

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
1	1-70	Glenwood Springs to C- 470	High	Improve I-70 Interchanges; Vail Pass climbing lanes; Dowd Junction ramps	Safety, Operations & Capacity	Regional Bus, Bicycle Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus Intercity Bus	Airport access; links Denver and recreation/ski areas, Freight • Tourism • Tourism • Tourism		<ul> <li>Improve curves at Wolcott, Increase access to bike trails; Add pedestrian bridge at exit 105; Add alternate route as detours for Glenwood Canyon and Vail pass</li> <li>Eagle County Airport Interchange</li> <li>Wolcott Curves</li> <li>Add lane, capacity EB</li> <li>Get more cars off road</li> <li>Events all year long</li> <li>Provide full-year improved local streets &amp; roads to significantly reduce "detour" lengths when bottle-necks on I-70 (Glenwood Canyon/South Canyon) are closed.</li> <li>Incident management to deal with I-70 closures- specifically Vail Pass.</li> <li>Expensive Type 7 barrier on Vail replacement; needs attention from TPR to get funded.</li> <li>Rockfall Prevention – Glenwood Canyon</li> <li>Ramp safety improvements</li> <li>Finish Game Fence</li> <li>Dowd Junctions, improvement beyond ramps</li> <li>Scenic too</li> </ul>	TBD	





Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
1	US-6	Segments from Dotsero to Dowd Junction to I- 70 over Loveland Pass	High			<ul> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Bicycle, pedestrian, bus</li> <li>Bicycle, bus</li> <li>Bicycle, bus</li> </ul>	Supports I-70 by providing east-west local access for communities • <i>Recreation</i> • <i>Tourism</i>	• Hazmat	<ul> <li>Widen to four lanes from</li> <li>Gypsum to Eagle; Improve</li> <li>pavement from Debeque to</li> <li>Mesa County Line; Widen</li> <li>shoulders from Dotsero to</li> <li>Avon; Improve pavement</li> <li>Rifle to Parachute</li> <li>Create segments for this</li> <li>corridor based on volume,</li> <li>safety, and terrain US 6-</li> <li>Vail</li> <li>Consistent shoulder and</li> <li>auxiliary lanes</li> <li>Shoulders &amp; Auxiliary</li> <li>lanes</li> <li>Alternate route</li> <li>Need for alternate route</li> <li>due to incidents on I-70</li> <li>Acts as detour during I-70</li> <li>incidents</li> <li>Turn lanes in congested</li> <li>areas</li> </ul>	TBD	
2	I-70	DeBeque to Glenwood Springs	High			Regional Bus <ul> <li>Bicycle, Pedestrian</li> <li>Intercity Bus</li> <li>Intercity Bus</li> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Intercity Bus</li> <li>Bicycle, Pedestrian</li> </ul>	Energy, Freight • Tourism, Recreation • Energy • Freight • Tourism, Recreation • Tourism, Recreation	• Wildlife	<ul> <li>PEL or Feasibility Study for South Canyon Capacity and rockfall/debris concerns</li> <li>Pedestrian Bridge at Exit 105 – Newcastle</li> <li>Modernize all interchanges to current standards</li> <li>Extension west to Rifle</li> <li>Employee commuting</li> </ul>	TBD	



STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
2		Mesa County Line to Glenwood Springs	High			<ul> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Bicycle, Pedestrian</li> </ul>	Supports I-70 by providing east-west local access for communities		• Will give more security and pleasure to riders	TBD	
3	SH-9	Fairplay to Breckenridge	High			Regional Bus; Bike	• Tourism, recreation	• Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>Construct new road at Iron Springs to connect Breckenridge to Frisco; Add bike path south of Breckenridge and widen shoulders</li> <li>Make Hoosier Pass more user-friendly</li> <li>Will help Fairplay's economy</li> <li>Hoosier pass N. side SB climbing lanes</li> </ul>	TBD	
4	SH-9	Breckenridge to I-70 at Frisco	High	Widening on existing alignment or on new Iron Springs alignment	Safety & Capacity	Regional Bus • Bicycle • Bicycle • Bicycle, Pedestrian	<ul><li>Tourism</li><li>Tourism, recreation</li></ul>		<ul> <li>Also make all lanes that start in Breckenridge go to Frisco</li> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Will help traffic flow</li> <li>Construct new road at Iron Springs to connect Breckenridge to Frisco</li> </ul>	TBD	
5	SH-9	I-70 at Silverthorne to Kremmling	High			• Intercity Bus		• Wildlife	<ul> <li>Needs to be straightened</li> <li>Will help economy up SH-9 and may reduce more traffic on Berthoud Pass</li> <li>Safety and Wildlife Crossings</li> </ul>	TBD	



Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	
6	SH-13	Rifle to Meeker	High				Energy, Freight • Energy • Energy		Widen passin Garfie • Wi ecc • De
7	US-24	Dowd Junction to Leadville	Medium	Add passing lanes	Safety, Operations & Capacity	Regional Bus; Bike • <i>Bicycle</i>	<ul> <li>Tourism, freight</li> <li>Recreation</li> </ul>	Scenic Byway • Mining museum traffic • Camp Hale, Ski Cooper	Add alt Leadvi should Leadvi • Pos "Te 16, and yed • I-70 • Cho • Con •
8	US-24	Leadville to Buena Vista	Medium			• Bicycle	• Agriculture, recreation, tourism, freight	• Scenic Byway	Recent routes increas • Pot "Te 16, and yet • Ne

l	к	L
TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
en shoulders and add ing lanes from SH 325 to ield County Line Will help Meeker's economy Devolution in Rifle	TBD	
alternate route from wille to Minturn; Widen Iders from Minturn to wille; Add bike lanes		
Possible route for (Tennessee Creek Project" 16,000 acres of trees cut and hauled out over 10 years with USFS -70 alternative route Chain up/down stations Will help Leadville's economy Road will help both economies Commuting workforce Commuter route Secondary route for I-70 Heavy commuter population Alternate route Vail Pass Critical alternate route	TBD	
ently added to hazmat e system, noticeable ease in truck traffic Possible route for (Tennessee Creek Project" 16,000 acres of trees cut and hauled out over 10 rears with USFS Jeeds shoulders	TBD	



Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
9	SH-82	Glenwood Springs to Aspen	High	Capacity Improvements	Safety, Capacity, Economic Vitality & Environment	Bus Rapid Transit; Bike Bus Rapid Transit Bicycle Local bus service Bus Rapid Transit Bicycle Bus, bicycle Airport connection Bicycle, bus rapid transit Bicycle, local bus connections, intercity bus Local bus service, bus rapid transit	Recreation, Tourism, Freight • Agriculture • Tourism, high truck traffic, high vehicle traffic for tourism • Local freight	<ul> <li>Scenic Byway</li> <li>Narrow, beautiful canyons</li> <li>Wildlife</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Extend BRT (RFTA) to West Glenwood Park &amp; Ride</li> <li>BRT extension west to Rifle</li> <li>Brush Creek Road is an important link between Town of Snowmass Village and SH 82 (8,000-15,000 ADT) – scenic byway, commuting, recreational importance</li> <li>Need alternate route through GWS on viaduct? RFTA Corridor</li> <li>Workforce commuting</li> <li>Improvements to overall capacity, mobility and connectivity between SH 82 and local streets will provide a huge benefit to Glenwood Springs</li> <li>Clarify that "relocate SH 82" appears to be a pre- determination of the "NEPA Study" outcome</li> <li>IM TPR has strong transit orientation</li> <li>Finish game fence</li> <li>Mobility improvements through Glenwood Springs</li> <li>Eliminate "Relocate SH 82 through Glenwood Springs</li> <li>Eliminate "Relocate SH 82 through Glenwood Springs</li> </ul>	TBD	



Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
10	SH-82	Aspen to SH 24 at Twin Lakes	Medium			• Bicycle	<ul> <li>Recreation</li> <li>Tourism (summer only)</li> <li>Recreation</li> <li>Tourism</li> </ul>	Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>Improve pavement on Independence Pass</li> <li>Especially lane stability and a lane in 1 section</li> <li>Once 82 is lost (falls off on Leadville side) it will be too costly to repair</li> <li>Access to CDOT ROW to create grade-separated bike path</li> <li>Will bring more pedestrians and bicyclists to area</li> </ul>	TBD	
11	SH-91	Leadville to I-70 at Copper Mountain	Medium			Regional Bus • Bicycle • Bicycle • Bicycle	• Energy, recreation	Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>Critical alternate route</li> <li>I-70 Detour</li> <li>Shoulders</li> <li>Shoulders needed for bicycles</li> <li>Possible route for "Tennessee Creek Project" 16,000 acres of trees cut and hauled out over 10 years w/USFS</li> </ul>	TBD	
12	SH-131	Wolcott to Steamboat Springs	Low			• Bicycle	<ul> <li>Tourism</li> <li>Agriculture, recreation</li> </ul>	Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>Widen shoulders and add passing lanes from Wolcott to McCoy</li> <li>Shoulder widening for cyclists &amp; for US pro cycling challenge</li> <li>Shoulders</li> <li>Needs shoulder</li> </ul>	TBD	



STATEWIDE TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	I	J	К	L
Map ID	Planning Corridor	Planning Corridor Limits	2035 RTP Priority	A and B List Projects	A and B List Benefits	Multimodal (e.g., bicycle, pedestrian, regional bus, intercity bus, etc.)	Economic Vitality (e.g., agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others)	Other (e.g., scenic byways, other corridor designations or special characteristics)	TPR Input to Date	Public Input	Priority for 2040 RTP
13	SH-133	Hotchkiss to SH 82 at Carbondale	High			<ul> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Local Bus</li> <li>Bicycle</li> <li>Bicycle, Pedestrian, Regional Bus</li> <li>Regional Bus, Bus Rapid Transit, Bicycle, Pedestrian</li> </ul>	Energy • Tourism • Recreation • Recreation • Freight	Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>Realign McClure Pass away from rockfall zone</li> <li>Carbondale to SH-82 high capacity issues</li> <li>Commuting to Aspen/Carbondale</li> <li>Easily closed by weather</li> </ul>		
14	SH-139	Loma to Rangely	Low				Energy	Scenic Byway			
15	SH-300	US 24 at Malta to End	Low			• Bicycle	<ul><li>Tourism</li><li>Recreation</li></ul>		<ul> <li>Needs crack sealing/repairing (not more chip seal)</li> </ul>		
16	SH-325	SH 13 north of Rifle to End at County Road 217	Low								
• N/A	• SH 82	<ul> <li>Brush Creek Road Highway 82 to Snowmass Village</li> </ul>	• Moderate			<ul> <li>Regional Bus, Bicycle</li> </ul>	• Tourism, Freight	• Scenic Byway	<ul> <li>N/A – County Road</li> <li>Extend Passing Lane</li> </ul>		

Note: The input from TPR members at the November 13, 2013 meeting are italicized and set apart as bullet lists. In order to demonstrate the magnitude of the comments for each corridor, all of the comments from the meeting participants have been preserved individually rather than summarized. Each bullet item represents a set of comments from one participant.

TBD = to be determined

#### **Column Heading Definitions**

- Column A Map ID Corresponds to the location on the map.
- Column B Planning Corridor Identifies the highway number. •
- Column C Planning Corridor Limits Identify the termini of the planning corridor within the TPR as defined in 2030 and 2035 plans. •
- Column D 2035 RTP Priority Shows the corridor priority level assigned in the 2035 Plan (High, Medium, and Low). •
- Column E A and B List Projects Identifies the projects on the A & B lists developed by the TPRs in the summer of 2013. Some TPRs also developed C list projects which are also listed. •
- Column F A and B List Benefits Benefits associated with the corridor as identified with the development of the A and B project lists during the summer. ٠
- Column G Multimodal Identifies transit corridors and technology from the ongoing Statewide Transit Plan. Bike corridor designations are based on improvements identified in the summer 2013 TPR meetings.





- Column H Economic Vitality Lists specific economic activities on the corridor. Activities include agriculture, recreation/tourism, freight, energy, and others. The energy information is from CDOT's Energy Development and the Transportation System study.
- Column I Other Includes additional corridor identifiers such scenic byways and other special route designations/information.
- Column J TPR Input to Date Reflects comments and recommendations received through TPR discussions in the summer 2013 meetings. ٠
- Column K Public Input Reflects comments and recommendations received through public outreach activities. •
- Column L Priority for 2040 RTP This will be assigned by TPR members at the early 2014 TPR meeting #5.

