## Santa Fe Screening Matrix

OHANTITATIVE SCI	DEENING ANALVSIS	Santa Fe Interchange Alternatives									
QUANTITATIVE SCREENING ANALYSIS  Goals/Objectives Unit of Measure		No Action		Single Point Urban with One Flyover		Southwest Parclo		Improved Diamond with One Flyover		3-Level Diamond (Option B)	
Traffic Operations Optimize interchange traffic operations.	Level of Service - Ramp Intersections  1) Eastbound Ramp Intersection w/ Santa Fe  2) Westbound Ramp Intersection w/ Santa Fe	•	AM LOS         PM LOS           F         F           F         F	0	AM LOS PM LOS  N/A N/A  C D	0	AM LOS         PM LOS           B         B           C         D	0	AM LOS         PM LOS           C         D           C         C	0	AM LOS         PM LOS           D         D           D         D
Optimize adjacent intersection traffic operations.	Level of Service - Local Roadway Intersection  1) Santa Fe and County Line  2) Santa Fe and Blakeland	•	AM LOS         PM LOS           F         F           C         D	•	AM LOS         PM LOS           C         D/E*           C         C   * Note: through vehicle queues extend	•	AM LOS         PM LOS           C         E*           C         C*   * Note: through vehicle queues extend	•	AM LOS	0	B D C C
Reliability					past adjacent off ramp		past adjacent off ramp		past adjacent off ramp		
Reduce signalized intersections or signal phases.	Reduction in signalized intersection.	•	no reduction	0	eliminates 1 signal	•	eliminates 1 signal phase		no reduction	•	no reduction
Accommodate higher ramp volumes at interchange.	Number of free ramp movements.	•	0 free movements	•	1 free movement	•	1 free movement	•	1 free movement	0	0 free movements; however, elevated thru traffic provides best access to C-4 ramps for others
Implementation	Raw construction cost range.	0	\$0		\$40 M - \$45 M	0	\$27 M - \$32 M		\$40 M - \$45 M		\$46 M - \$51 M
Vinimize project costs.	Right of Way acquisition cost range.	0	\$0	0	\$1.9 M - \$2.4 M	•	\$6 M - \$7 M	0	\$1.3 M - \$1.8 M	0	\$3.8 M - \$4.3 M
Provide a constructible solution.	Relative simplicity in construction phasing and method of handling traffic.	0	no construction	•	SPUI bridge difficult to construct under traffic; flyover can be constructed outside of traffic	<u> </u>	loop and EB off can be constructed outside of existing traffic; Santa Fe bridge over C-470 same width as existing (difficult if bridge is reconstructed)	0	flyover can be constructed outside of traffic; wider Santa Fe bridge over C-470 will ease traffic control during construction	-	third level thru's can be constructed completely outside of existing traffic Santa Fe bridge width over C-470 wil require difficult traffic control under
Provide long-term phasability/implementation.	Relative ability to implement individual elements in phases (construction packages) as traffic demand increases and/or funding is available.	0	no implementation	0	flyover can be implemented first, or in future	•	loop and EB off needs immediate implementation	0	flyover can be implemented first, or in future	<b>-</b>	construction  third level can be implemented in futu provided it is from the outside edge of pavement
Environment	increases and/ or runding is available.										pavement
Note: Values listed are for the interchange concepts only.  Minimize impacts to adjacent bicycle and pedestrian										Ι	
rail system.	Linear miles trail relocation necessary.	0	0 miles	<u> </u>	0.7 miles	<u> </u>	0.8 miles	0	0.7 miles	0	0.7 miles
Minimize noise impacts to the built environment.	Number of receptors that exceed the threshold, amount threshold is exceeded.	0	no impact	•	3 areas ; all over threshold	•	3 areas ; all over threshold	•	3 areas ; all over threshold	•	2 areas ; both over threshold
Ensure compatibility with local land use plans.	Does the alternative support land use patterns that are compatible with local land use plans?	0	yes	0	yes	0	yes	0	yes	0	yes
finimize visual impacts to neighboring communities.	Relative degree of visual impact.	$\circ$	none	•	more impacts ; additional signage ; elevated structure + 1 flyover ramp	<u> </u>	some impacts ; additional signage	•	more impacts ; additional signage ; 1 flyover ramp	•	more impacts ; additional signage ; lar elevated structure
Minimize acquisition of additional Right of Way.	Number of parcels impacted; acres of additional Right of Way.	0	0 parcels; 0 acres	<b>-</b>	14 partial parcels ; 5.4 acres	•	14 partial parcels ; 2.9 acres	<u> </u>	14 partial parcels ; 4.0 acres	<b>-</b>	14 partial parcels ; 6.2 acres
Vinimize floodplain impacts.	Is 100-year floodplain impacted, location of impact?	0	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (no increase in 100 year flood elevation)	0	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (under 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)	0	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (under 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)	0	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (unde 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)	r O	meets FEMA floodplain regulations (under 1 ft. increase in 100 year flood elevation)
Minimize impacts to wetlands and Waters of the U.S.	Acres of wetlands impacted.	0	0 acres connected to Waters of U.S.; 0 acres not connected to Waters of U.S.	<u> </u>	0.4 acres connected to Waters of the U.S.; 0.5 acres not connected to Waters of the	<b>-</b>	0.4 acres connected to Waters of the U.S. 0.5 acres not connected to Waters of the	-	0.4 acres connected to Waters of the U.S.; 0.5 acres not connected to Waters of the	0	0.3 acres connected to Waters of the U.S 0.5 acres not connected to Waters of the
	Acres of Waters of the U.S. impacted.	0	0 acres		U.S. 0 acres	0	U.S. 0 acres		U.S. 0 acres		U.S. 0 acres
Minimize impacts to critical water sources that degrade	e Acres of impervious surface area of alternative.	$\bigcirc$	21.0 acres		23.2 acres	$\bigcirc$	18.8 acres	0	22.0 acres	0	23.4 acres
surface and ground water quantity and quality.  Minimize impact to potential Threatened or Endangered habitat.	Acres of Threatened or Endangered species habitat	0	0 acres ; 0 nests		0 acres ; 0 nests	0	0 acres ; 0 nests	0	0 acres ; 0 nests	0	0 acres ; 0 nests
	impacted, number of raptor nests impacted.  Acres of Colorado Species of Special Concern habitat	0	0 acres		2.7 acres of Black-tailed prairie dog	<u> </u>	2.4 acres of Black-tailed prairie dog		2.7 acres of Black-tailed prairie dog habita		2.4 acres of Black-tailed prairie dog
Minimize encroachment on hazardous material sites.	impacted.  Number of haz-mat sites impacted, type, and severity of site impacts.	0	0 sites	0	4 sites (underground storage tanks, low potential for impact)	0	4 sites (underground storage tanks, low potential for impact)	0	4 sites (underground storage tanks, low potential for impact)	0	4 sites (underground storage tanks, lo
Minimize impacts to cultural resources. (historical,	Number, type and severity of cultural sites impacted.	$\bigcirc$	no adverse impacts	0	no adverse impacts	0	no adverse impacts		no adverse impacts	0	no adverse impacts
archaeological, and paleontological)  Minimize impacts to recreation and parkland	Acres of recreation areas or parklands impacted.		0 acres of parkland impacted		0.6 acres of parkland impacted		9.6 acres of parkland impacted	0	0 acres of parkland impacted		1.4 acres of parkland impacted
resources.			0 acres connected to Waters of U.S. ;		0.6 acres connected to Waters of the U.S.;		2.5 acres connected to Waters of the U.S.		0.5 acres connected to Waters of the U.S.;		0.5 acres connected to Waters of the U.S
Minimize impacts to riparian habitat.	Acres of riparian habitat impacted.		0 acres not connected to Waters of U.S.		1.8 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.	<u> </u>	0.5 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.		0.8 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.		0.8 acres not connected to Waters of the U.S.
Enhance the opportunity for wildlife movement across the corridor.	for, or more restrictions to, wildlife movement?	•	no additional opportunity or restrictions	•	no additional opportunity or restrictions	•	no additional opportunity or restrictions	•		-	
Minimize impacts to minority and low-income populations.	Number and type of population impacted, type and quantity of impact.	0	0 communities impacted	•	1 community impacted (Wolhurst); noise and visual impact	•	1 community impacted (Wolhurst) ; noise and visual impact		1 community impacted (Wolhurst) ; noise and visual impact	-	1 community impacted (Wolhurst); no and visual impact
Minimize economic impacts to local businesses and esidencies.	Net loss to businesses, relocation costs.	0	0 impacts	0	0 impacts	0	0 impacts	$\circ$	0 impacts	0	0 impacts
Ease of Movement	Provides ease of movement for transit options / does not preclude or alter transit options considered or	0	does not preclude planned multi-modal improvements	0	does not preclude planned multi-modal improvements	0	does not preclude planned multi-modal improvements	0	does not preclude planned multi-modal improvements	0	does not preclude planned multi-mod- improvements
Integrate multi-modal solutions.	planned.  Number or length of structures required to fully grade separate trail through Santa Fe Interchange. (ALL SANTA FE ALTERNATIVES WILL BE GRADE SEPARATED EXCEPT THE NO ACTION.)	•	trail will remain at-grade	0	requires 1 grade-separation (Santa Fe)	0	requires 1 grade-separation (loop/ramp)	0	requires 1 grade-separation (Santa Fe)	0	requires 1 grade-separation (Santa Fe)
Provide a high degree of driver expectancy.	Degree to which traffic movements into/out of the interchange are easily understood and maneuvered by the traveling public.	0	existing condition is known to users	•	advance signage required for 1 movement	•	advance signage required for 1 movemen	•	advance signage required for 1 movement	•	advance signage required for 4 movements
Safety Address existing interchange safety issues.	Does the alternative meet all/desirable/minimum project design criteria at selected locations?	•	currently does not meet criteria	0	will meet all project design criteria	0	will meet all project design criteria	0	will meet all project design criteria	0	will meet all project design criteria
Reduce conflicting vehicular movements.	Number of conflicting movements eliminated.	•	no elimination	•	no elimination; however, elevated SB to EB traffic eliminates much of the conflicting traffic on the bridge and at the County Line / C-470 intersection	<u> </u>	eliminates 1 conflicting movement	•	no elimination; however, elevated SB to EB traffic eliminates much of the conflicting traffic on the bridge and at the County Line / C-470 intersection	•	no elimination ; however, elevated thri traffic eliminates much of the conflictin traffic on the bridge
Summary of each alternative:  Disposition:			Poor level of service for ramp intersections and poor level of service for local roadway intersections; no increase in reliability; no implementation costs; no construction issues; no environmental impacts; poor ease of movement within corridor; no increase of safety within corridor.  ELIMINATED		Average level of service for ramp intersections and average level of service for local roadway intersections; best increase in reliability; medium implementation costs; some construction issues; some environmental impacts; better ease of movement within corridor; some increase of safety within corridor.  ELIMINATED		Average level of service for ramp intersections and average level of service for local roadway intersections; some increase in reliability; high implementation costs; some construction issues; highest environmental impacts; better ease of movement within corridor; some increase of safety within corridor.  ELIMINATED		medium implementation costs; minor		otable level of service for ramp intersectic average level of service for local roadwa resections; no increase in reliability; high mentation costs; some construction issu ne environmental impacts; better ease of vement within corridor; some increase o safety within corridor.



