



APPLICATION OVERVIEW

The Safer Main Streets Initiative opened on July 9, 2020. Applications are due no later than 5 PM on Friday August 14th, 2020. Please submit your application to Danny Herrmann and JoAnn Mattson at CDOT.

- If needed, data is available to help the applicant fill out the application, *especially Part 3*, and can be found <u>here</u>.
- The application must be affirmed by either the applicant's City or County Manager or Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) for local governments, or agency director or equivalent for other applicants.
- Further details on project eligibility, evaluation criteria, and selection process are defined on the *CDOT Safer Main Streets* webpage.
- If you are submitting more than one project for consideration, please submit a separate application for each.
- For questions about the DRCOG Regional Vision Zero program or High Injury Network, please reach out to Beth Doliboa at DRCOG (BDoliboa@DRCOG.org)
- For all other inquiries,, please reach out to Danny Herrmann and JoAnn Mattson at CDOT (danny.herrmann@state.co.us) and (joann.mattson@state.co.us)

APPLICATION OUTLINE

Part **1** | Project Information

Applicants will enter basic information for their *project/plan* (hereafter referred to as *project*) in Part 1, including a Problem Statement, project description, and concurrence documentation from CDOT and/or RTD, if applicable. Part 1 will not be scored.

Part 2 | Evaluation Criteria, Questions and Scoring

This part includes sections for the **applicant to provide qualitative and quantitative responses** to use for scoring projects. To learn more about how projects will be scored, please see the eligibility rules and selection process <u>document</u>.

Part 3 | Project Data – Calculations and Estimates

Based on the applicant's project elements, applicants will complete the appropriate sections to estimate benefit values. Part 3 is not scored, and the quantitative responses should be used to back-up the applicant's qualitative narrative.

Pa	Part 1 Project Information					
1.	Project Title	2				
2.	. Project Type					
3.	Geographic Provide a ma	t/End points o Area p with submitto project location	al that			
4.	Project App	licant				
5.	•	tact Person, Ti ber, and Emai				
6.	6. Does this project touch CDOT Right-of-Way, involve a CDOT roadway, Yes No If yes, provide applicable concurrence					If yes, provide applicable concurrence documentation with submittal (please use
7.	What plann document(s the need fo project?	-	Provid	e link to document/s and refe	erenced page n	umber/s
8.	Project Ove	e rview (concise	e abstrac	t limited to 500 characters)	
9.	Project Description. Describe your project and what it is going to do. Do not include background information or justification language. Please only include details specific to the work that will occur as part of this project. (limited to 1,500 characters)					
10.	Define the s description.	• •	cific elen	nents of the project. Each t	ask should st	art with a title and follow with a
	Task 1:					
	Task 2:					
	Task 3:					
	Task 4:					
11.	Task 5: 11. Is the project scalable, and/or do project components have independent utility? Accordingly, would a smaller amount than requested be Yes No acceptable, while maintaining the original intent of the project? Yes No					
	If yes, define smaller meaningful limits, size, or scopes, along with the cost for each, if the project is scalable.					
12	12. Please confirm you have completed and attached the CDOT Risk Assessment Form.					

 \square Yes, I have completed and attached the CDOT Risk Assessment Form

A. Project Financial Information and Funding Request

1.	Total Project Cost	\$	
2.	Total amount of funding request Maximum is 80% of total project cost per federal match requirements.	\$	of total project cost
3.	Outside Funding Partners List each funding partner/source and contribution amount.	\$\$ Contribution Amount	% of Contribution to Overall Total Project Cost
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
		\$	
То	tal amount of funding provided by other funding partners (private, local, state, regional or federal)	\$	

Funding Breakdown (by year)						
		FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	Total	
Federal Funds		\$	\$	\$	\$	
State Funds*		\$	\$	\$	\$	
Local Funds		\$	\$	\$	\$	
Total Funding		\$	\$	\$	\$	

*State funds are only available within CDOT Region 1 (Adams, Arapahoe, Douglas, and Jefferson counties, City and County of Broomfield and City and County of Denver). Requests for State Funds will be considered but cannot be guaranteed. Allocation of Federal and State funds will be determined by CDOT and DRCOG.

4. By checking this box, the applicant's Chief Elected Official (Mayor or County Commission Chair) or City/County Manager for local governments or Agency Director or equivalent for others, has certified it allows this project request to be submitted for funding and will follow all CDOT and DRCOG policies and state and federal regulations when completing this project, if funded.

Part 2 Evaluation Criteria, Questions, and Scoring

A. Multimodal safety and access

Provide qualitative and quantitative (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on the significance of the proposed project.

1. Why is this project important?

2. How specifically does the project improve safety?

3. Describe the crash history at the project location. Is the project located on the Regional High Injury Network or identified as a Critical Corridor in the Regional Vision Zero?

4. Does the project include any transit elements or support existing transit service? Is the project located on an identified future BRT corridor? Please explain.

5. How will the project increase transit ridership or bicycle and pedestrian travel?

6. Identify any aspects of the project that would involve new technologies or innovative methods.

7. Describe whether and how the project serves a designated Urban Center and/or Active Transportation Plan Pedestrian Focus Area.

8. Describe how the project will expand access to opportunity for residents of all ages, incomes and abilities.

9. How will the project benefit vulnerable users or populations? Please note whether or not the project is located in a designated Environmental Justice area.

B. Public support, readiness, and local match

Provide qualitative and quantitative (derived from Part 3 of the application) responses to the following questions on the level of support, readiness and matching funds for the project.

1. Does the proposed project cross and/or benefit multiple municipalities? If yes, which ones and how?

- 2. Describe any environmental clearance work that has been completed to date.
- **3.** Which project phases (design, environmental, right-of-way, utilities, etc.) are anticipated to be completed with the assistance of consultants?
- **4.** Do you anticipate the construction to be led by CDOT or your agency? Note that CDOT reserves the right to lead any awarded project located on a state highway.
- 5. Describe any proposed changes to maintenance or ownership of any assets or facilities after construction, if applicable.
- 6. What is/are the source(s) and status of local matching funds? Will the project include the devolution of any State highways?

Part 3

<u>Project Data</u> – Calculations + Estimates (Complete all subsections applicable to the project)

A. Transit Use

- 1. Current ridership weekday boardings
- 2. Population and Employment

	Within 1/4 mile	Within 1/2 mile	Within 1 Mile
Population			
Employment			

	Transit Use Calculations	Most recent year	After Completion
3.	Enter estimated additional daily transit boardings after project is completed. <i>Provide supporting documentation as part of application submittal</i>		
4.	Number of one-way SOV trips reduced per day		
5.	If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:		
6.	If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:		

B. Bicycle Use					
1. Current weekday bicyclists					
2. Population and Employment					

	Within 1/4 mile	Within 1/2 mile	Within 1 Mile
Population			
Employment			

Bicycle Use Calculations	Most recent year	After Completion			
 Enter estimated additional weekday one-way bicycle trips on the facility after project is completed. 					
4. Number of SOV trips reduced per day					
5. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:					
5. If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:					

C. Pedestrian Use

1. Current weekday pedestrians (include users of all non-pedaled devices)

2. Population and Employment

	Within 1/4 mile	Within 1/2 mile	Within 1 Mile
Population			
Employment			

	Pedestrian Use Calculations	Most recent year	After Completion		
3.	Enter estimated additional weekday pedestrian one-way trips on the facility after project is completed				
4.	Number of SOV trips reduced per day				
5.	. If values would be distinctly greater for weekends, describe the magnitude of difference:				
6.	If different values other than the suggested are used, please explain here:				

D. Vulnerable Populations

	Vulnerable Populations	Population within 1 Mile	Percent of total population within 1 mile
	1. Persons over age 65		
Use Most Current	2. Minority persons		
Census Data	3. Low-Income households		
	4. Linguistically-challenged persons		
	5. Individuals with disabilities		
	6. Households without a motor vehicle		
	7. Children ages 6-17		
	8. Health service facilities served by project		
	9. Describe how your project will impact these	vulnerable populations:	

Ε.	E. Potential Crash Reduction Estimates					
1.	Provide the current number of crashes involving motor vehicles, bicyclists, and pedestrians (most recently available 5-year period of data) Fatal crashes					
	Serious Injury crashes		Applicant must use industry			
	Other Injury crashes		accepted crash reduction factors (CRF) or accident modification factor (AMF) practices (<i>e.g.</i> ,			
	Property Damage Only crashes					
2.	Estimated reduction in crashes applicable to the project scope	N	ICHRP Project 17-25, NCHRP Report 617, or AASHTO HSM			
	Fatal crashes reduced	n	methodologies and industry best			
	Serious Injury crashes reduced		practices).			
	Other Injury crashes reduced					
	Property Damage Only crashes reduced					

F. Facility Condition			
	Applicant must use a current industry-accepted pavement condition method or system and calculate the average condition across all sections of pavement being replaced or modified. Applicants will rate as: Excellent, Good, Fair, or Poor		
Bicycle/Pedestrian/Other Facility			
1. Cu	urrent bicycle/pedestrian/other facility condition		
2. D	escribe current condition issues and how the project will address them:		
3. Av	verage Daily User Volume		

G. Other Potential Benefits (as identified by the applicant).		
1.		
2.		
3.		