

3.16 PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

This section provides a summarized description of the existing conditions of paleontological resources within the regional study area, and anticipated impacts on these resources corresponding to each of the North I-25 alternatives. The scope of the paleontological analysis included literature and museum record searches and a field survey. The *Paleontological Resources Technical Report (Rocky Mountain Paleontology, 2008)* should be consulted for greater detail.

What's in Section 3.16?

- 3.16 Paleontological Resources**
- 3.16.1 Affected Environment
- 3.16.2 Environmental Consequences
- 3.16.3 Mitigation Measures

3.16.1 Affected Environment

The Front Range foothills and adjacent eastern plains region of Colorado are well known for their geologic history and paleontologic importance. Scientists working in this area have conducted numerous studies in geology and paleontology, some of which are now considered classic works, and others that are on the cutting edge of modern paleontological and paleoenvironmental research. Many important fossil specimens, including numerous holotypes, have been collected in this region. These include the type specimens of the dinosaurs *Stegosaurus armatus*, *Diplodocus*, *Allosaurus*, and *Apatosaurus ajax*, which were collected during the late nineteenth century from historic quarries near the town of Morrison. These and many other fossils from the Front Range and eastern plains region of Colorado are now housed in museums in Colorado and throughout the United States.

3.16.2 Environmental Consequences

No-Action Alternative

There would be no impacts to paleontological resources resulting from the No-Action Alternative.

Package A

Package A would result in varying degrees of ground disturbance associated with construction. Unmitigated excavations in Pierre Shale, Fox Hills Sandstone, Laramie Formation, Denver Formation, and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have the potential to adversely impact scientifically significant paleontological resources. Generally, the greater the amount of ground disturbance, the greater the likelihood of adverse impacts on paleontological resources in formations that are known to be fossiliferous. The potential for adverse impacts increases with the known paleontological sensitivity of each geologic formation.

Excavations for highway widening and interchange improvements are typically shallow, and mostly occur close to the existing grade. Excavations associated with rail construction are also mostly shallow in areas like the regional study area that are largely of low topographic relief. Larger and deeper excavations, such as those for building foundations at commuter bus and commuter rail stations and associated facilities, bridge abutments, underground utilities such as pipelines and powerlines, and light standards along the North I-25 corridor, have a higher potential for adverse impacts on paleontological resources.

1 The Denver Formation has high paleontological sensitivity, and could be impacted by
2 construction from E-470 to US 36 (A-H4). The Pierre Shale and Laramie Formation have
3 moderate sensitivity, and the Fox Hills Sandstone and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have
4 low sensitivity. These units underlie portions of the regional study area. Most previously
5 recorded fossil localities within the regional study area are located in the Pierre Shale between
6 Fort Collins and Loveland, especially the Hygiene Sandstone Member in the vicinity of Fossil
7 Ridge. Construction along the existing BNSF rail-line between Fort Collins and Longmont, and
8 along I-25 between E-470 and US 36 (A-H4), has the highest likelihood of adversely impacting
9 paleontological resources, especially where cuts are necessary to expand highways,
10 interchanges and rail alignments.

11 **Package B**

12 Package B would result in varying degrees of ground disturbance associated with construction.
13 Unmitigated excavations in Pierre Shale, Fox Hills Sandstone, Laramie Formation, Denver
14 Formation, and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have the potential to adversely impact
15 scientifically significant paleontological resources. Generally, the greater the amount of ground
16 disturbance, the greater the likelihood of adverse impacts on paleontological resources in
17 formations that are known to be fossiliferous. The potential for adverse impacts increases with
18 the known paleontological sensitivity of each geologic formation.

19 In terms of construction-related ground disturbance and potential impacts on paleontological
20 resources, the highway components under Package A and Package B are similar, except that
21 under Package A structure upgrades (A-H4) are proposed to I-25 between E-470 and US 36,
22 and under Package B (B-H4), an additional tolled express lane is proposed between E-470
23 and US 36 (B-H4), with upgrades to highway interchanges.

24 **Preferred Alternative**

25 The Preferred Alternative would result in varying degrees of ground disturbance associated
26 with construction. Unmitigated excavations in Pierre Shale, Fox Hills Sandstone, Laramie
27 Formation, Denver Formation, and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have the potential to
28 adversely impact scientifically significant paleontological resources. Generally, the greater the
29 amount of ground disturbance, the greater the likelihood of adverse impacts on paleontological
30 resources in formations that are known to be fossiliferous. The potential for adverse impacts
31 increases with the known paleontological sensitivity of each geologic formation.

32 The highway components included in the three build alternatives would result in construction-
33 related ground disturbance and potential impacts on paleontological resources. Generally, the
34 higher the number of lanes and interchange improvements, the higher the potential for
35 paleontological resource impacts, depending on the area's known paleontological sensitivity.

36 Transit components under Package A, Package B, and the Preferred Alternative would impact
37 paleontological resources differently. Under Package B, transit alternatives consist of bus rapid
38 transit service and the construction of associated infrastructure. Ground disturbance
39 associated with the construction of commuter rail lines and facilities associated with
40 Package A and the Preferred Alternative is anticipated to be significantly greater than that
41 required for bus rapid transit facilities associated with Package B. It should be noted that
42 disturbances associated with commuter rail facilities would be noticeably less under the
43 Preferred Alternative than Package A.

1 In terms of construction disturbance, Package A would disturb 2,877 acres, Package B would
2 disturb 2,959 acres, and the Preferred Alternative would disturb 3,224 acres. Therefore,
3 Package A has the lowest potential for impacts on paleontological resources, followed by
4 Package B, with the Preferred Alternative having the highest potential for paleontological
5 impacts. All build alternatives have a higher potential for impacts on paleontological resources
6 than the No-Action Alternative.

7 **3.16.3 Mitigation Measures**

8 ***Construction Monitoring***

9 Continuous monitoring or spot checking during construction is recommended for the Pierre
10 Shale, Laramie Formation, and Denver Formation (or portions thereof). Paleontological
11 clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations is recommended for the Fox Hills Sandstone
12 and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits.

13 All paleontological monitoring work will be performed by a qualified and State of Colorado-
14 permitted paleontologist. Paleontological monitoring will include inspection of exposed rock
15 units and microscopic examination of matrix to determine if fossils are present. This work
16 would take place during surface disturbing activities, such as excavations for the construction
17 of roads, railways, bridges, underpasses, and buildings. Depending upon the paleontological
18 sensitivity of the project area based on its geology and the types and significance of potential
19 fossils that could be present in sub-surface sedimentary deposits, monitoring will be scheduled
20 to take place continuously or to consist of spot-checks of construction excavations.
21 Paleontological monitors will follow earth-moving equipment and examine excavated
22 sediments and excavation sidewalls for evidence of significant paleontological resources. At
23 the request of the monitors, the project engineer will order temporary diversion of grading
24 away from exposed fossils in order to permit the monitors to efficiently and professionally
25 recover the fossil specimens and collect associated data. All efforts to avoid delays to project
26 schedules will be made.

27 The final paleontological monitoring report should provide all necessary paleontological data.
28 This includes, but is not limited to, a discussion of the results of the mitigation-monitoring plan,
29 an evaluation and analysis of the fossils collected (including an assessment of their
30 significance, age, and geologic context), an itemized inventory of fossils collected, a
31 confidential appendix of locality and specimen data with locality maps and photographs, an
32 appendix of curation agreements and other appropriate communications, and a copy of the
33 project-specific paleontological monitoring and mitigation plan.

34 If any subsurface bones or other potential fossils are found by construction personnel during
35 construction, work in the immediate area will cease immediately, and the CDOT staff
36 paleontologist will be contacted to evaluate the significance of the find. Once salvage or other
37 mitigation measures (including sampling) is complete, the CDOT staff paleontologist will notify
38 the construction supervisor that paleontological clearance has been granted.

39 ***Recommendations***

40 1. Potential adverse impacts on paleontological resources within the North I-25 Final EIS
41 regional study area can be reduced to below the level of significance with the
42 implementation of paleontological mitigation. **Table 3.16-1** summarizes the paleontological
43 resource mitigation measures recommendations by geologic formation.

- 1 2. When the Preferred Alternative has been selected and the project design plans have been
2 finalized, the CDOT paleontologist will review these documents and determine the extent
3 and depth of ground disturbance associated with construction of the proposed
4 transportation improvements. Based on these findings, mitigation measures will be
5 modified, as appropriate and additional site-specific or project-specific paleontological
6 studies may be recommended.
- 7 3. The majority of privately owned lands within the regional study area and some segments of
8 the BNSF right-of-way were not surveyed for paleontological resources because access to
9 these parcels was not granted. When the Preferred Alternative is selected, the CDOT
10 paleontologist will determine which of these parcels, if any, could contain exposures of
11 potentially fossiliferous bedrock and/or surface fossils, and should be surveyed prior to
12 construction.
- 13 4. If any subsurface bones or other potential fossils are found anywhere within the regional
14 study area during construction-related ground disturbance, the CDOT paleontologist will be
15 notified immediately to assess their significance and make further recommendation.

16 **Table 3.16-1 Summarized Paleontological Resource Mitigation Recommendations**
17 **by Geologic Formation**

Formation	Location	Approach
Pierre Shale, Hygiene Sandstone Member	Fossil Ridge, BNSF corridor south of Fort Collins and north of Loveland.	Monitor all excavations during construction
Pierre Shale	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area except Fossil Ridge.	Spot-check large excavations for significant fossils during construction. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Fox Hills Sandstone	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Paleontological clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations recommended. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Laramie Formation	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Spot-check large excavations for significant fossils during construction. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Denver Formation	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Monitor all excavations during construction.
Pleistocene-age surficial deposits	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Paleontological clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations recommended. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.

18