

CDOT Have the Necessary Resources?

Virtually everyone agrees that CDOT has insufficient resources to meet the C-470 capital improvement needs in the near term.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report

Page 9



Project Costs

Among those we interviewed, there were not strong feelings about the project costs; most thought they were about right.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report



What are the Choices?

- Most want to create reasonable funding partnerships and are willing to consider user pay mechanisms, such as tolling, as part of the mix.
- Many would like to see this funding challenge addressed in the larger metropolitan-wide context of future transportation needs and how they will be funded.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report

Page 11



Tolling: Perceived Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages

- In the right situation, tolling has a place
- User pays
- Choice; some will pay for convenience and speed
- Generates revenue
- No other viable alternative
- Better on new roads (e.g., E-470)
- Effective congestion management tool
- · Frees up resources for other uses
- · Benefit for transit service
- Potential for dynamic pricing (e.g., time-of-day pricing)
- Potential for faster construction
- · Out-of-area travelers help pay for road
- Gas tax losing its effectiveness
- Politically difficult to increase gas tax

Disadvantages

- · Most expensive alternative
- · Subsidy needed to cover total cost
- Paying more for an alternative that is less efficient in using capacity
- · Perception of double taxation
- · Not as good for retrofit
- Questions about accessing and exiting; more limited access, more limited use
- · Mixed system complicates use
- · Better to toll entire facilities
- More incentive to use arterials
- · Elitist; hits the poorest travelers harder
- Geographic scope too limited
- · Metro-wide equity issues
- Percent of traffic much higher in GP lanes than Express Lanes

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report



General Purpose Lanes: Perceived Advantages and Disadvantages

Advantages

- More egalitarian approach; everyone has access
- Address overall traffic needs better
- Allows a three-lane incremental solution
- Belief that most would prefer to pay a higher tax to expand existing facilities

Disadvantages

- Continues the problem; free access does nothing to reduce demand
- No pricing mechanism to moderate congestion
- Challenge is how to pay for and maintain it

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report

Page 13



Public Involvement: Adequate?

- · Project Team gets good marks for the extensiveness of its outreach efforts.
- Weaknesses noted are that (a) there has been less than desired interest on the part of the public in this issue (with a few notable exceptions) and (b) the policy issues related to tolling have not yet been debated adequately.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report



Areas of Agreement

- C-470 has a capacity problem and it should be addressed in the near term.
- Virtually everyone agrees that CDOT has insufficient resources to meet C-470 capital improvement needs.
- Most think estimated projected costs are about right.
- Most want to create reasonable funding partnerships and are willing to consider user pay mechanisms, such as tolling, as part of the mix.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report

Page 15



Areas Lacking Agreement

- No agreement on the preferred alternative.
- The extent to which the C-470 solution should be addressed in the context of metro-wide transportation planning.
- · Is a phased approach to improving capacity a viable option.
- Philosophical disagreement about the appropriateness and extensiveness of tolling. To toll or not to toll – that is the question.

April 2005

The Osprey Group Report