobility applications. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. |  | \$51,195,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Statewide: Adding fiber to ballot list roadway projects from 5 Regions | Costs to add fiber optics and conduit to projects on the roadway ballot list. The funds are dedicated for fiber optic line only. This does not include devices. | Identified in the Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plan and Statewide ITS Planning Efforts. |  | \$26,805,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 3 \\ & \text { and } 4 \end{aligned}$ | Grand Valley TPR North Front Range MPO | Mess, Weld | Traffic Management Centers | New TMCS in Region 4 and Regions 3 | Identified in Region ITS Strategic Implementation Plans. Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. |  | \$30,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R80 | Region 1 | DRCOG |  | Intelligent Ramp Metering Upgrades | Upgrading ramp metering data collection and systems on freeways in Region 1. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. |  | \$50,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R80 | Region 1, others | DRCOG, North Front Range MPO, Upper Front Range, others TBD |  | Adaptive Traffic Signals | Deploying Adaptive Traffic Signals on arterials in Region 1 with strategic deployments in other Regions. | A study underway and the Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. |  | \$15,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {r80 }}$ | Statewide | TBD | TBD | Connecting Traffic Signals to Fiber Network | Instaling fiber optics on signalized corridors. | Smart Mobility Plan will provide refined priorities for implementation. |  | \$25,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¹80 | statewide | TBD | TBD | Bottleneck Reduction Projects | Low cost, high benefit projects to reduce delays and improve safety at identified bottleneck locations. | Projects are from the Statewide Bottleneck Reduction project list. |  | \$52,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Statewide Program - ADA Curb Ramps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Updated Funding Need/Total Project Cost <br> Significant other funds anticipated, which reduces the identified funding need |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Project ID | Region | TPR | County | Project Name | Project Description | Phasing | Tier | Total Project Cost | Other Funding | Other Funding Assumptions |  | $\stackrel{\text { ®̈n }}{\stackrel{0}{0}}$ | $\stackrel{\widetilde{4}}{\underline{\underline{4}}}$ | 㐍 | 눌 |  |  |
| rio |  |  |  | ADA Curb Ramps | Anticipated funding needed for strategic, programmatic approach to addressing non-accessible curb ramps that are not scheduled to be addressed through regular project delivery in support of the American's with Disabilities Act. |  |  | \$ 65,000,000 |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |  |  | $\times$ |  |
| T80 |  |  |  | ADA Curb Ramps | Anticipated funding needed for strategic, programmatic approach to addressing non-accessible curb ramps that are not scheduled to be addressed through regular project delivery in support of the American's with Disabilities Act. |  |  | \$ 20,000,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| т80 |  |  |  | ADA Pedestrian <br> Push Buttons | Anticipated funding needed for addressing non-compliant pedestrian push buttons, including upgrading existing pedestrian push button facilities to Accessible Pedestrian Signals as needed in support of the American's with Disabilities Act. |  |  | 23,870,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

