

Upper Front Range 2040 RTP: Project Scoring Guidelines

Evaluation Criteria	Weighting Score
Economic vitality – The project supports economic development in regional industries including agriculture, energy, and tourism.	20
Safety – the project reduces a hazardous condition (Range of hazardous conditions from crash rate performance – public perception)	25
Mobility – the project creates new travel options and increases connectivity; system continuity	20
Transportation system integrity - the project improves a condition related to pavement drivability life and level of service; asset management program.	20
Land use – The project is integrated with existing and planned land uses	5
Cost effectiveness –the projects that meets the most goals for the lowest cost are the most cost effective	10
Alternate fuels – the project supports the development of natural gas infrastructure and the use of compressed and liquefied natural gas fuels.	Bonus - 15
Disaster mitigation – the project addresses potential natural disaster events.	Bonus - 15



Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
	The project facilitates significant economic development to a large area or entire region.	New and/or improved access for commercial vehicles to regional corridor	3
Economic vitality - the project supports economic development in regional industries including agriculture,	The project enhances economic development to spot location or existing economic area.	New and/or improved access for commercial retail center	2
energy, and tourism. Weight = 20	The project provides some benefit to economic activity to a location or area.	Addition of auxiliary lanes	1
	The project has no discernable benefit to economic activity.	Improvements for residential access	0

Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
Safety – the project	The project will substantially reduce the crash rate at a documented high crash segment or location.	The addition of passing lanes where there is a high frequency of head-on or side swipe crashes	3
reduces a hazardous condition (Range of hazardous conditions from crash rate	The project reduces the crash rate at a high crash segment or location.	Development of an access management plan	2
performance – public perception) Weight = 25	The project provides some safety improvement along a segment or at a spot location.	Surface treatment project	1
	The project does not have a direct affect to safety improvements.	A landscaping or beautification project	0



Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
	The project provides a significant increase in capacity of person trips or freight movement along a congested highway	Widening of a segment of highway from 2 to 4 lanes	3
Mobility – the project creates new travel options and increases connectivity; system continuity	The project provides some improvement in capacity of person trips or freight movement.	Addition of auxiliary lanes	2
Weight = 20	The project has little benefit to capacity.	Bridge deck improvements	1
	The project has no benefit to capacity or has negative impact to capacity.	A landscaping or beautification project	0

Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
	The project will provide substantial improvement to a key highway asset.	Reconstruction of a segment of highway or a bridge	3
Transportation system integrity - the project improves a condition related to pavement	The project will provide some improvement to a key highway asset.	Resurfacing project	2
drivability life and level of service; asset management program.	The project provides little improvement to a highway asset.	Drivability study	1
Weight = 20	The project has a no or a negative impact to a highway asset.	Addition of an irrigated landscaped median	0



Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
	The project provides a substantial benefit to the existing land uses and is sensitive to the existing context.	Expansion of capacity to accommodate existing needs of adjacent land uses.	3
Land use – the project is integrated with existing	The project provides a benefit for future and planned land uses and is integrated with those plans.	Expansion of capacity to anticipate planned changes in land use.	2
and planned land uses. Weight = 5	The project would not improve, but would have no adverse impacts on existing or planned land uses.	Addition of auxiliary lanes within right-of-way	1
	The project has no relationship to the existing or planned land uses in the area; the project could adversely impact existing land uses.	Projects that would require land acquisitions and the displacement of commercial, industrial, or residential activities	0

Criterion	Assessment	Example	Score
Cost effectiveness – the projects that meets	The project provides a substantial cost-benefit to many users of the system.	Signal timing along a congested highway	3
the most goals for the lowest cost are the most cost effective*	The project provides some cost- benefit to many users of the system.	Intersection improvements at a congested location	2
*Costs are capital, operational, and maintenance cost relative to other projects	The project provides little benefit to few users of the system.	Reconstruction of a low volume highway	1
Weight = 10	The project has no benefit to users of the system.	Reconstruction of a low volume highway where improvements have recently been made	0



Bonus Evaluation Criteria:

Criterion Assessment	Example
Alternate fuels – the project supports the development of natural gas infrastructure and the use of compressed and liquefied natural gas fuels	Project that includes installation of alternate fuels infrastructure
Bonus score + 15	

Criterion Assessment	Example
Hazard Mitigation - the project addresses potential natural disaster events Bonus score + 15	Drainage improvements