



COLORADO

Department of Transportation

CDOT's Flood Recovery Program LOCAL AGENCY UPDATES September 18, 2014



- PR Task Order Process
- Construction DDIRs for Projects > \$1 million
- Consultant DBE Goals
- Environmental Process
- Request for Reimbursement Q&A
- CDOT Flood Recovery Website
- Upcoming Trainings
- Risk and Resiliency Process





Task Order Process



- Flood projects require task orders
 - IGA covers multiple projects
 - Task orders are needed for individual projects
 - Exhibit C outlines budgets by phase and is included in the Task Order
 - Approvals required at
 - Flood Recovery Office
 - Flood Recovery Business Office
 - CDOT HQ (Chief Engineer and Controller)





Task Order Flowchart

TASK ORDER PROCESS





- Form 1243 defines
 Local Agency and CDOT responsibilities
- Determine project type
 & scope
- Budget needs
- CDOT requirements
- Go over LA checklist







Creating a Construction DDIR for Projects > \$1 mil



- Only applies to projects > \$1 million
- Pre-FIR meeting to discuss project 20 percent design
 - Discuss design with Flood Recovery Office (FRO)
 - Evaluate construction cost estimate and prepare construction DDIR
- If task order is in place, amend to reflect new Construction DDIR costs
- If task order not in place, reflect updated numbers in task order





Consultant DBE Goals and EEO Requirements



- Pre-Con/All Project requirements
- DBE Goals/Requirements
- OJT Goals/Requirements
- Certified Payrolls/Davis Bacon
- Project Finalization
- Miscellaneous











Environmental Process



Environmental Process

.

- Local agency responsibilities
 - Clarify lead agency / coordination needs
 - Provide project description / map of work area permanent and construction limits
 - Prepare documentation for environmental resources (resources on next slides)
 - Develop mitigation measures based on permits and FIR comments
 - Coordinating with resource agencies and obtaining permits
- CDOT approves and signs Form 128

– "Top Half" and "Bottom Half"



Environmental Process





- Air Quality and Noise
 - CDOT will provide clearance or advise of additional analysis required
- Hazardous Waste
 - Initial / Modified Site Assessment or Phase I
- Threatened or Endangered Species

 Conservation Measures from USFWS
- Wetland Delineation (survey)
- History and Historic Bridge
 - Area of Potential Effects direct and indirect to be coordinated before surveys occur
 - Linear resources (ditches, railroads, etc.)



Environmental Resource Analysis

- Archaeology
 - Area of Potential Effect generally = construction footprint
- Section 4(f)
 - Historic Properties, Trails, Parks
 - If Section 4(f) resources are present consult with CDOT early!
- Section 6(f)
 - Recreation properties funded with Land and Water Conservation Funds
 - Uncommon for flood projects
- Other



Environmental Permits by Agency

.

- Wetlands
 - 404 Permit
 - Wetland Finding
 - 402 Certification
- Senate Bill 40 approval
- Floodplains Permit
- Section 6(f) completion
- Hazardous Waste Phase II Site Assessments
- Stormwater Management Plan



- Water Permits
 - Construction Stormwater Permit
 - Construction Dewatering Permit
- APCD bridge/structure demolition permit
- Other demolition or local permits





Request for Reimbursement Questions



DOT Flood Recovery Website

.

http://www.coloradodot.info/projects/ floodrelatedprojects/local-agency-projects

- PowerPoint presentations
- Forms
- Manuals
- What else?





Local Agency Training is Coming – Stay Tuned





Risk and Resiliency Process



- FHWA requires a risk-based analysis for designing repairs to ensure they are cost effective and reduce the potential for future loss.
- Currently FHWA has not adopted a risk based model for analyzing resiliencies for infrastructure projects
- CDOT & FHWA Piloting an infrastructure model including peer reviews, sensitivity analysis and comparison to FEMA model
- Model considers
 - vulnerability of the asset
 - threat likelihood
 - probability of a successful failure.

"Resilience: Capability to anticipate, prepare for, respond to, and recover from significant multi-hazard threats with minimum damage to social well-being, the economy, and environment."



- Process based on RAMCAP approach published by the ASME for Critical Infrastructure (American Society of Mechanical Engineers)
- Assessing risk of assets to natural threats
- Assessing vulnerability of assets to natural threats
- Estimating consequences of future natural threats to Federal Aid Roads
- Reduced annualized risk for design alternatives are compared to "Restore-in-Kind" designs to natural threats



- Three pieces of information provided to CDOT/FHWA for decision making regarding resilient design alternatives:
 - Annualized monetary risk to design alternatives from natural threats
 - Measure of resilience for design alternatives from natural threats that reflects the anticipated number of vehicles that could be affected (not serviced) in any given year due to natural threats
 - Criticality Rating that reflects each asset's impact on service provided by the owner



Risk from Natural Threats

$Risk = C \times V \times T$

.

Where:

- R = annual monetary risk due to natural threats (\$)
- C = consequences (\$)
- V = vulnerability to identified consequences under a specific threat (probability)
- T = specific threat likelihood (probability)



.

• As per FHWA Emergency Relief Manual, cannot account for user costs

Resilience = AADT × %AADT Not Serviced × DaysOut of Service × V × T



Criticality Rating Provided for Context

• Site Location and Re-routing Alternative





Criticality Rating Provided for Context

	Score					
	1	2	3	4	5	
	Very Low	Low	Moderate	High	Very High	
	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	Impact	
Road	Rural Maior	Urban Collector	Minor	Primary	Interstate	
Classification	Collector	(Major or Minor)	Arterial	Arterial	Freeway	
classification				, according	Expressway	
	Facility Open to	Facility Open to	Facility Open to	Facility Open to		
	Essential Traffic More	Essential Traffic	Essential Traffic	Essential Traffic	Facility Open to	
Need for	Than 48 Hours After	Within 48 Hours	Within 12 Hours	Within 2 Hours	Essential Traffic	
Access by	Event	of Event	of Event	of Event	Immediately	
Essential	Multiple-Redundant	Single Redundant	Multiple Redundant	Single Redundant	Following Event	
Traffic	Routes Available with	Route Available with	Routes Available with	Route Available with	Single Point of	
	No/Minimal Loss of	No/Minimal Loss of	Some Loss of	Significant Loss of	Failure	
	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity	Capacity		
Route	Truck %	HAZMAT	Truck %	Defense	Evacuation	
Designation	under 10%	Route	over 10%	Route	Route	
Capital Cost of	< ¢E 0	¢E 0 ¢10	¢10, ¢20	¢20, ¢20,	> ¢20	
Damaged Site	< >5.0 million / Jone mile	35.0 - 310 million / Jone mile	γ10 - γ20 million (long mile		> \$30	
(per Lane Mile)	million / lane mile	million / lane mile	million / lane mile	million / lane mile	million / lane mile	

Criticality Rating

Criticality Ranking	Description	Score
Criterion 1: Road Clasification	Principal Arterial	4
Criterion 2: Need for Access by Essential Traffic	Immediately after event (major E-W connector to nearby eastern plains communities)	5
Criterion 3: Route Designation	Evac Route	5
Criterion 4: Capital cost of Damage Site (\$ /Lane-mile)	\$ 5,423,683	2
	Total score	16



Resilience Index Score

Criticality Score	Criticality Level	Resilience Index Score
4 to 10	Low	1.0
11 to 15	Moderate	2.0
16 to 20	High	3.0



- Three categories of roadway repairs:
 - Restore facilities to pre-disaster conditions including upgrades to current best practices (RIK)
 - Repair facilities to current design standards (RTS)
 - Improve facilities to make more resistant to future events and/or betterments (Betterment)





- If proposed improvements are to Standards or Betterments
- RnR analysis completed for presentation to FHWA at Preliminary Design review meeting (pre-FIR)
 - RnR package submittal needed 4 weeks prior to FHWA meeting
- Was the site damage rated "severe"?
 - Yes
 - RnR will be required for improvements above RTS
 - Betterments
 - No
 - RnR will be required for improvements above RIK
 - RTS and Betterments



.

Preliminary Design RnR Data Sheet



RnR Analysis Procedure

- Complete RnR data sheet
 - Site Information
 - General site information
 - Pre-Event conditions
 - Criticality/Resilience
 - Damage caused by event

GENERAL	INFORMATION	Notes
Road Name		
County		
Mile Marker Start		
Mile Marker End		
Other Comments		
PRE-EVEN	IT CONDITIONS	Notes
Type of Asset (bridge, roadway, combo)		
Number of Lanes- Primary Direction		
Shoulder Width- Primary Direction (ft)		
Lane Width-Primary Direction (ft)		
Number of Lanes- Secondary Direction		
Shoulder Width- Secondary Direction (ft)		
Number of Lanes- Other		
Shoulder Width- Other (ft)		
lane Width- Other (ft)		
Bike/Ped Presence?		
AADT		
% Trucks		
Road User Cost per Day		
Pre-event Design Standard for scour threat (if		
known/applicable)		
Pre-event Hydraulic Design Standard for facility for		
flooding		
Peak flow at September 2013 Colorado Flood event		+ (OF 00):
Flows at site for corresponding flood events Tuyr e	Vent (Q10), 25yr (Q25), 50yr (Q50), 100yr (Q100) and 500yr ever	it (Q500):
25 vr event (Q25)		
50 yr event (050)		
100 vr event (Q100)		
500 yr event (Q500)		
River elevation corresponding to the different flood	events 10yr (E10), 25yr (E25), 50yr (E50), 100yr (E100), 500yr e	/ent (E500):
10 yr event (E10)		
25 yr event (E25)		
50 yr event (E50)		
100 yr event (E100)		
500 yr event (E500)		
Road or Structure Elevation at Damage Site		
Embankment Characteristics (if applicable):		
Slope		
Material (ex. sandy-clay fill, high cohesive, low		
cohesive, rip-rap, etc)		
Vegetation existence (Yes or No)		Neter
CRITICALITY Need for site access after event by essential traffic	RESILIENCE INDEX	wotes
(ex. more than 48hrs, within 48hrs, within 12hrs,		
within 2hrs or immediately after event)		
Available Alternate Routes? If so, give routes and		
number of miles of re-route		
Route designation (ex. HA7MAT. Defense		
Evacuation)		
Environmental Depuisements for DIV. Comparison		
environmental Requirements for RIK: Categorical exclusions (CE), Environmental Assessment		
Template, Environmental Assessment Template		
		biatas
D	AMAGE	ivotes
Lat/Long Start of Damaged Section		
Length of Damaged Section (ft)		
Description of Damage		
Asset Value Lost for THIS Event (FR+PR)		
Flood Intensity for THIS Event		
Number of Lanes Lost to Traffic		
Duration site was down (days)		



RnR Analysis Procedure

- Complete RnR datasheet
 - Costs
 - Total Asset Value
 - ER costs
 - PR costs (RIK)
 - PR + Standards costs (RTS)

Current Standard Hydraulic Design	
Current Standard Hydraulic Capacity (ft ³ /sec)	
Current Standard Design Life	
Improve to Current Standards Cost above PR Cost	
Description of Betterment A for site	
Betterment A Hydraulic Design Standard	
Betterment A Hydraulic Design Capacity (ft3/sec)	
Betterment A Design Life	
Betterment A Cost above PR Cost	
Description of Betterment B for site	
Betterment B Hydraulic Design Standard	
Betterment B Hydraulic Design Capacity (ft3/sec)	
Betterment B Design Life	
Betterment B Cost above PR Cost	
Description of Betterment C for site	
Betterment C Hydraulic Design Standard	
Betterment C Hydraulic Design Capacity (ft3/sec)	
Betterment C Design Life	
Betterment C Cost above PR Cost	

PLEASE ATTACH*

Total Replacement Cost of Site if completely lost

PR (Replace in Kind + Best Practice)

Description of Current Standard for site

Notes

Betterment costs



RnR Analysis Procedure

- Complete Project Costs
 - Standardized estimate sheet
 - Engineers estimated construction cost
 - Use standardized percentages for
 - Design
 - Construction Oversight
 - Contingencies
 - Indirects

Desised as a dama	Total Rep		TOJECT COS	a Esuilla	(C			
Project Location:							Initia	ls:
Name of Road			-				Dat	te:
Begin MP	orC	PS Coordinate	s					
End MP	<u> </u>							
County	_							
Description of Work below :								_
PROJECT MAJOR CONSTRUCTION ITEMS								
Najor Earthwork Items	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Donth (ft)	Unit	Unit Co	st Quantity	_	
Rock Fill			Deptil (It)	CY	\$ 3	0.00 0	\$0	5051
Excavation & Embankment	-			CY	\$ 1	2.00 0	\$0	
Seeding and Blankets (= to or steeper than 2:1 slope)				AC	\$ 13,75	0.00 0.00	\$0	
Seeding and Straw (flatter than 2:1 slope)				AC	\$ 3,70	0.00 0.00	\$0	
Str. Backfill (Class 1)				CY	\$ 3	0.00 0	\$0	
Str. Backfill (Flow - fill)				CY	\$ 9	5.00 0	\$0	
Channel Excavation				CY	\$ 4	5.00 0	\$0	
Rip Rap to protect embankment slopes				CY	\$ 9	5.00 0	\$0	
Remove & Recycle Asphalt	-			SY	\$	9.00 0	\$0	
Remove & Recycle Concrete				SY	\$ 1	5.00 0	\$0	
Rock Scaling				HR	\$ 10	0.00	\$0	
Remove & Recycle ABC (6")				SY	\$ 1	0.00 0	\$0	
Recondition Subgrade (6")				SY	\$	2.00 0	\$0	
					\$	- 0	\$0	
					\$	- 0	\$0	
					\$	- 0	\$0	
					\$ Estimated	- 0 ICostEarthwork	\$0 \$0	
Bases & Pavements	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (in)	Unit	\$ Estimated	- 0 I Cost Earthwork st Quantity	\$0 \$0	Cost
Bases & Pavements 57 ABC Class 6	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (in)	Unit	\$ Estimated Unit Co \$ 3	- 0 d Cost Earthwork st Quantity 10.00 0	\$0 \$0 	Cost
Bases & Pavements 5* ABC Class 6 *MA (assume 5*) area greater than 500LF	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (in)	Unit CY TN	S Estimated Unit Co S S S		\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Cost
Sases & Pavements 5° ABC Class 6 ₦M (assume 5°) area greater than 500LF ⊂O2P (assume 9°), but project specific overrides)	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (in)	Unit CY TN SY	\$ Estimated Unit Co \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- 0 d Cost Earthwork st Quantity 60.00 0 60.00 0 0.00 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Cost
Bases & Pavements 5* ABC Class 6 +MA (assume 5*) area greater than 500LF *CCP (assume 9*, but project specific overrides) *MA Patching - anything less than 500 LF	Length (ft)	Width (ft)	Depth (in)	Unit CY TN SY TN	\$ Estimated Unit Co \$ 3 \$ 8 \$ 4 \$ 13	- 0 I Cost Earthwork st Quantity 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0 0.00 0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$ \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Cost



RnR Analysis Procedure

- Miscellaneous
 - Road User Cost Sheet
 - If available
 - Drawings of improvements
 - HEC-RAS
 - HEC-6 (streambank)
 - HY-8 (culvert)

ROAD USER COST CALCULATIONS
Subaccount: Project Name: CDOT FLOOD - MP 77.3-79.1 Highway No.: US34A
Construction Year ADI: 5600 % Trucks: 6
NON-CONSTRUCTION CONDITIONS
Posted Speed = 45 Length = 2 Miles
Travel Time = Mileage + (Posted Speed + 60 min/hr) = <u>2.67</u> Minutes
Total Construction Length including Detours: 73 Miles
*Length Construction Speed MPH Travel Time
US 34A: 14.7 M ÷ 45 × 60 = 19.60
US 36B: 21.8 M ÷ 45 x 60 = 29.07
SH 66B: 7.9 M ÷ 60 x 60 = 7.90
US 287C: 15.7 M ÷ 65 x 60 = 14.49
US 34A: 12.8 Mi ÷ 45 x 60 = 17.07
*Segment Length Total: 72.9 Total Travel Time = <u>88.13</u> Minutes
*Segment mileage should add up to Total Construction Length.
TRAVEL TIME COSTS:
Delay Cost Factors:
Passenger Cars: 12.16 \$ / veh-hr of delay Multi-Unit Trucks: 24.18 \$ / veh-hr of delay
Daily Cost per
Passenger Car Component: 0.94 X 5600 X 12.16 ÷ 60 min/hr = \$1.066.84
Truck Component: 0.06 X 5600 X 24.18 ÷ 60 min/hr = \$135.41
Total Daily Cost per Minute of Delay = <u>\$1.202.25</u>
Construction Delay = Construction Travel Time - Non-Construction Travel Time = 85.46 Minutes
Total Resultant Delay Costs = 85.46 X \$1.202 = \$102.743 per day
USE \$102,700





• Questions

Andy Garton gartonad@pbworld.com (719) 452-4293 - office (719) 306-3460 - cell

