Turning Results by Question		Turning Results by Question		Turning Results by C	duestion	
Session Name: Entrance to Aspen Session 2 – Evening.tpz Created: 4/10/2007 5:01 PM		Session Name: Entrance to Aspen Session 1 - Noon.tpz Created: 4/10/2007 7:43 PM		Combined Results		
Demographics		Demographic	s	Demograph	ics	
1.) 1. lam:	Responses (percent) (count) 33.33% 1					
F	66.67% 2 Totals 100% 3					
2.) 1.lam:	Responses	66.) 1. lam:	Responses	66.) 1. I am:	Responses	
M F	(percent) (count)	M F	(percent) (count) 53.75% 43 46.25% 37 Totals 100% 80	M F	(percent) (count) 52.12% 86 47.88% 79 Totals 100% 165	
3.) 2. I am:	Responses	65.) 2. I am:	Responses	65.) 2. l am:	Responses	
Under 21 22-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 Over 61 Im too old to remember my exact age Getting younger every year 39 forever	(percent) (count) 1.11% 8.89% 16.67% 15 14.44% 13 23.33% 21 22.22% 20 1.11% 1 5.56% 6.67% 6 Totals 100% 90	Under 21 22-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 Over 61 Im too old to remember my exact age Getting younger every year 39 forever	(percent) (count)	Under 21 22:30 31:40 41:50 51:60 Over 61 In too old to remember my exact age Getting younger every year 39 forever	(percent) (count) 1.85% 3 5.55% 9 14.81% 24 11.73% 19 32.72% 53 22.84% 37 1.23% 2 3.70% 6 5.56% 9 Totals 100% 162	
4.) 3. Okay, let's do it againl am: Under 21 22-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 Over 61	Responses (percent) (count) 1.14% 1 9.09% 8 18.18% 16 18.18% 16 26.14% 23 27.27% 24 Totals 100% 88	67.) 3. Okay, let's do it againI am: Under 21 22-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 Over 61	Responses (percent) (count) 244% 2 1,22% 1 13,41% 111 13,41% 390% 36 25,61% 21	67.) 3. Okay, let's do it againI am: Under 21 22-30 31-40 41-50 51-60 Over 61	Responses (percent) (count) 1.76% 3 5.29% 9 15.88% 27 15.88% 27 34.71% 59 26.47% 45 100% 170	

	e in:

5.) 4.1 live III.			
		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Aspen		70%	63
Snowmass Village		4.44%	4
Pitkin County		14.44%	13
Basalt/Carbondale/Glenwood Springs		7.78%	7
West of Glenwood Springs (New Castle, Rifle, Silt)		1.11%	1
Garfield County		1.11%	1
Eagle County		1.11%	1
Other		0%	0
	Totalo	1009/	on

6.) 5. I am registered to vote in:

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
City of Aspen		67.03%	6
Pitkin County		16.48%	15
I'm registered somewhere else		15.38%	14
I'm not registered anywhere		1.10%	1
	Totals	100%	9

7.) 6. I have lived in the Roaring Fork Valley:

7.) 6. I have lived in the Hoaring Fork valley:				
		Responses		
		(percent) (count)		
0-5 years		22.83%	21	
6-10 years		10.87%	10	
11-20 years		19.57%	18	
21-30 years		11.96%	11	
31-40 years		26.09%	24	
Over 41 years		8.70%	8	
	Totals	100%	92	

8.) 7. If you live in Aspen area (Airport/ABC and in), are you an:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
"Innie" - I live inside of the Roundabout		66.25%	53
"Outie" - I live outside of the Roundabout as far as the Airport/ABC		12.50%	10
"Far Outie" - I live well outside of the Roundabout - further			
downvalley than the Airport/ABC		21.25%	17
	Totals	100%	80

68.) 4. I live in:

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
spen		76.92%	60	
Snowmass Village		3.85%	3	
Pitkin County		11.54%	9	
Basalt/Carbondale/Glenwood Springs		3.85%	3	
Vest of Glenwood Springs (New Castle, Rifle, Silt)		0%	0	
Sarfield County		0%	0	
agle County		1.28%	1	
Other		2.56%	2	
	Totals	100%	78	

69.) 5. I am registered to vote in:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
City of Aspen		68.29%	56
Pitkin County		15.85%	13
m registered somewhere else		10.98%	9
m not registered anywhere		4.88%	4
	Totals	100%	82

70.) 6. I have lived in the Roaring Fork Valley:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
0-5 years		13.58%	11
6-10 years		14.81%	12
11-20 years		20.99%	17
21-30 years		13.58%	11
31-40 years		27.16%	22
Over 41 years		9.88%	8
	Totals	100%	81

71.) 7. If you live in Aspen area (Airport/ABC and in), are you an:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
"Innie" - I live inside of the Roundabout		77.65%	66
"Outie" - I live outside of the Roundabout as far as the Airport/ABC		4.71%	4
"Far Outie" - I live well outside of the Roundabout - further			
downvalley than the Airport/ABC		17.65%	15
	Totals	100%	85

68.) 4. I live in:

68.) 4. I live in:					
		Responses			
		(percent)	(count)		
Aspen		73.21%	123		
Snowmass Village		4.17%	7		
Pitkin County		13.10%	22		
Basalt/Carbondale/Glenwood Springs		5.95%	10		
West of Glenwood Springs (New Castle, Rifle, Silt)		0.60%	1		
Garfield County		0.60%	1		
Eagle County		1.19%	2		
Other		1.19%	2		
	Totals	100%	168		

69.) 5. I am registered to vote in:

69.) 5. I am registered to vote in:			
		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
City of Aspen		67.63%	117
Pitkin County		16.18%	28
I'm registered somewhere else		13.29%	23
I'm not registered anywhere		2.89%	5
	Totale	100%	179

70.) 6. I have lived in the Roaring Fork Valley:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
0-5 years		18.50%	32
6-10 years		12.72%	22
11-20 years		20.23%	35
21-30 years		12.72%	22
31-40 years		26.59%	46
Over 41 years		9.25%	16
	Totals	100%	173

71.) 7. If you live in Aspen area (Airport/ABC and in), are you an:

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
"Innie" - I live inside of the Roundabout		72.12%	119	
"Outie" - I live outside of the Roundabout as far as the Airport/ABC		8.48%	14	
"Far Outie" - I live well outside of the Roundabout - further				
downvalley than the Airport/ABC		19.39%	32	
	Totals	100%	165	

Your Transportation Habits

9.) 8. If you regularly drive your car through the Roundabout - you do it to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Commute to/from Downvalley		19.34%	41
Access the Aspen school campus		13.68%	29
To and from Snowmass (non ski traffic)		7.55%	16
Ski the various mountains		17.45%	37
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		15.57%	33
Go to the ARC		12.26%	26
I don't regularly drive through the roundabout		14.15%	30
	Totals	100%	212

10.) 9. If you regularly ride the bus, you do it to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Commute to/from Downvalley		9.70%	16
Ride the in-town (free) shuttles		31.52%	52
Ride the skier shuttles (free)		23.03%	38
Get between Snowmass and Aspen (regular service)		4.24%	7
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		3.64%	6
Don't ride the bus, but I carpool/bike/walk to Aspen		9.70%	16
I don't regularly ride the bus		18.18%	30
	Totals	100%	165

11.) 10. Instead of riding the bus, I drive my car because I need to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Bring my tools with me in my vehicle		5.26%	8
Have my car available to me at all times		23.03%	35
Bring my dog with me		8.55%	13
Bring my child to school/child care		12.50%	19
Take my stuff in my car (skis, bike, etc.)		29.61%	45
I just want to drive - it's my right as an American!		12.50%	19
I don't drive		8.55%	13
	Totals	100%	152

How you heard about today?

12.) 11. I heard about today's meeting from (choose all that apply):

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Ad in newspapers		30%	57
Newspaper story		13.68%	26
Radio		8.42%	16
Flyer in town		2.63%	5
Word of mouth		19.47%	37
GrassRoots TV		2.63%	5
A website		4.21%	8
From an interest group that wanted me to be here		14.21%	27
I don't know how I got here, but here I am		4.74%	9
	Totals	100%	190

Your Transportation Habits

72.) 8. If you regularly drive your car through the Roundabout - you do it to (choose all that apply):

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count
Commute to/from Downvalley		17.91%	
Access the Aspen school campus		8.96%	
To and from Snowmass (non ski traffic)		7.46%	
Ski the various mountains		17.91%	
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		19.90%	4
Go to the ARC		9.45%	
I don't regularly drive through the roundabout		18.41%	
	Totals	100%	21

73.) 9. If you regularly ride the bus, you do it to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count
Commute to/from Downvalley		5.49%	
Ride the in-town (free) shuttles		26.83%	
Ride the skier shuttles (free)		23.17%	
Get between Snowmass and Aspen (regular service)		6.10%	
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		6.10%	
Don't ride the bus, but I carpool/bike/walk to Aspen		11.59%	
I don't regularly ride the bus		20.73%	
	Totals	100%	1

74.) 10. Instead of riding the bus, I drive my car because I need to (choose all that apply):

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Bring my tools with me in my vehicle		8.33%	12
Have my car available to me at all times		22.92%	33
Bring my dog with me		11.81%	17
Bring my child to school/child care		5.56%	8
Take my stuff in my car (skis, bike, etc.)		36.11%	52
I just want to drive - it's my right as an American!		7.64%	11
I don't drive		7.64%	11
	Totals	100%	144

How you heard about today?

75.) 11. I heard about today's meeting from (choose all that apply):

	Heapt	rieaponaea	
	(percent)	(count)	
Ad in newspapers	28.78%	40	
Newspaper story	17.27%	24	
Radio	10.79%	15	
Flyer in town	2.16%	3	
Word of mouth	20.86%	29	
GrassRoots TV	0.72%	1	
A website	2.16%	3	
From an interest group that wanted me to be here	12.95%	18	
I don't know how I got here, but here I am	4.32%	6	
Totals	100%	139	

Your Transportation Habits

72.) 8. If you regularly drive your car through the Roundabout - you do it to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Commute to/from Downvalley		18.64%	77
Access the Aspen school campus		11.38%	47
To and from Snowmass (non ski traffic)		7.51%	31
Ski the various mountains		17.68%	73
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		17.68%	73
Go to the ARC		10.90%	45
I don't regularly drive through the roundabout		16.22%	67
	Totals	100%	413

73.) 9. If you regularly ride the bus, you do it to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Commute to/from Downvalley		7.60%	25
Ride the in-town (free) shuttles		29.18%	96
Ride the skier shuttles (free)		23.10%	76
Get between Snowmass and Aspen (regular service)		5.17%	17
Access ABC/Burlingame/Airport		4.86%	16
Don't ride the bus, but I carpool/bike/walk to Aspen		10.64%	35
I don't regularly ride the bus		19.45%	64
	Totals	100%	329

74.) 10. Instead of riding the bus, I drive my car because I need to (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Bring my tools with me in my vehicle		6.76%	20
Have my car available to me at all times		22.97%	68
Bring my dog with me		10.14%	30
Bring my child to school/child care		9.12%	27
Take my stuff in my car (skis, bike, etc.)		32.77%	97
I just want to drive - it's my right as an American!		10.14%	30
I don't drive		8.11%	24
	Totals	100%	296

How you heard about today?

75.) 11. I heard about today's meeting from (choose all that apply):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Ad in newspapers		29.48%	97
Newspaper story		15.20%	50
Radio		9.42%	31
Flyer in town		2.43%	8
Word of mouth		20.06%	66
GrassRoots TV		1.82%	6
A website		3.34%	11
From an interest group that wanted me to be here		13.68%	45
I don't know how I got here, but here I am		4.56%	15
	Totals	100%	329

About the Entrance					
13.) 12. How many Entrance to Aspen elections do you think there have been?					
	Respo	onses			
	(percent)	(count)			
1-5	3.57%	3			
6-10	9.52%	8			
11-15	19.05%	16			
16-20	19.05%	16			
> 20	41.67%	35			

14.) 13. Is there a Problem with the Entrance? (pick the one that best describes how you

It's all a blur - I can't remember

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes - I commute and sit in that traffic twice a day		15.66%	13
Yes - I ride RFTA, the bus is always stuck in traffic		10.84%	9
Yes - I live in town and it erodes my quality of life		48.19%	40
No - I live in town/work east of the Roundabout		4.82%	4
No - I ride RFTA and its just fine with me		2.41%	2
No - It's soooo much better than the big city and I get to stare at the			
mountains every day		18.07%	15
	Totals	100%	83

The nature of the problem is . . .

15.) 14. If you think there's a problem, WHAT groups cause it? (rank up to three)

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Tourists		2.71%	7
School campus traffic		11.63%	30
Locals running errands or driving to/from work		11.63%	30
Construction traffic/workers		27.13%	70
Service/maintenance workers		18.99%	49
Realtors showing houses		1.55%	4
9-5 commuters driving alone (NON construction)		25.58%	66
Other		0.78%	2
	Totals	100%	258

16.) 15. If you think there is a problem, what is the ROOT CAUSE of it? (rank up to 3):

Responses

		(percent)	(count)
Lack of roadway capacity for cars		17.89%	34
Lack of convenient/reliable transit		14.21%	27
Not enough affordable housing in Aspen		13.68%	26
Too much growth and development		18.42%	35
Nature of the resort generates too many service workers		12.11%	23
2nd Home community generates too many service workers		9.47%	18
Too much cheap parking		2.11%	4
Limited geography, but unlimited demand to be here		11.58%	22
Other		0.53%	1
	Totals	100%	190

About the Entrance			
76.) 12. How many Entrance to Aspen elections do you think the	here have	been?	
		Respo	nses
		(percent)	(count)
1-5		2.50%	
6-10		23.75%	19
11-15		16.25%	10
16-20		7.50%	(
> 20		40%	3
It's all a blur - I can't remember		10%	
	Totals	100%	81

Question Unasked by Mistake

The nature of the problem is . . .

79.) 14. If you think there's a problem, WHAT groups cause it? (rank up to three)

Responses (percent) (count) Tourists School campus traffic Locals running errands or driving to/from work Construction traffic/workers 5.91% 10.63% 12.60% 24.41% 16.54% 1.18% Service/maintenance workers Realtors showing houses 9-5 commuters driving alone (NON construction) 26.77% 1.97% Totals 100%

80.) 15. If you think there is a problem, what is the ROOT CAUSE of it? (rank up to 3):

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
Lack of roadway capacity for cars		17.26%	39	
Lack of convenient/reliable transit		14.16%	32	
Not enough affordable housing in Aspen		10.62%	24	
Too much growth and development		16.37%	37	
Nature of the resort generates too many service workers		14.16%	32	
2nd Home community generates too many service workers		4.87%	11	
Too much cheap parking		3.98%	9	
Limited geography, but unlimited demand to be here		14.60%	33	
Other		3.98%	9	
	Totals	100%	226	

About the Entrance . . .

76.) 12. How many Entrance to Aspen elections do you think there have been?				
		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
1-5		3.05%	5	
6-10		16.46%	27	
11-15		17.68%	29	
16-20		13.41%	22	
> 20		40.85%	67	
It's all a blur - I can't remember		8.54%	14	
	Totals	100%	164	

77.) 13. Is there a Problem with the Entrance? (pick the one that best describes how you

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes - I commute and sit in that traffic twice a day		15.66%	13
Yes - I ride RFTA, the bus is always stuck in traffic		10.84%	9
Yes - I live in town and it erodes my quality of life		48.19%	40
No - I live in town/work east of the Roundabout		4.82%	4
No - I ride RFTA and its just fine with me		2.41%	2
No - It's soooo much better than the big city and I get to stare at the			
mountains every day		18.07%	15
	Totals	100%	83

The nature of the problem is . . .

79.) 14. If you think there's a problem, WHAT groups cause it? (rank up to three)

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Tourists		4.30%	22
School campus traffic		11.13%	57
Locals running errands or driving to/from work		12.11%	62
Construction traffic/workers		25.78%	132
Service/maintenance workers		17.77%	91
Realtors showing houses		1.37%	7
9-5 commuters driving alone (NON construction)		26.17%	134
Other		1.37%	7
	Totals	100%	512

80.) 15. If you think there is a problem, what is the ROOT CAUSE of it? (rank up to 3):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Lack of roadway capacity for cars		17.55%	73
Lack of convenient/reliable transit		14.18%	59
Not enough affordable housing in Aspen		12.02%	50
Too much growth and development		17.31%	72
Nature of the resort generates too many service workers		13.22%	55
2nd Home community generates too many service workers		6.97%	29
Too much cheap parking		3.13%	13
Limited geography, but unlimited demand to be here		13.22%	55
Other		2.40%	10
	Totals	100%	416

Whose job is it? ...

17.) 16. Is it the City of Aspen's job to take the lead in addressing the problem at the Entrance?

			Responses	
			(percent)	(count)
Yes			90%	81
No			10%	9
	Tr	Totals	100%	90

Project Objectives

In 1995, the Aspen City Council, Pitkin County Commissioners, the Snowmass Village Town Council, CDOT and FHWA representatives, along with input from citizens and a technical advisory committee established 10 project objectives that the Entrance to Aspen solution must accomplish. These project objectives were used to evaluate all the alternatives during the 1990s. We'd like to know whether the community still believes in them. We want YOUR evaluation of their importance.

18.) Project Objectives

		nespulises	
		(percent)	(count)
Community Based Planning		16.67%	1
Transportation Capacity		0%	0
Safety		0%	0
Environmentally Sound Alternative		16.67%	1
Community Acceptability		0%	0
Financial Limitations		16.67%	1
Clean Air Act Requirements		0%	0
Emergency Access		0%	0
Livable Communities		50%	3
Phasing		0%	0
	Totals	100%	6

19.) 17. How important is it to find a solution that limits auto trips into Aspen to create a less congested town

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		54.84%	51
Important		20.43%	19
Neutral		5.38%	5
Unimportant		3.23%	3
Very unimportant		3.23%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		12.90%	12
	Totals	100%	93

20.) 18. How important is it to find a solution that provides ways to get more people into Aspen without having more cars

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		66.67%	42
Important		22.22%	14
Neutral		1.59%	1
Unimportant		1.59%	1
Very unimportant		3.17%	2
This shouldn't be an objective		4.76%	3
	Totals	100%	63

Whose job is it? ...

81.) 16. Is it the City of Aspen's job to take the lead in addressing the problem at the

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes		84.21%	64
No		15.79%	12
	Totals	100%	76

Whose job is it? ...

81.) 16. Is it the City of Aspen's job to take the lead in addressing the problem at the

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes		87.35%	145
No		12.65%	21
	Totals	100%	166

Project Objectives

In 1995, the Aspen City Council, Pitkin County Commissioners, the Snowmass Village Town Council, CDOT and FHWA representatives, along with input from citizens and a technical advisory committee established 10 project objectives that the Entrance to Aspen solution must accomplish. These project objectives were used to evaluate all the alternatives during the 1990s. We'd like to know whether the community still believes in them. We want YOUR evaluation of their importance.

this is not a voting question

83.) 17. How important is it to find a solution that limits auto trips into Aspen to create a less congested town

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		47.06%	40
Important		23.53%	20
Neutral		7.06%	6
Unimportant		2.35%	2
Very unimportant		0%	0
This shouldn't be an objective		20%	17
	Totals	100%	85

84.) 18. How important is it to find a solution that provides ways to get more people into Aspen without having more cars

		Resp	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
Very important		45.24%	38	
Important		30.95%	26	
Neutral		4.76%	4	
Unimportant		4.76%	4	
Very unimportant		1.19%	1	
This shouldn't be an objective		13.10%	11	
	Totals	100%	84	

Project Objectives

In 1995, the Aspen City Council, Pitkin County Commissioners, the Snowmass Village Town Council, CDOT and FHWA representatives, along with input from citizens and a technical advisory committee established 10 project objectives that the Entrance to Aspen solution must accomplish. These project objectives were used to evaluate all the alternatives during the 1990s. We'd like to know whether the community still believes in them. We want YOUR evaluation of their importance.

this is not a voting question

83.) 17. How important is it to find a solution that limits auto trips into Aspen to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		51.12%	91
Important		21.91%	39
Neutral		6.18%	11
Unimportant		2.81%	5
Very unimportant		1.69%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		16.29%	29
	Totals	100%	178

84.) 18. How important is it to find a solution that provides ways to get more people into Aspen without having more cars

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
/ery important		54.42%	80	
mportant		27.21%	40	
Veutral		3.40%	5	
Jnimportant		3.40%	5	
/ery unimportant		2.04%	3	
This shouldn't be an objective		9.52%	14	
	Totals	100%	147	

21.) 18b. How important is it to have effective bike/pedestrian routes into and out of Aspen?

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		74.73%	68
Important		16.48%	15
Neutral		6.59%	
Unimportant		2.20%	2
Very unimportant		0%	(
This shouldn't be an objective		0%	(
	Totala	1000/	0.

22.) 19. How important is it to find a solution that preserves the small town scale and character of Aspen

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		63.04%	58
Important		19.57%	18
Neutral		9.78%	9
Unimportant		2.17%	2
Very unimportant		2.17%	2
This shouldn't be an objective		3.26%	3
	Totals	100%	92

23.) 19b. If preserving the small town character/scale of Aspen is important, does it mean (rank up to 3 choices):

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
No increase in the number of lanes coming into Aspen		11.21%	25
No additional cars coming into town		13.45%	30
Not having traffic backed up to the airport in the morning and to the			
Jerome in the afternoon		22.42%	50
Not being overwhelmed by construction traffic		17.04%	38
Keeping the S-curves so traffic slows down before hitting Main St.		10.76%	24
Being pedestrian and bike-friendly		25.11%	56
	Totals	100%	223

24.) 20. How important is it to find a solution that is aesthetically acceptable to the public

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		61.29%	57
Important		23.66%	22
Neutral		6.45%	6
Unimportant		3.23%	3
Very unimportant		4.30%	4
This shouldn't be an objective		1.08%	1
	Totals	100%	93

85.) 18b. How important is it to have effective bike/pedestrian routes into and out of Aspen?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		61.63%	53
Important		19.77%	17
Neutral		5.81%	5
Unimportant		4.65%	4
Very unimportant		3.49%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		4.65%	4
	Totals	100%	86

86.) 19. How important is it to find a solution that preserves the small town scale and character of Aspen

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		61.18%	52
Important		21.18%	18
Neutral		10.59%	9
Unimportant		1.18%	1
Very unimportant		0%	C
This shouldn't be an objective		5.88%	5
·	Totals	100%	85

87.) 19b. If preserving the small town character/scale of Aspen is important, does it mean (rank up to 3 choices):

		Heapt	111303
		(percent)	(count)
No increase in the number of lanes coming into Aspen		11.87%	26
No additional cars coming into town		11.42%	25
Not having traffic backed up to the airport in the morning and to the			
Jerome in the afternoon		24.20%	53
Not being overwhelmed by construction traffic		15.07%	33
Keeping the S-curves so traffic slows down before hitting Main St.		11.42%	25
Being pedestrian and bike-friendly		26.03%	57
	Totals	100%	219

88.) 20. How important is it to find a solution that is aesthetically acceptable to the public

	(percent)	(count)
Very important	55.17%	48
Important	24.14%	21
Neutral	6.90%	6
Unimportant	5.75%	5
Very unimportant	0%	0
This shouldn't be an objective	8.05%	7
Totals	100%	87

85.) 18b. How important is it to have effective bike/pedestrian routes into and out of Aspen?

i '		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		68.36%	121
Important		18.08%	32
Neutral		6.21%	11
Unimportant		3.39%	6
Very unimportant		1.69%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		2.26%	4
	Totals	100%	177

86.) 19. How important is it to find a solution that preserves the small town scale and character of Aspen

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		62.15%	110
Important		20.34%	36
Neutral		10.17%	18
Unimportant		1.69%	3
Very unimportant		1.13%	2
This shouldn't be an objective		4.52%	8
· ·	Totals	100%	177

87.) 19b. If preserving the small town character/scale of Aspen is important, does it mean (rank up to 3 choices):

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
No increase in the number of lanes coming into Aspen		11.54%	51	
No additional cars coming into town		12.44%	55	
Not having traffic backed up to the airport in the morning and to the				
Jerome in the afternoon		23.30%	103	
Not being overwhelmed by construction traffic		16.06%	71	
Keeping the S-curves so traffic slows down before hitting Main St.		11.09%	49	
Being pedestrian and bike-friendly		25.57%	113	
	Totals	100%	442	

88.) 20. How important is it to find a solution that is aesthetically acceptable to the public

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
Very important		58.33%	105	
Important		23.89%	43	
Neutral		6.67%	12	
Unimportant		4.44%	8	
Very unimportant		2.22%	4	
This shouldn't be an objective		4.44%	8	
	Totals	100%	180	

25) 20h "Aesthetically accent	

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Preserving all the Open Space		11.22%	11
No tunnels on the highway		10.20%	10
Keeping the S-curves		6.12%	6
Not being forced to crawl along in bumper-to-bumper traffic		14.29%	14
Not being overwhelmed by noise, dust and pollution		30.61%	30
Any new roadway at the Entrance should be a parkway or			
boulevard (with a grassy median)		20.41%	20
Hike things the way they are now		7.14%	7
	Totals	100%	98

26.) 21. How important is it to find a solution that minimizes impacts on open space, recreation and historical resources

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		55.17%	48
Important		24.14%	21
Neutral		13.79%	12
Unimportant		1.15%	1
Very unimportant		3.45%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		2.30%	2
	Totals	100%	87

27.) 22. How important is it to find a solution that reduces air pollution Responses

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		61.29%	57
Important		25.81%	24
Neutral		5.38%	5
Unimportant		3.23%	3
Very unimportant		2.15%	2
This shouldn't be an objective		2.15%	2
•	Totals	100%	93

28.) 23. How important is it to find a solution that is financially realistic

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		31.25%	30
Important		33.33%	32
Neutral		15.62%	15
Unimportant		4.17%	4
Very unimportant		3.12%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		12.50%	12
	Totals	100%	96

39.) 20b. "Aesthetically acceptable" to me means . . . (rank up to 3 choices

69.) 20b. Aesthetically acceptable to me means (rank up to 3 choices)			
		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Preserving all the Open Space		11.24%	1
No tunnels on the highway		6.74%	
Keeping the S-curves		11.24%	1
Not being forced to crawl along in bumper-to-bumper traffic		11.24%	1
Not being overwhelmed by noise, dust and pollution		32.58%	2
Any new roadway at the Entrance should be a parkway or			
boulevard (with a grassy median)		22.47%	2
I like things the way they are now		4.49%	
	Totals	100%	8

90.) 21. How important is it to find a solution that minimizes impacts on open space, recreation and historical resources

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		50%	4
Important		23.26%	2
Neutral		8.14%	
Unimportant		9.30%	
Very unimportant		2.33%	
This shouldn't be an objective		6.98%	
	Totals	100%	8

91.) 22. How important is it to find a solution that reduces air pollution

count)
52
25
2
1
1
4
85

92.) 23. How important is it to find a solution that is financially realistic

		(percent)	(count)
Very important		24.39%	20
Important		42.68%	35
Neutral		8.54%	7
Unimportant		9.76%	8
Very unimportant		4.88%	4
This shouldn't be an objective		9.76%	8
	Totals	100%	82

Resnonses

89.) 20b. "Aesthetically acceptable" to me means . . . (rank up to 3 choices)

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Preserving all the Open Space		11.23%	21
No tunnels on the highway		8.56%	16
Keeping the S-curves		8.56%	16
Not being forced to crawl along in bumper-to-bumper traffic		12.83%	24
Not being overwhelmed by noise, dust and pollution		31.55%	59
Any new roadway at the Entrance should be a parkway or			
boulevard (with a grassy median)		21.39%	40
I like things the way they are now		5.88%	11
	Totals	100%	187

90.) 21. How important is it to find a solution that minimizes impacts on open space,

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		52.60%	91
Important		23.70%	41
Neutral		10.98%	19
Unimportant		5.20%	9
Very unimportant		2.89%	5
This shouldn't be an objective		4.62%	8
	Totalo	1009/	172

91.) 22. How important is it to find a solution that reduces air pollution

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
Very important		61.24%	109	
Important		27.53%	49	
Neutral		3.93%	7	
Unimportant		2.25%	4	
Very unimportant		1.69%	3	
This shouldn't be an objective		3.37%	6	
	Totals	100%	178	

92.) 23. How important is it to find a solution that is financially realistic

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		28.09%	50
Important		37.64%	67
Neutral		12.36%	22
Unimportant		6.74%	12
Very unimportant		3.93%	7
This shouldn't be an objective		11.24%	20
	Totals	100%	178

20 \ 24 How important is it to	find a colution that allows f	or future transit ontions/ungrades

		Heaponaea	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		52.58%	51
Important		34.02%	33
Neutral		7.22%	7
Unimportant		2.06%	2
Very unimportant		3.09%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		1.03%	1
	Totals	100%	97

30.) 25. How important is it to find a solution that lowers the accident rate in the S-curves

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		18.95%	18
Important		18.95%	18
Neutral		22.11%	21
Unimportant		16.84%	16
Very unimportant		6.32%	6
This shouldn't be an objective		16.84%	16
	Totals	100%	95

31.) 26. How important is it to find a solution that provides an alternate route for emergency access to incidents inside and outside of Aspen

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		45.98%	40
Important		19.54%	17
Neutral		17.24%	15
Unimportant		5.75%	5
Very unimportant		3.45%	3
This shouldn't be an objective		8.05%	7
	Totals	100%	87

this question not asked at this session

93.) 24. How important is it to find a solution that allow	vs for future transit	options/up	grades	
		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
Very important		52.33%	45	
Important		32.56%	28	
Neutral		5.81%	5	
Unimportant		1.16%	1	
Very unimportant		2.33%	2	
This shouldn't be an objective		5.81%	5	
	Totalo	1000/	0.0	

94.) 25. How important is it to find a solution that lowers the accident rate in the S-curves

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		16.67%	14
Important		21.43%	18
Neutral		15.48%	13
Unimportant		17.86%	15
Very unimportant		9.52%	8
This shouldn't be an objective		19.05%	16
	Totals	100%	84

95.) 26. How important is it to find a solution that provides an alternate route for emergency access to incidents inside and outside of Aspen

		nespulises	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		31.76%	2
Important		37.65%	3
Neutral		14.12%	1.
Unimportant		7.06%	
Very unimportant		1.18%	
This shouldn't be an objective		8.24%	
·	Totals	100%	8

96.) 26a. Which of these project objectives are most important to you. (rank top 3)

		Hespo	onses
		(percent)	(count)
Limiting auto trips into Aspen		18.72%	44
Providing ways to get people into Aspen without cars		17.87%	42
Preserving small town scale and character of Aspen		18.30%	43
Aesthetically acceptable		8.51%	20
Minimizing impact on OS, recreation and historical resources		8.09%	19
Reducing air pollution		10.64%	25
Financially realistic		5.11%	12
Allowing future transit options / upgrades		8.09%	19
Lowering accident rates in the S-curves		1.28%	3
Providing alternate route for emergency access		3.40%	8
	Totals	100%	235

93.) 24. How important is it to find a solution that allows for future transit options/upgrades

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		52.46%	96
Important		33.33%	61
Neutral		6.56%	12
Unimportant		1.64%	3
Very unimportant		2.73%	5
This shouldn't be an objective		3.28%	6
	Totals	100%	183

94 \ 25 How important is it to find a solution that lowers the accident rate in the S-curves

94.) 25. How important is it to find a solution that lowers the accident rate in the 5-curves			
		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Very important		17.88%	32
Important		20.11%	36
Neutral		18.99%	34
Unimportant		17.32%	31
Very unimportant		7.82%	14
This shouldn't be an objective		17.88%	32
	Totals	100%	179

95.) 26. How important is it to find a solution that provides an alternate route for emergency access to incidents inside and outside of Aspen

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
Very important		38.95%	67	
Important		28.49%	49	
Neutral		15.70%	27	
Unimportant		6.40%	11	
Very unimportant		2.33%	4	
This shouldn't be an objective		8.14%	14	
	Totals	100%	172	

96.) 26a. Which of these project objectives are most important to you. (rank top 3)

		Respo	nses
		(percent)	(count)
Limiting auto trips into Aspen		18.72%	44
Providing ways to get people into Aspen without cars		17.87%	42
Preserving small town scale and character of Aspen		18.30%	43
Aesthetically acceptable		8.51%	20
Minimizing impact on OS, recreation and historical resources		8.09%	19
Reducing air pollution		10.64%	25
Financially realistic		5.11%	12
Allowing future transit options / upgrades		8.09%	19
Lowering accident rates in the S-curves		1.28%	3
Providing alternate route for emergency access		3.40%	8
	Totals	100%	235

Preferred Alternative -- Bus Lanes

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space) -- the following questions refer to the bus lane ootion.

32.) 27. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less concested town?

			Responses	
			(percent)	(count)
A lot			20.62%	20
Some			18.56%	18
Neutral			4.12%	4
Not much			22.68%	22
Not at all			34.02%	33
I don't care	_		0%	0
		Totals	100%	97

33.) 28. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

•	Ī	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		19.59%	19
Some		18.56%	18
Neutral		2.06%	2
Not much		23.71%	23
Not at all		34.02%	33
I don't care		2.06%	2
	Totals	100%	97

34.) 29. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Respo	onses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		15%	15
Some		19%	19
Neutral		14%	14
Not much		10%	10
Not at all		41%	41
I don't care		1%	1
	Totals	100%	100

35.) 30. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and

nistoric resources?		Responses	
	(p	(percent) (count)	
A lot		21%	21
Some		26%	26
Neutral		8%	8
Not much		19%	19
Not at all		26%	26
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	100

Preferred Alternative -- Bus Lanes

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space) — the following questions refer to the bus lane option.

97.) 27. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less congested town?

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		18.18%	12	
Some		16.67%	11	
Neutral		6.06%	4	
Not much		6.06%	4	
Not at all		48.48%	32	
I don't care		4.55%	3	
	Totals	100%	66	

98.) 28. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		13.10%	1
Some		17.86%	1
Neutral		8.33%	i '
Not much		16.67%	14
Not at all		41.67%	3
I don't care		2.38%	
	Totals	100%	8

99.) 29. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Hespo	nses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		9.88%	8
Some		22.22%	18
Neutral		7.41%	6
Not much		13.58%	11
Not at all		45.68%	37
I don't care		1.23%	1
	Totals	100%	81

100.) 30. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		20.93%	18
Some		22.09%	19
Neutral		4.65%	4
Not much		18.60%	16
Not at all		32.56%	28
I don't care		1.16%	1
	Totals	100%	86

Preferred Alternative -- Bus Lanes

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space) -- the following questions refer to the bus lane ontion.

97.) 27. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

_		Respo	onses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		19.63%	32
Some		17.79%	29
Neutral		4.91%	8
Not much		15.95%	26
Not at all		39.88%	65
I don't care		1.84%	3
	Totals	100%	160

98.) 28. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		16.57%	30	
Some		18.23%	33	
Neutral		4.97%	9	
Not much		20.44%	37	
Not at all		37.57%	68	
I don't care		2.21%	4	
	Totals	100%	181	

99.) 29. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Respo	onses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		12.71%	23
Some		20.44%	37
Neutral		11.05%	20
Not much		11.60%	21
Not at all		43.09%	78
I don't care		1.10%	2
	Totals	100%	181

100.) 30. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and

		Respo	Responses	
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		20.97%	39	
Some		24.19%	45	
Neutral		6.45%	12	
Not much		18.82%	35	
Not at all		29.03%	54	
I don't care		0.54%	1	
	Totals	100%	186	

Preferred Alternative -- LRT Option

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space) -- the following questions refer to the Linht Rail option.

36.) 31. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		21.88%	21
Some		25%	24
Neutral		10.42%	10
Not much		16.67%	16
Not at all		25%	24
I don't care		1.04%	1
	Totals	100%	96

4-lane Unrestricted

Unrestricted 4 Lane (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but without bus lanes – unrestricted 4 lanes) – the following questions refer to this option.

37.) 32. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		1.06%	1
Some		3.19%	3
Neutral		3.19%	3
Not much		11.70%	11
Not at all		78.72%	74
I don't care		2.13%	2
	Totals	100%	94

38.) 33. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

Resnonses

		(percent)	(count)
A lot		2.11%	2
Some		3.16%	3
Neutral		1.05%	1
Not much		9.47%	9
Not at all		81.05%	77
I don't care		3.16%	3
	Totals	100%	95

Preferred Alternative -- LRT Option

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Maroll/Thomas Open Space) — the following questions refer to the Light Rail option.

101.) 31. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		16.28%	14
Some		18.60%	16
Neutral		2.33%	2
Not much		22.09%	19
Not at all		39.53%	34
I don't care		1.16%	1
	Totals	100%	86

4-lane Unrestricted

Unrestricted 4 Lane (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but without bus lanes – unrestricted 4 lanes) -- the following questions refer to this option.

102.) 32. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		6.98%	- 6
Some		3.49%	
Neutral		3.49%	
Not much		8.14%	
Not at all		76.74%	66
I don't care		1.16%	1
	Totals	100%	81

103.) 33. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		4.71%	4
Some		1.18%	1
Neutral		4.71%	4
Not much		5.88%	5
Not at all		83.53%	71
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	85

Preferred Alternative -- LRT Option

The "Preferred" Alternative (2 lanes for cars/2 for buses or 2 lanes for cars/light rail in a modified direct alignment across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space) -- the following questions refer to the Light Rail option.

101.) 31. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		19.23%	35
Some		21.98%	40
Neutral		6.59%	12
Not much		19.23%	35
Not at all		31.87%	58
I don't care		1.10%	2
	Totals	100%	182

4-lane Unrestricted

Unrestricted 4 Lane (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but without bus lanes – unrestricted 4 lanes) -- the following questions refer to this option.

102.) 32. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

, ·		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		3.89%	7
Some		3.33%	6
Neutral		3.33%	6
Not much		10.00%	18
Not at all		77.78%	140
I don't care		1.67%	3
	Totals	100%	180

103.) 33. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		3.33%	6
Some		2.22%	4
Neutral		2.78%	5
Not much		7.78%	14
Not at all		82.22%	148
I don't care	<u></u>	1.67%	3
	Totals	100%	180

39.) 34. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		4.12%	4
Some		6.19%	6
Neutral		9.28%	9
Not much		15.46%	15
Not at all		63.92%	62
I don't care	<u></u>	1.03%	1
	Totals	100%	97

40.) 35. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

			Responses	
			(percent)	(count)
A lot			6.19%	6
Some			16.49%	16
Neutral			13.40%	13
Not much			13.40%	13
Not at all			50.52%	49
I don't care	_		0%	0
	_	Totals	100%	97

4-lane Bus/HOV

4 Lane – Bus/HOV (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but with 2 lanes unrestricted and 2 lanes for Bus/HOV traffic) – the following questions refer to that option.

41.) 36. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less congested town?

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.37%	7
Some		25.26%	24
Neutral		9.47%	9
Not much		24.21%	23
Not at all		33.68%	32
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	95

42.) 37. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars? Responses

		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.42%	8
Some		27.37%	26
Neutral		9.47%	9
Not much		25.26%	24
Not at all		29.47%	28
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	95

104.) 34. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

	-		Responses	
			(percent)	(count)
A lot			8.24%	7
Some			8.24%	7
Neutral			3.53%	3
Not much			10.59%	9
Not at all			67.06%	57
I don't care			2.35%	2
		Totals	100%	85

105.) 35. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.06%	6
Some		18.82%	16
Neutral		8.24%	7
Not much		12.94%	11
Not at all		51.76%	44
I don't care		1.18%	1
	Totals	100%	85

4-lane Bus/HOV

4 Lane – Bus/HOV (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but with 2 lanes unrestricted and 2 lanes for Bus/HOV traffic) – the following questions refer to that option.

106.) 36. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.75%	7
Some		21.25%	17
Neutral		1.25%	1
Not much		18.75%	15
Not at all		48.75%	39
I don't care		1.25%	1
	Totals	100%	80

107.) 37. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		3.61%	3
Some		28.92%	24
Neutral		2.41%	2
Not much		16.87%	14
Not at all		46.99%	39
I don't care		1.20%	1
	Totals	100%	83

104.) 34. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

,, ,		Resp	onses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		6.04%	11
Some		7.14%	10
Neutral		6.59%	12
Not much		13.19%	24
Not at all		65.38%	119
I don't care		1.65%	
	Totals	100%	182

105.) 35. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		6.59%	12
Some		17.58%	32
Neutral		10.99%	20
Not much		13.19%	24
Not at all		51.10%	93
I don't care		0.55%	1
	Totals	100%	182

4-lane Bus/HOV

4 Lane – Bus/HOV (use the alignment of the Preferred Alternative, but with 2 lanes unrestricted and 2 lanes for Bus/HOV traffic) -- the following questions refer to that option.

106.) 36. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.00%	14
Some		23.43%	41
Neutral		5.71%	10
Not much		21.71%	38
Not at all		40.57%	71
I don't care		0.57%	1
	Totals	100%	175

107.) 37. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

laving more cars:			
		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Vlot		6.18%	11
Some		28.09%	50
leutral		6.18%	11
lot much		21.35%	38
lot at all		37.64%	67
don't care		0.56%	1
	Totals	100%	178

43.) 38. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		4.12%	4
Some		15.46%	15
Neutral		13.40%	13
Not much		23.71%	23
Not at all		42.27%	41
I don't care		1.03%	1
	Totals	100%	97

44.) 39. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		5.43%	5
Some		19.57%	18
Neutral		7.61%	7
Not much		29.35%	27
Not at all		36.96%	34
I don't care		1.09%	1
	Totals	100%	92

Split Shot Bus/HOV

The Split Shot (2 lanes for cars/2 for HOV/Buses with a roundabout or underpass at Cemetery Lane in an alignment that goes across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space but at the "corner") – the following questions refer to the Bus/HOV version of the split shot alternative.

45.) 40. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less congested town?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		10.31%	10
Some		20.62%	20
Neutral		8.25%	8
Not much		20.62%	20
Poorly		40.21%	39
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	97

46.) 41. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.33%	8
Some		19.79%	19
Neutral		3.12%	3
Not much		16.67%	16
Not at all		51.04%	49
I don't care	<u></u>	1.04%	1
	Totals	100%	96

108.) 38. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		3.66%	3
Some		14.63%	12
Neutral		3.66%	3
Not much		15.85%	13
Not at all		58.54%	48
I don't care		3.66%	3
	Totals	100%	82

109.) 39. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		1.23%	1
Some		18.52%	15
Neutral		4.94%	4
Not much		25.93%	21
Not at all		46.91%	38
I don't care		2.47%	2
	Totals	100%	81

Split Shot Bus/HOV

The Split Shot (2 lanes for cars/2 for HOV/Buses with a roundabout or underpass at Cemetery Lane in an alignment that goes across a portion of the Marolt/Thomas Open Space but at the "corner") -- the following questions refer to the Bus/HOV resion of the split shot alternative.

110.) 40. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.14%	6
Some		19.05%	16
Neutral		8.33%	7
Not much		20.24%	17
Not at all		45.24%	38
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	84

111.) 41. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

Responses	
(percent)	(count)
8.43%	7
16.87%	14
1.20%	1
25.30%	21
48.19%	40
0%	0
100%	83
	(percent) 8.43% 16.87% 1.20% 25.30% 48.19% 0%

108.) 38. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
	(E	percent)	(count)
A lot		3.91%	7
Some		15.08%	27
Neutral		8.94%	16
Not much		20.11%	36
Not at all		49.72%	89
I don't care		2.23%	4
	Totals	100%	179

109.) 39. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		3.47%	6
Some		19.08%	33
Neutral		6.36%	11
Not much		27.75%	48
Not at all		41.62%	72
I don't care		1.73%	3
	Totals	100%	173

Split Shot Bus/HOV

The Split Shot (2 lanes for cars/2 for HOV/Buses with a roundabout or underpass at Cemetery Lane in an alignment that goes across a portion of the Maroll/Thomas Open Space but at the "corner") — the following questions refer to the Bus/HOV version of the solit shot alternative.

110.) 40. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.84%	16
Some		19.89%	36
Neutral		8.29%	15
Not much		20.44%	37
Not at all		42.54%	77
I don't care		0.00%	0
	Totals	100%	181

111.) 41. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without

naving more cars?			
· ·		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.38%	15
Some		18.44%	33
Neutral		2.23%	4
Not much		20.67%	37
Not at all		49.72%	89
I don't care		0.56%	
	Totals	100%	179

47.) 42. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		24.24%	24
Some		24.24%	24
Neutral		10.10%	10
Not much		13.13%	13
Not at all		28.28%	28
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	99

48.) 43. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		22.34%	21
Some		25.53%	24
Neutral		7.45%	7
Not much		19.15%	18
Not at all		25.53%	24
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	94

Split Shot Bus Dedicated

49.) 44. If the Split Shot had 2 lanes for cars and 2 lanes dedicated to buses, instead of HOV/bus lanes, would you like it better?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes		44.09%	41
No		55.91%	52
	Totale	100%	0.3

Existing Alignment - 3 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 3 lanes (a reversible lane for cars & buses) -- the following questions refer to this alternative.

50.) 45. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		12.37%	12
Some		11.34%	11
Neutral		5.15%	5
Not much		16.49%	16
Not at all		52.58%	51
I don't care		2.06%	2
	Totals	100%	97

112.) 42. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

,, ,		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		32.10%	26
Some		22.22%	18
Neutral		3.70%	3
Not much		18.52%	15
Not at all		23.46%	19
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	81

113.) 43. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		28.24%	2
Some		37.65%	3
Neutral		1.18%	
Not much		11.76%	10
Not at all		20%	17
I don't care		1.18%	
	Totals	100%	85

Split Shot Bus Dedicated

114.) 44. If the Split Shot had 2 lanes for cars and 2 lanes dedicated to buses, instead of HOV/bus lanes, would you like it better?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes		55.95%	47
No		44.05%	37
	Totals	100%	84

Existing Alignment - 3 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 3 lanes (a reversible lane for cars & buses) — the following questions refer to this alternative.

115.) 45. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less congested town?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.14%	6
Some		22.62%	19
Neutral		2.38%	2
Not much		8.33%	7
Not at all		57.14%	48
I don't care		2.38%	2
	Totals	100%	84

112.) 42. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		27.78%	50
Some		23.33%	42
Neutral		7.22%	13
Not much		15.56%	28
Not at all		26.11%	47
I don't care		0.00%	0
	Totals	100%	180

113.) 43. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		25.14%	45
Some		31.28%	56
Neutral		4.47%	8
Not much		15.64%	28
Not at all		22.91%	41
I don't care		0.56%	1
	Totals	100%	179

Split Shot Bus Dedicated

114.) 44. If the Split Shot had 2 lanes for cars and 2 lanes dedicated to buses, instead of HOV/bus lanes, would you like it better?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Yes		49.72%	88
No		50.28%	89
	Totals	100%	177

Existing Alignment - 3 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 3 lanes (a reversible lane for cars & buses) — the following questions refer to this alternative.

115.) 45. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		9.94%	18
Some		16.57%	30
Neutral		3.87%	7
Not much		12.71%	23
Not at all		54.70%	99
I don't care		2.21%	4
	Totals	100%	181

51.) 46. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		6.38%	6
Some		7.45%	7
Neutral		3.19%	3
Not much		22.34%	21
Not at all		59.57%	56
I don't care		1.06%	1
	Totalo	1000/	0.4

52.) 47. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		17.02%	16
Some		22.34%	21
Neutral		9.57%	9
Not much		13.83%	13
Not at all		36.17%	34
I don't care		1.06%	1
	Totals	100%	94

53.) 48. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		41.41%	41
Some		26.26%	26
Neutral		12.12%	12
Not much		9.09%	9
Not at all		10.10%	10
I don't care		1.01%	1
	Totals	100%	99

Existing Alignment - 4 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 4 lanes (2 for cars/2 for buses) -- the following questions refer to this alternative.

54.) 49. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		8.42%	8
Some		12.63%	12
Neutral		3.16%	3
Not much		22.11%	21
Not at all		52.63%	50
I don't care		1.05%	1
	Totals	100%	95

116.) 46. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.23%	6
Some		8.43%	7
Neutral		0%	0
Not much		12.05%	10
Not at all		72.29%	60
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	83

117.) 47. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

			Responses	
			(percent)	(count)
A lot			14.81%	12
Some			17.28%	14
Neutral			1.23%	1
Not much			17.28%	14
Not at all			49.38%	40
I don't care			0%	0
	l T	otals	100%	81

118.) 48. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		40.24%	33
Some		28.05%	23
Neutral		4.88%	4
Not much		12.20%	10
Not at all		12.20%	10
I don't care		2.44%	2
	Totals	100%	82

Existing Alignment - 4 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 4 lanes (2 for cars/2 for buses) -- the following questions refer to this alternative.

119.) 49. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		2.50%	2
Some		7.50%	6
Neutral		1.25%	1
Not much		16.25%	13
Not at all		72.50%	58
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	80

116.) 46. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

-		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		6.78%	12	
Some		7.91%	14	
Neutral		1.69%	3	
Not much		17.51%	31	
Not at all		65.54%	116	
I don't care		0.56%	1	
	Totals	100%	177	

117.) 47. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

•		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		16.00%	28
Some		20.00%	35
Neutral		5.71%	10
Not much		15.43%	27
Not at all		42.29%	74
I don't care		0.57%	1
	Totals	100%	175

118.) 48. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		40.88%	74	
Some		27.07%	49	
Neutral		8.84%	16	
Not much		10.50%	19	
Not at all		11.05%	20	
I don't care		1.66%	3	
	Totals	100%	181	

Existing Alignment - 4 lanes

Stay in the Existing Alignment – continue to use the existing SH 82 alignment, but widen to 4 lanes (2 for cars/2 for buses) -- the following questions refer to this alternative.

119.) 49. How well does this solution limit vehicle trips into Aspen in order to create a less

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		5.71%	10
Some		10.29%	18
Neutral		2.29%	4
Not much		19.43%	34
Not at all		61.71%	108
I don't care		0.57%	1
Т	Totals	100%	175

55.) 50. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		7.45%	7
Some		11.70%	11
Neutral		3.19%	3
Not much		24.47%	23
Not at all		52.13%	49
I don't care		1.06%	1
	Totals	100%	94

56.) 51. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		11.83%	11
Some		11.83%	11
Neutral		6.45%	6
Not much		19.35%	18
Not at all		49.46%	46
I don't care		1.08%	1
	Totals	100%	93

57.) 52. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		15.56%	14
Some		35.56%	32
Neutral		4.44%	4
Not much		15.56%	14
Not at all		27.78%	25
I don't care		1.11%	1
	Totals	100%	90

Short-Term Solutions

In our conversations with the community several ways of dealing with the entrance that don't involve "BIG STUFF" have come up:

58.) 53. Which of the following solutions do you prefer in the short-term? (rank your top 3)

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Increase parking fees in Aspen		16.39%	39
Free bus service between Snowmass and Aspen		23.95%	57
Restrict parking in Aspen neighborhoods		11.76%	28
Further restrict growth and development		23.11%	55
Comprehensive transportation management plan for the Aspen			
schools campus		24.79%	59
	Totals	100%	238

120.) 50. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

-		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		1.20%	1
Some		10.84%	9
Neutral		0%	0
Not much		19.28%	16
Not at all		68.67%	57
I don't care		0%	0
	Totals	100%	83

121.) 51. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		10.61%		
Some		9.09%		
Neutral		4.55%		
Not much		7.58%		
Not at all		68.18%	4	
I don't care		0%		
	Totals	100%	6	

122.) 52. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		14.29%	12
Some		27.38%	23
Neutral		2.38%	2
Not much		16.67%	14
Not at all		38.10%	32
I don't care		1.19%	1
	Totals	100%	84

Short-Term Solutions

In our conversations with the community several ways of dealing with the entrance that don't involve "BIG STUFF" have come up:

123.) 53. Which of the following solutions do you prefer in the short-term? (rank your top 3) Responses

		(percent)	(count)	
Increase parking fees in Aspen		16.19%	34	
Free bus service between Snowmass and Aspen		26.67%	56	
Restrict parking in Aspen neighborhoods		12.86%	27	
Further restrict growth and development		18.57%	39	
Comprehensive transportation management plan for the Aspen				
schools campus		25.71%	54	
	Totals	100%	210	

120.) 50. How well does this solution provide a way to get more people into Aspen without having more cars?

, ·		Respo	onses
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		4.52%	8
Some		11.43%	20
Neutral		1.71%	3
Not much		22.29%	39
Not at all		60.57%	106
I don't care		0.57%	1
	Totals	101%	177

121.) 51. How well does this solution preserve the small town scale and character of Aspen and is it aesthetically pleasing?

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
A lot		11.32%	18
Some		10.69%	17
Neutral		5.66%	9
Not much		14.47%	23
Not at all		57.23%	91
I don't care		0.63%	1
	Totals	100%	159

122.) 52. How well does this solution minimize impacts on Open Space, recreation and historic resources?

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
A lot		14.94%	26	
Some		31.61%	55	
Neutral		3.45%	6	
Not much		16.09%	28	
Not at all		32.76%	57	
I don't care		1.15%	2	
	Totals	100%	174	

Short-Term Solutions

In our conversations with the community several ways of dealing with the entrance that don't involve "BIG STUFF" have come up:

123.) 53. Which of the following solutions do you prefer in the short-term? (rank your top 3)

		Hesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Increase parking fees in Aspen		16.29%	73
Free bus service between Snowmass and Aspen		25.22%	113
Restrict parking in Aspen neighborhoods		12.28%	55
Further restrict growth and development		20.98%	94
Comprehensive transportation management plan for the Aspen			
schools campus		25.22%	113
	Totals	100%	448
	•		

Mid-Term Solutions

59.) 54. Which of the following do you prefer in the mid-term? (rank your top 2)

		nesponses	
		(percent)	(count)
Build more intercept lot capacity (Airport and/or Brush Creek) and more frequent shuttle service between town and the intercept lots Build an underpass at Truscott to eliminate the light Build a roundabout or underpass at Cemetery Jane to eliminate the		31.87% 22.50%	51 36
light Build more affordable housing in town		26.25% 19.38%	42 31
·	Totals	100%	160

Threshold Question

60.) 55. Do you want to:

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Move forward with the "Preferred" Alternative		41.84%	41
Reopen the EIS and study other options		25.51%	25
Focus only on the short- and mid-term options as described in the			
last two questions		20.41%	20
Maintain the status quo in terms of alignment and lanes		12.24%	12
	Totals	100%	98

the "Beauty Contest"

61.) 56. Which of the most talked about alternatives do you like (vote for only ONE):

Responses

		(percent)	(count)
The Bus "Preferred" Alternative		17.35%	17
The Light Rail "Preferred" Alternative		17.35%	17
Unrestricted 4 Lane		8.16%	8
4 Lanes - Bus/HOV		3.06%	3
The Split Shot (with HOV)		17.35%	17
The Split Shot (dedicated bus lanes)		12.24%	12
Existing Alignment - 3 lane reversible		18.37%	18
Existing Alignment - widen to 4 lanes		6.12%	6
	Totals	100%	98

Mid-Term Solutions			
124.) 54. Which of the following do you prefer in the mid-term? (rank your top 2)			
Responses			onses
		(percent)	(count)
Build more intercept lot capacity (Airport and/or Brush Creek) and more frequent shuttle service between town and the intercept lots Build an underpass at Truscott to eliminate the light Build aroundabout or underpass at Cemetery lane to eliminate the light Build more affordable housing in town		32.05% 23.08% 31.41% 13.46%	50 36 49 21
	Totals	100%	156

Threshold Question

125.) 55. Do you want to:

		Respo	nses
		(percent)	(count)
Move forward with the "Preferred" Alternative		33.33%	27
Reopen the EIS and study other options		40.74%	33
Focus only on the short- and mid-term options as described in the		1	
last two questions		16.05%	13
Maintain the status quo in terms of alignment and lanes		9.88%	8
	Totals	100%	81

the "Beauty Contest"

126.) 56. Which of the most talked about alternatives do you like (vote for only ONE):

		Responses		
		(percent)	(count)	
The Bus "Preferred" Alternative		8.24%	7	
The Light Rail "Preferred" Alternative		17.65%	15	
Unrestricted 4 Lane		12.94%	11	
4 Lanes - Bus/HOV		3.53%	3	
The Split Shot (with HOV)		22.35%	19	
The Split Shot (dedicated bus lanes)		18.82%	16	
Existing Alignment - 3 lane reversible		12.94%	11	
Existing Alignment - widen to 4 lanes		3.53%	3	
	Totals	100%	85	

Mid-Term Solutions

124.) 54. Which of the following do you prefer in the mid-term? (rank you<u>r top 2)</u>

		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Build more intercept lot capacity (Airport and/or Brush Creek) and			
more frequent shuttle service between town and the intercept lots		31.96%	101
Build an underpass at Truscott to eliminate the light Build a roundabout or underpass at Cemetery lane to eliminate the		22.78%	72
light		28.80%	91
Build more affordable housing in town		16.46%	52
	Totals	100%	316

Threshold Question

125.) 55. Do you want to:

.,,		Responses	
		(percent)	(count)
Move forward with the "Preferred" Alternative		37.99%	68
Reopen the EIS and study other options		32.40%	58
Focus only on the short- and mid-term options as described in the			
last two questions		18.44%	33
Maintain the status quo in terms of alignment and lanes		11.17%	20
	Totals	100%	179

the "Beauty Contest"

126.) 56. Which of the most talked about alternatives do you like (vote for only ONE):

		Hesponses		
		(percent)	(count)	
The Bus "Preferred" Alternative		13.11%	24	
The Light Rail "Preferred" Alternative		17.49%	32	
Unrestricted 4 Lane		10.38%	19	
4 Lanes - Bus/HOV		3.28%	6	
The Split Shot (with HOV)		19.67%	36	
The Split Shot (dedicated bus lanes)		15.30%	28	
Existing Alignment - 3 lane reversible		15.85%	29	
Existing Alignment - widen to 4 lanes		4.92%	9	
	Totals	100%	183	

About this technology . . .

62.) 57. Regarding this technology and this type of meeting (pick one that best suits you)

Responses

		nespu	111262
		(percent)	(count)
I can't wait to do this again - please use this at more meetings		31.82%	28
This isn't a bad approach - but I want to see what decision Council makes before passing judgment I'm on the fence about whether this is any better than regular public		13.64%	12
hearings I prefer the tried-and-true method of public hearings in the Council		4.55%	
chambers		3.41%	;
I like that this provides a forum where I can learn what the larger			
community thinks of these issues		42.05%	3
We need other input methods but this wasn't it		4.55%	
	Totals	100%	81

About this technology			About this technology		
127.) 57. Regarding this technology and this type of meeting (pick one that <u>best suits you)</u> Responses (percent), (count)			127.) 57. Regarding this technology and this type of meeting (pick one that best suits y. Response percent) to:		
I can't wait to do this again - please use this at more meetings	30.67%	23	I can't wait to do this again - please use this at more meetings	31.29%	51
This isn't a bad approach - but I want to see what decision Council makes before passing judgment I'm on the fence about whether this is any better than regular public hearings I prefer the tried-and-true method of public hearings in the Council chambers	14.67% 5.33% 0%	11 4 0	This isn't a bad approach - but I want to see what decision Council makes before passing judgment I'm on the fence about whether this is any better than regular public hearings I prefer the tried-and-true method of public hearings in the Council chambers	14.11% 4.91% 1.84%	23 8 3
I like that this provides a forum where I can learn what the larger community thinks of these issues We need other input methods but this wasn't it Total	38.67% 10.67% s 100%		I like that this provides a forum where I can learn what the larger community thinks of these issues We need other input methods but this wasn't it Totals	40.49% 7.36% 100%	66 12 163