# INTERMOUNTAIN 2040 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN CORRIDOR PROFILES 

Corridor: I-70 / SH 6 West Mountain Corridor B
Description: Major East-West Route MP 116 to MP 190
The Vision for the I-70 corridor between Glenwood Springs to the Summit County line is primarily to increase mobility as well as to improve safety and to maintain system quality. This corridor serves as a multimodal interstate facility connecting to places outside the region and making east-west connections within the Colorado Rocky Mountains. In addition, it provides for hazardous materials transport and military defense for our country. The transportation system in the area serves towns, cities, and destinations within and beyond the corridor. The I-70 Mountain Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Study, currently underway, is evaluating alternatives for this corridor. Users have indicated the need for turn lanes in congested areas and consistent shoulders and axillary lanes. Future travel modes may include passenger vehicle, bus service, an advanced guide-way system, passenger rail, truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase significantly. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, system preservation, and environmental responsibility. The economy in the corridor depends highly on tourism and the economic benefits of the presence of many second homes. These two factors are directly related to the recreational opportunities provided by large amounts of public lands and bountiful natural environmental amenities. Users of this corridor want to preserve the mountain character of the area, while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and consumer goods in and through the corridor and recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area. This corridor is included in the 2003 Strategic Investment Plan, and should be included in future strategic programming efforts. Segments of SH 6, from Dotsero to Dowd Junction to I-70 over Loveland Pass, are parallel facilities that support the vision of the I-70 corridor by providing for local access needs and east-west connection for communities along the corridor. I-70 F and I-70 G are the spur roads connecting SH 6 to I-70 at Eagle and Edwards. These spur roads also provide for local access needs as well as connection to the interstate system.

## Goals (I-70):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Recreation travel
- Expand transit usage
- Promote environmentally-responsible transportation improvements


## Goals (SH 6):

- Increase travel reliability and improve mobility
- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Expand transit usage
- Provide for bicycle/pedestrian travel
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate

Corridor: I -70 / SH 6 West Mountain Corridor B (cont'd)
Solutions

| Benefits | Strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | I-70 |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add accel/decel lanes |
| Capacity | Add new interchanges/intersections |
| Operations | Add ramp metering |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and rail services |
|  | Provide inter-modal connections |
| Aviation | Expand Air Service |
| Freight | Promote rail studies |
| Environment | Construct noise barriers |
|  | Improve wildlife crossings |
|  | Promote environmental responsibility |
|  | SH 6 |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Consolidate \& limit access \& develop access management plans |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Transit | Add bus pullouts |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and rail services |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
|  | Stripe and sign designated bike lanes |
|  | Develop bicycle/pedestrian master plans |
| System Preservation | Bridge repairs/replacement |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |

## Corridor: I-70 West of Glenwood Springs

Description: I-70A: DeBeque to Glenwood Springs, MP 61 to MP 116
The Vision for the I-70 corridor west of Glenwood Springs is primarily to increase mobility as well as to maintain system quality and to improve safety. This corridor serves as a multimodal Interstate facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes east-west connections within the Colorado River Valley. The transportation system in the area primarily serves towns, cities, and destinations within the corridor as well as destinations outside of the corridor. Users have requested wildlife mitigation, modernizing all interchanges to current standards, and a PEL study for South Canyon capacity and rockfall/debris concerns. Future travel modes expected in the corridor include passenger vehicle, bus service, passenger rail, truck freight, rail freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connection to other areas, safety, system preservation, and regional commuter travel. In fact, this corridor, in conjunction with the SH 82 corridor, represents a significant regional commuter travel corridor between Garfield County and the Roaring Fork Valley. The corridor depends on tourism, agriculture, and commercial activity for economic activity in the area; fiber optic lines along I-70 and along the rail corridor also support economic viability. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural and agricultural character of the area, while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, freight, and farm-to-market products in and through the corridor and recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area. The communities of Glenwood Springs, New Castle, Silt, and Rifle have expressed interest in the development of a regional bicycle and pedestrian trail to support mobility, recreation and economic development. This corridor should be included in future strategic programming efforts. Sections of SH 6, from DeBeque to Parachute and from I-70 west of Rifle to Canyon Creek, are parallel facilities that provide for local access needs and east-west connections between communities along the corridor. I-70 E, the Silt Spur Road, also provides for local access needs as well as connection to the Interstate system. Since the 2030 plan the level of traffic has increased on this corridor due to natural resources extraction, which has caused increased congestion at interchanges and deterioration of the road surface.

## Goals (I-70):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Expand transit usage
- Preserve the existing transportation system
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Provide for bicycle and pedestrian travel within the corridor


## Goals (SH 6):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Support recreation travel
- Provide for bicycle/pedestrian travel
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition

Corridor: I-70 West of Glenwood Springs (cont'd)
Solutions

| Benefits | Strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
| I-70 |  |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Capacity | Add or improve interchanges/intersections |
|  | Construct intersection/interchange improvements |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct bicycle/pedestrian overpasses |
|  | Construct separated bike facilities |
|  | Provide bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |
| SH 6 |  |
| Safety | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Consolidate and limit access and develop access management plans |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Bridge repairs/replacement |
|  | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |
| Aviation | Expand air service |

## Corridor: I -70 / SH 6 West Mountain Corridor A

Description: Major East-West Route MP 190 to MP 216
The Vision for the I-70 corridor between the Summit County line and the Eisenhower Tunnel is primarily to increase mobility as well as to improve safety and to maintain system quality. This corridor serves as a multimodal Interstate facility connecting to places outside the region and making east-west connections within the Colorado Rocky Mountains. In addition, it provides for hazardous materials transport and military defense for our country. The transportation system in the area serves towns, cities, and destinations within and beyond the corridor. The I-70 Mountain Corridor Programmatic Environmental Impact Study, currently underway, is evaluating alternatives for this corridor. Future travel modes may include passenger vehicle, bus service, an advanced guideway system, passenger rail, truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase significantly. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, system preservation, and environmental responsibility. The economy in the corridor depends highly on tourism and the economic benefits of the presence of many second homes. These two factors are directly related to the recreational opportunities provided by large amounts of public lands and bountiful natural environmental amenities. Users of this corridor want to preserve the mountain character of the area, while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and consumer goods in and through the corridor and recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area. This corridor is included in the 2003 Strategic Investment Plan, and should be included in future strategic programming efforts. One segment of SH 6, from Dillon to I-70 over Loveland Pass, is a parallel facility that supports the vision of the I-70 corridor by providing for local access needs and east-west connection for communities along the corridor.

## Goals (I-70):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Support recreation travel
- Promote environmentally responsible transportation improvements
- Expand transit usage

Goals (SH 6 - Vail-Dotsero):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition

Goals (SH 6 - Summit County):

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems
- Add or improve interchanges/intersections
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition

Corridor: I-70 / SH 6 West Mountain Corridor A (cont'd)
Solutions

| Benefits | Strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
| I-70 |  |
| Safety | Add general purpose lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
| Capacity | Add or improve interchanges/intersections |
|  | Construct, improve, and maintain the system of local roads |
| Operations | Add ramp metering |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide intermodal connections |
| Aviation | Expand air service |
| Environment | Improve permeability for wildlife with targeted mitigation measures |
|  | Add infiltration trench and basins |
|  | Construct noise barriers |
| SH 6 - Eagle |  |
| Safety | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add general purpose lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Consolidate and limit access and develop access management plans |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park-and-ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Reconstruct roadways |
|  | Bridge repairs/replacements |
| SH 6 - Summit County |  |
| Safety | Add general purpose lanes |
|  | Add medians |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Consolidate and limit access and develop access management plans |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park-and-ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Provide bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Reconstruct roadways |
|  | Bridge repairs/replacements |
| Environment | Provide for Hazardous Materials transportation |

Corridor: SH 9 - Fairplay to Breckenridge
Description: SH 9C between Fairplay and Breckenridge MP 64 to MP 86
The Vision for the SH 9 corridor south of Breckenridge is primarily to improve safety as well as to maintain system quality and to increase mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility connecting to places outside the region and making north-south connections within the Upper Blue River Valley. The transportation system serves towns, cities, and destinations within the corridor as well as destinations outside the corridor. Users have requested to make Hoosier Pass more user-friendly by adding climbing lanes, adding bicycle path and widening shoulders south of Breckenridge. Future modes of travel include passenger vehicle, bus service (regional), truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value environmental responsibility in establishing transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. Recreation and tourism are the primary economic drivers in the area. Preserving the rural mountain character of the area, while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters, in and through the corridor, is important to the users of the corridor; as is recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Support commuter and recreation travel
- Expand transit usage
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition


## Solutions

| Benefits | Strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Consolidate \& limit access \& develop access management plans |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Improve visibility/sight lines |
| Capacity | Construct, improve and maintain the system of local roads |
|  | Promote carpooling and vanpooling |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| Environment | Add drainage improvements |
|  | Add shallow wetlands construction |
|  | Improve wildlife crossings |

Corridor: SH 9 - Breckenridge to I-70 at Frisco
Description: SH 9C: Breckenridge to I-70 at Frisco MP 86 to MP 97
The Vision for the SH 9 corridor from Breckenridge to Frisco is primarily to increase mobility as well as to improve safety and to maintain system quality. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connecting to places outside the region and making north-south connections within the Upper Blue River Valley. The SH 9 Frisco to Breckenridge Environmental Impact Study (EIS), which was completed in 2004, selected a preferred alternative as indicated in the record of decision. Users have requested the construction of a new alignment at Iron Springs. This corridor project is a change to EIS and is in the process of Environmental Assessment; a decision document is expected in the summer of 2014. Also, expanded the number of lanes from Breckenridge to Frisco to help relieve congestion and improve traffic flow. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, safety, and system preservation. Tourism, recreation, and commercial activities are the economic drivers in the area. Although there are areas of dense urban development along the corridor, users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters in and through the corridor. At the same time, it is important that transportation improvements in the corridor recognize the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Support commuter and recreation travel
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Expand transit usage
- Provide for bicycle/pedestrian travel


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Add general purpose lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Consolidate \& limit access \& develop access management plans |
| Capacity | Promote carpooling and vanpooling |
| Operations | Improve ITS incident response, traveler info \& traffic management |
|  | Promote use and maintenance of variable message signs |
| Transit | Add bus pullouts |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 9

Description: SH 9 North of I-70 to Kremmling MP 101 to MP 139
The Vision for the SH 9 corridor north of I-70 is primarily to improve safety while maintaining system quality and increasing mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes north-south connections within the Lower Blue River Valley, providing for commuter travel and public land access. Users have requested wildife mitigation techniques and safety crossings. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service (intercity), truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and Transportation Demand Management. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. A temporary increase in semi-trailer traffic is expected for the harvesting of timber. This corridor is included in the 2003 Strategic Investment Plan, and should be included in future strategic programming efforts. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend on tourism, agriculture, and commercial activity for economic activity in the area. Although there are high levels of development within Silverthorne, users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Increase travel reliability and improve mobility
- Support recreation travel
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Expand transit usage


## Solutions

| Benefits | Strategy |
| :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
| Capacity | Promote carpooling and vanpooling |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain transit stations |
|  | Market transit services and provide incentives |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 13

## Description: SH 13 - Rifle to Meeker MP 0 to MP 41

The Vision for the SH 13 Rifle to Meeker corridor is to provide an intermodal transportation network that will enhance the safety aspects while simultaneously preserving the wildlife, viewscape, and outdoor recreational benefits of this critical north-south alternative link. Although the primary investment category is safety, this corridor serves an important mobility function. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, primarily serving areas outside the corridor, making north-south connections within the Government Creek Valley area. Users have recommended widening shoulders and adding passing lanes from SH 325 to Garfield County Line. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. Tourism, recreation, energy and freight movements are important economic factors in this area; therefore, the communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. The compatibility of wildlife and vehicular traffic needs to be continually assessed in developing and evaluating transportation improvements.

## Goals

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Expand transit usage
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Promote environmentally responsible transportation improvements


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Capacity | Add new interchanges/intersections |
|  | Add roadway bypasses |
|  | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Construct, improve and maintain the system of local roads |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 24

Description: SH 24 - Dowd Junction to Leadville MP 143 to MP 177
The Vision for the SH 24 corridor north of Leadville is primarily to improve safety, while maintaining system quality and increasing mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, provides commuter access, serves as a secondary route for I-70 and makes east-west connections within the Arkansas River and Eagle River valleys. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Users have recommended the addition of an alternate route from Leadville to Minturn and widening shoulders from Minturn to Leadville with the addition of bike lanes. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service (regional), truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. In addition, there is the potential for future rail service and bicycle/pedestrian facilities on the Tennessee Pass line. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend primarily on tourism, recreation and freight movements for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area. SH 24 , in conjunction with SH 91, provide an alternate route for I-70.

## Goals

- Support commuter and recreation travel
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Expand transit usage


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Safety | Atrategy |  |  |  |
|  | Add accel/decel lanes |  |  |  |
|  | Add passing lanes |  |  |  |
|  | Improve hot spots |  |  |  |
|  | Add turn lanes |  |  |  |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |  |  |  |
|  | Improve geometrics |  |  |  |
| Capacity | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |  |  |  |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |  |  |  |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |  |  |  |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |  |  |  |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |  |  |  |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |  |  |  |

## Corridor: SH 24

Description: SH 24 - Leadville to Buena Vista MP 177 to MP 210
The Vision for the SH 24 corridor south of Leadville is primarily to improve safety as well as to maintain system quality and to increase mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes east-west connections within the Arkansas River Valley area. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Due to strong cross winds in Valley Center, users have recommended the addition of shoulders. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service, truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, and aviation. In addition, there is the potential for future rail service via the Tennessee Pass line. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to experience only minimal increases. Since this corridor was added to the hazmat route system, there has been a noticeable increase in truck and bicycle traffic. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend primarily on tourism, recreation agriculture and freight movements for economic activity in the area. Recently this corridor was designated as a "gold medal" fly fishery and will continue to see increased tourism. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Provide for tourist-friendly travel
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Support economic development and maintain environment


## Strategies

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Strategy |
|  | Add accel/decel lanes |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
| Capacity | Improve geometrics |
| Transit | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 82

Description: SH 82 - Glenwood Springs to Aspen MP 0 to MP 40
The Vision for the SH 82 corridor between Glenwood Springs and Aspen is primarily to increase mobility as well as to maintain system quality and to improve safety. This corridor serves as a multimodal roadway on the National Highway System, providing commuter access, and making east-west connections within the Roaring Fork River Valley. The transportation system in the area primarily serves towns, cities, and destinations within the corridor as well as destinations outside the corridor. Brush Creek Road is an important link between the Town of Snowmass Village and SH 82. Users have requested the addition of wildlife mitigation techniques, mobility improvements through Glenwood Springs and placing an emphasis on local circulation to reduce traffic, and completing the entrance to Aspen. Future travel modes are envisioned to include passenger vehicle, bus service (intercity and regional), a public bus rapid transit (BRT) system, truck freight, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. BRT along the SH 82 corridor and $\mathrm{I}-70$, and should be included in future strategic programming efforts. This corridor, in conjunction with the I70 corridor west of Glenwood Springs, serves as a primary commuter corridor between Garfield County communities and the Roaring Fork Valley. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend on oil and gas development, manufacturing, tourism, high-tech activity, agriculture, commercial activity, aggregate mining, and the ski industry for economic activity in the area. While there are distinct areas of urban development, users of this corridor want to preserve the rural, mountain, and agricultural character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and freight in and through the corridor. The importance of open space, economic vitality, and cultural/environmental/recreational benefits is well recognized in this corridor.

## Goals

- Support economic development and maintain environment
- Expand transit, bicycle and pedestrian mobility
- Preserve the existing transportation system
- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Add new interchanges/intersections |
|  | Add roadway bypasses |
|  | Add local circulation elements |
|  | Construct intersection/interchange improvements |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct grade-separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
|  | Provide bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways and bridges |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 82

Description: SH 82 - Aspen to SH 24 MP 40 to MP 85
The Vision for the SH 82 corridor between Aspen and SH 24 is primarily to improve safety as well as to maintain system quality and to increase mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes east-west connections within the Arkansas River and Roaring Fork River valleys. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Users have requested pavement improvements on Independence Pass, which will bring more pedestrians and bicyclists to area. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle and bicycle/pedestrian facilities. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to remain generally constant. The communities along the corridor value connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend on tourism and recreation for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists in and through the corridor. The importance of environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area is well recognized.

## Goals

- Increase travel reliability and improve mobility
- Reduce fatalities, injuries and property damage crash rate
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Promote erosion control and stabilize slopes
- Promote environmentally responsible transportation improvements
- Expand bicycle and pedestrian mobility


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Strategy |
|  | Add guardrails |
|  | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Add rest areas |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Capacity | Improve visibility/sight lines |
|  | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Reconstruct roadways |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

## Corridor: SH 91

Description: SH 91 - Leadville to Copper Mountain MP 0 to MP 23
The Vision for the SH 91 corridor is primarily to improve safety, with system quality maintenance and increased mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, provides commuter access, and makes north-south connections within the Arkansas River Valley and Ten Mile Creek areas. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. This corridor serves as a critical alternate route during I-70 closures. Users have requested shoulder improvements with bicycle lanes. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service, truck freight, bicycle/pedestrian facilities, aviation, and Transportation Demand Management. Both passenger and freight traffic volumes have increased in recent years and, based on historic and projected population and employment levels, are expected to continue increasing. The communities along the corridor value high levels of mobility, transportation choices, connections to other areas, and safety. They depend on tourism, recreation and rock mining extraction for economic activity; historically, mining has been a primary economic generator in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Support commuter and recreation travel
- Reduce fatalities, injuries and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies and maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Support economic development and maintain environment
- Expand transit usage


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
|  |  |
| Safety | Add accel/decel lanes |
|  | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Capacity | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bike facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |

## Corridor: SH 131

Description: SH 131A/B: I-70 at Wolcott to Steamboat Springs MP 0 to MP 33
The Vision for the SH 131 corridor is primarily to improve safety, with maintaining system quality and increased mobility as secondary concerns. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes north-south connections within the Upper Colorado River Valley area. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Users have recommended widening shoulders and the addition of passing lanes from Wolcott to McCoy. This addition would directly benefit recreational cyclists and participants in the US Pro Cycling Challenges, which would boost local economic activity. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bicycle/pedestrian, passenger rail, truck freight, and rail freight. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend on tourism, recreation and agriculture for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and freight in and through the corridor. The environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area are well recognized.

## Goals

- Support recreation travel
- Improve access to public lands
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Promote environmentally responsible transportation improvements


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety |  |
|  | Add guardrails |
|  | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Improve hot spots |
| Capacity | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Bridge repairs/replacement |
| Environment | Improve wildlife crossings |

Corridor: SH 133 - Hotchkiss to Carbondale
Description: SH 133A: Hotchkiss to SH 82 at Carbondale MP 0 to MP 69
The Vision for the SH 133 corridor is primarily to improve safety, while maintaining system quality and increasing mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes north-south connections within the Crystal River Valley. The corridor also serves as an important access to I-70 corridor for the West Slope communities. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Users have recommended the realignment of McClure Pass away from a rockfall zone. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, bus service, (regional) truck freight, bicycle/ pedestrian facilities, and Transportation Demand Management. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, passenger traffic volumes are expected to increase while freight volumes will generally remain constant. The communities along the corridor value transportation choices, connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation, and depend on tourism, recreation and freight movements for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists and commuters in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the area.

## Goals

- Reduce traffic congestion and improve traffic flow
- Coordinate transportation and land use decisions
- Support commuter and recreation travel
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Safety | Strategy |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Add guardrails |
|  | Consolidate \& limit access \& develop access management plans |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Tmprove rock fall mitigation |
| Transit | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Construct separated bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
|  | Provide bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |

Corridor: SH 139 - I-70 to Rangely
Description: SH 139A: I-70 to Rangely MP 0 to MP 72
The Vision for the SH 139 corridor is primarily to improve safety with system quality and mobility improvements as secondary concerns. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, connects to places outside the region, and makes north-south connections within the Douglas Pass area. The transportation system in the area primarily serves destinations outside of the corridor. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, truck freight, and rail freight. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to increase. The communities along the corridor value connections to other areas, safety, and system preservation. They depend on tourism, natural resource extraction, and agriculture for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural mountain character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and freight in and through the corridor, recognizing the environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area.

## Goals

- Support recreation travel
- Improve access to public lands
- Reduce fatalities, injuries, and property damage crash rate
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Strategy |
|  | Add guardrails |
|  | Add passing lanes |
|  | Add turn lanes |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Improve hot spots |
| Trapacity | Add roadway pullouts for breakdowns, buses and slow vehicles |
|  | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
| System Preservation | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
|  | Add surface treatment/overlays |
|  | Bridge repairs/replacement |

Corridor: SH 300 - SH 24 to End
Description: SH 300A: SH 24 at Malta to End MP 0 to MP 3.35
The Vision for the SH 300 corridor is primarily to maintain system quality as well as to improve safety and to increase mobility. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, provides local access to the National Fish Hatchery, and makes east-west connections within the Arkansas River Valley. The transportation system in the area primarily serves towns, cities, and destinations within the corridor. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, truck freight, and bicycle/pedestrian facilities. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to remain generally constant. The communities along the corridor value safety and system preservation, and they depend primarily on tourism and recreation for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists in and through the corridor. The environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area are well recognized.

## Goals

- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Provide for safe movement of bicycles and pedestrians
- Preserve the existing transportation system
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Support economic development and maintain environment


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| Safety | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
| Capacity | Construct, improve and maintain the system of local roads |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| Bicycle \& Pedestrian | Provide bicycle/pedestrian facilities |
|  | Stripe and sign designated bike lanes |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |
| Environment | Add drainage improvements |
|  | Improve wildlife crossings |
|  | Promote environmental responsibility |

Corridor: SH 325 - SH 13 to CR 217
Description: SH 325A: SH 13 north of Rifle to End at County Road 217 MP 0 to MP 11
The Vision for the SH 325 corridor is primarily to maintain system quality, with safety and mobility improvements as secondary concerns. This corridor serves as a multimodal local facility, provides local access, and makes north-south connections within the Rifle Gap area. The transportation system in the area primarily serves towns, cities, and destinations within the corridor. Future travel modes include passenger vehicle, truck freight, and bicycle/pedestrian facilities. Based on historic and projected population and employment levels, both passenger and freight traffic volumes are expected to remain generally constant. The communities along the corridor value safety, system preservation, and connection to the Flattops Wilderness Area. They depend on tourism and agriculture for economic activity in the area. Users of this corridor want to preserve the rural, mountain, and agricultural character of the area while supporting the movement of tourists, commuters, and farm-to-market products in and through the corridor. The environmental, economic, and social needs of the surrounding area are well recognized.

## Goals

- Support recreation travel
- Improve access to public lands
- Eliminate shoulder deficiencies
- Maintain or improve pavement to optimal condition
- Promote environmentally responsible transportation improvements


## Solutions

| Benefits |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Safety | Adrategy |
|  | Add/improve shoulders |
|  | Improve geometrics |
|  | Improve hot spots |
|  | Improve rock fall mitigation |
| Transit | Provide and expand transit bus and advanced guideway systems |
|  | Construct and maintain park and ride facilities |
| System Preservation | Add surface treatment/overlays |

