## Mainline Screening Matrix

			Mainline Highway Alternatives including Santa Fe interchange impacts and I-25 interchange impacts					
QUANTITATIVE SCREENING ANALYSIS Goals/Objectives Performance Measure(s)		No Action			General Purpose Lanes		Express Lanes	
Congestion/Delay				1		-		
Minimize congestion on C-470 from Kipling to I-25.	PM peak hour LOS.	•	pm peak hour LOS E/F	0	pm peak hour LOS D or better		pm peak hour LOS C or better in express lanes pm peak hour LOS E/F in general purpose lanes composite	
Provide a reasonable balance between arterial interchange operations and freeway operations.	Intersection LOS.	$\bigcirc$	see intersection LOS worksheet	$\bigcirc$	see intersection LOS worksheet	$\Theta$	see intersection LOS worksheet corridor travel time 12-14 minutes in	
Minimize delay over a limited timeframe (peak period).	Corridor travel time in minutes.	•	corridor travel time 30-35 minutes	Θ	corridor travel time 15-18 minutes		express lanes (60% volume) corridor travel time 29-36 minutes in general purpose lanes (40% volume) composite	
Reliability								
Provide predictable travel times.	LOS and whether lanes can be actively managed or not. Degree to which an alternative provides flexible vs.		poor LOS and no active management ; no control over how GPLs are used		moderate LOS and no active management ; no control over how GPLs are used	0	moderate LOS and high active management ; express lanes can be actively managed flexible capacity within Els ; fixed	
Manage capacity. Manage incidents.	fixed capacity. Degree to which an alternative provides incident management elements.	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	fixed capacity some incident management elements available	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	fixed capacity some incident management elements available	•	capacity within GPLs more incident management elements available ; better means to access incidents	
Provide reliable choices to most users.	Number of choices and number of users.	•	provides limited choices to limited users	•	provides moderate amount of choices (improved LOS on GPLs) to moderate amount of users (bus, SOVs)	0	provides most choices (express lanes) to most users (bus, SOVs, HOVs)	
Inform users of system status. Implementation	Number of ITS elements included within alternative.		no current means to deliver information	$\bigcirc$	some ITS elements included within alternative	0	more ITS elements included within alternative	
Implement in a timely fashion.	Funding availability	$\bigcirc$	no funding needed		Santa Fe 35% funding identified	$\bigcirc$	Santa Fe 35% funding identified	
	· · ·	$\overline{\bigcirc}$	\$0 M		GPL 0% funding identified		EL 70- 80% funding identified	
Minimize total project costs. Environment	Total cost range.(including Santa Fe)	$\square$	φυ IVI		\$240 M - \$250 M		\$365 M - \$375 M	
Minimize impacts to adjacent bicycle and pedestrian	Linear miles trail relocation necessary.	$\bigcirc$	0 miles		7.5 miles		8.1 miles	
trail system. Minimize noise impacts to the built environment.	Number of receptors that exceed the threshold in the	$\bigcirc$	16 receptors	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	24 receptors	$\overline{\mathbf{O}}$	24 receptors	
Minimize traffic diversion on to local road network.	year 2025. Degree of traffic diversion on parallel facilities to C- 470.	0	minimal diversion	0	minimal diversion	•	Colorado T-Ramp area increases 15- 30% ; County Line Road from Santa Fe to Lucent increases 10-30%	
Compatibility with local land use plans.	Does the alternative support land use patterns that are compatible with local land use plans?	0	yes	0	yes	0	yes	
Minimize visual impacts to neighboring communities.	Relative degree of visual impact.	0	none	•	minor impact - barrier median ; wider typical section; flyover at Santa Fe	O	minor impact - additional overhead signage ; barrier median ; wider typical section ; braided ramp at Quebec ; T-Ramp at Colorado; flyover at Santa Fe	
Minimize acquisition of additional Right of Way.	Number of parcels impacted; acres of additional Right of Way needed.	0	0 parcels ; 0 acres	$\bigcirc$	56 partial parcels ; 16.3 acres	$\Theta$	62 partial parcels ; 19.7 acres	
Ensure conformity with regional air quality standards.	Number of intersections LOS D or worse.	$\bigcirc$	35 intersections	igodol	36 intersections		36 intersections	
Minimize floodplain impacts.	Is 100-year floodplain impacted? Location of impact.	0	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (no increase in 100 year flood elevation)	$\bigcirc$	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (under 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)	$\bigcirc$	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (under 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)	
Minimize impacts to wetlands and Waters of the U.S.	Acres of wetlands impacted.	0	0 acres connected to Waters of U.S. ; 0 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.	$\Theta$	0.8 acres connected to Waters of the U.S. ; 2.7 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.		0.7 acres connected to Waters of the U.S. ; 2.4 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.	
	Acres of Waters of the U.S. impacted.	$\bigcirc$	0 acres	$\bigcirc$	0.5 acres	$\bigcirc$	0.3 acres	
Minimize impacts to critical water sources that degrade surface and ground water quantity and quality.	Acres of impervious surface area of alternative.	0	135 acres	$\Theta$	300 acres	•	322 acres	
Minimize impact to potential Threatened or Endangered habitat.	Acres of Threatened or Endangered species habitat impacted, number of raptor nests impacted. Acres of Colorado Species of Special Concern habitat	$\bigcirc$	0 acres ; 0 nests 0 acres	$\bigcirc$	0 acres ; 0 nests 12.1 acres Black-tailed prairie dog	$\bigcirc$	0 acres ; 0 nests 12.3 acres Black-tailed prairie dog	
	impacted. Number of haz-mat sites impacted, type, and severity	$\bigcirc$			4 sites (underground storage tanks,		4 sites (underground storage tanks,	
Minimize encroachment on hazardous material sites. Minimize impacts to cultural resources. (historical,	of site impacts.	0	0 sites	$\bigcirc$	low potential for impact)	$\bigcirc$	low potential for impact)	
archaeological, and paleontological)	Number, type and severity of cultural sites impacted.	$\bigcirc$	no adverse impacts	$\bigcirc$	no adverse impacts	$\bigcirc$	no adverse impacts	
Minimize impacts to recreation and parkland resources.	Acres of recreation areas or parklands impacted.	$\bigcirc$	0 acres 0 acres connected to Waters of	•	<ol> <li>5.1 acres (all for water quality ponds)</li> <li>5.0 acres connected to Waters of the</li> </ol>	•	<ul><li>5.1 acres (all for water quality ponds)</li><li>5.0 acres connected to Waters of the</li></ul>	
Minimize impacts to riparian habitat. Enhance the opportunity for wildlife movement across	Acres of riparian habitat impacted. Does the alternative provide additional opportunity	0	U.S. ; 0 acres not connected to Waters of Waters of the U.S. no additional opportunity or	$\Theta$	U.S. ; 1.9 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S. additional wildlife movement opportunity under new S. Platte	$\Theta$	U.S. ; 1.9 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S. additional wildlife movement opportunity under new S. Platte	
the corridor. Minimize impacts to minority and low-income	for, or more restrictions to, wildlife movement. Number and type of population impacted ; type and		restrictions		River bridge 1 community impacted (Wolhurst) ;		River bridge 1 community impacted (Wolhurst) ;	
Minimize impacts to minority and tow-meetine populations. Minimize economic impacts to local businesses and	quantity of impact.	$\frac{0}{0}$	0 communities impacted	$\mathbf{O}$	visual and noise impacts		visual and noise impacts	
residencies. Ease of Movement	Net loss to businesses, relocation costs.	$\square$	0 impacts	$\cup$	0 impacts	$\cup$	0 impacts	
Provide appropriate access to C-470.	Number of access points. Provides access for most users.	$\bigcirc$	access to C-470 does not change	$\bigcirc$	access to C-470 does not change	$\bigcirc$	access to C-470 improves with access to Colorado Blvd.	
Provide appropriate access across C-470.	Number of crossings.	$\bigcirc$	access across C-470 does not change	$\bigcirc$	access across C-470 does not change	$\bigcirc$	access across C-470 does not change	
Integrate multi-modal solutions.	Availability of Transit Service. Effective ridership potential. Coordination with supporting elements.	•	no corridor specific transit service ; future improvement of adjacent arterial bus service only	$\bigcirc$	bus service operates in general purpose lanes on C-470; ridership potential low to moderate; easy cordination of corridor service with arterial bus services possible from any park-n-ride location	0	commuter bus service operates in express lanes; one direct service from Kipling/Wadsworth, another direct service from Lucent; ridership moderate because limited by location; coordination with arterial bus services at Lucent	
Provide transportation choices to most users.	Mode choice from interchanges on the corridor.	•	no mode choice available on C-470 Corridor	0	mode choice available from park-n- ride locations at any interchange, as demand warrants	O	mode choice available from park-n- ride lcoations at interchanges with express lane access; Wadsworth, Kipling, Lucent	
Provide a transportation system that is consistent with regional transportation plans. Safety	Conformity with regional transportation plans.	0	complete consistency with regional plans	0	additional service than defined in regional plans, but not inconsistent	0	additional service than defined in regional plans, but not inconsistent	
Address pavement condition deficiencies.	Will the alternative reconstruct deficient pavement		currently contains deficient pavement areas throughout	$\cap$	will rehabilitate all deficient	$\bigcirc$	will rehabilitate all deficient	
	areas. Does the alternative meet all/desirable/minimum		entire project limits currently does not meet criteria		pavement areas		pavement areas	
Address existing mainline safety issues.	project design criteria at selected locations.		some locations	$\square$	will meet all project design criteria	$\square$	will meet all project design criteria	
Summary of each alternative:		Poor levels of service and high travel times; poor reliability and poor active management; no costs and therefore no funding needed; no environmental issues; no change in access to or across C-470; no integration with multi-modal services; no increase in safety on the corridor.		Acceptable levels of service and average travel times; poor relaibility and no active management; average costs but no funding available; some environmental impacts; improvement in access to C-470; some integration with multi-modal services ; increase in safety on the corridor.		Acceptable levels of service and best travel times; best reliability and most active management; higher costs but funding is available; some environmental impacts; improvement in access to C-470; some integration with multi-modal services; increase in safety on the corridor.		
Disposition:	Disposition:							

GENERAL PURPOSE LANES: 6 lanes Kipling to Wadsworth; 8 lanes Wadsworth to Santa Fe; 8 lanes plus auxiliary lanes Santa Fe to I-25

EXPRESS LANES: 2 lanes Kipling to Wadsworth ; 4 lanes Wadsworth to 1-25 ; access at Kipling, at Wadsworth, between Lucent and Broadway, between Broadway and University, at Colorado, at Quebec, at Yosemite, and at 1-25





## C-470 CORRIDOR PROJECT



999 18th Street, Suite 2600, Denver, Colorado 80202 303.297.2976 phone 303.297.2693 fax www.c470.info