

3.16 PALEONTOLOGICAL RESOURCES

This section provides a summarized description of the existing conditions of paleontological resources within the regional study area, and anticipated impacts on these resources corresponding to each of the North I-25 alternatives. The scope of the paleontological analysis included literature and museum record searches and a field survey. The *Paleontological Resources Technical Report (Rocky Mountain Paleontology, 2008)* should be consulted for greater detail.

What's in Section 3.16?

- 3.16 Paleontological Resources**
- 3.16.1 Affected Environment
- 3.15.2 Environmental Consequences
- 3.15.3 Mitigation Measures

3.16.1 Affected Environment

The Front Range foothills and adjacent eastern plains region of Colorado are well known for their geologic history and paleontologic importance. Scientists working in this area have conducted numerous studies in geology and paleontology, some of which are now considered classic works, and others that are on the cutting edge of modern paleontological and paleoenvironmental research. Many important fossil specimens, including numerous holotypes, have been collected in this region. These include the type specimens of the dinosaurs *Stegosaurus armatus*, *Diplodocus*, *Allosaurus*, and *Apatosaurus ajax*, which were collected during the late nineteenth century from historic quarries near the town of Morrison. These and many other fossils from the Front Range and eastern plains region of Colorado are now housed in museums in Colorado and the United States.

3.16.2 Environmental Consequences

No-Action Alternative

There would be no impacts to paleontological resources resulting from the No-Action Alternative.

Package A

Package A would result in varying degrees of ground disturbance associated with construction. Unmitigated excavations in Pierre Shale, Fox Hills Sandstone, Laramie Formation, Denver Formation, and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have the potential to adversely impact scientifically significant paleontological resources. Generally, the greater the amount of ground disturbance, the greater the likelihood of adverse impacts on paleontological resources in formations that are known to be fossiliferous. The potential for adverse impacts increases with the known paleontological sensitivity of each geologic formation.

Excavations for highway widening and interchange improvements are typically shallow, taking place mostly close to existing grade. Excavations associated with rail construction are also mostly shallow in areas like the regional study area that are largely of low topographic relief. Larger and deeper excavations such as those for building foundations at commuter bus and commuter rail stations and associated facilities, bridge abutments, underground utilities such as pipelines and powerlines, and light standards along the North I-25 corridor, have a higher potential for adverse impacts on paleontological resources.

1 The Denver Formation has high paleontological sensitivity, and could be impacted by
2 construction from E-470 to US 36 (A-H4). The Pierre Shale and Laramie Formation have
3 moderate sensitivity, and the Fox Hills Sandstone and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have
4 low sensitivity. These units underlie portions of the regional study area. Most previously
5 recorded fossil localities within the regional study area are located in the Pierre Shale between
6 Fort Collins and Loveland, especially the Hygiene Sandstone Member in the vicinity of Fossil
7 Ridge. Construction along the existing BNSF rail-line between Fort Collins and Longmont, and
8 along I-25 between E-470 and US 36 (A-H4), especially where cuts are necessary to expand
9 highways, interchanges and rail alignments, has the highest likelihood of adversely impacting
10 paleontological resources.

11 *Package B*

12 Package B would result in varying degrees of ground disturbance associated with
13 construction. Unmitigated excavations in Pierre Shale, Fox Hills Sandstone, Laramie
14 Formation, Denver Formation, and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits have the potential to
15 adversely impact scientifically significant paleontological resources. Generally, the greater the
16 amount of ground disturbance, the greater the likelihood of adverse impacts on paleontological
17 resources in formations that are known to be fossiliferous. The potential for adverse impacts
18 increases with the known paleontological sensitivity of each geologic formation.

19 In terms of construction-related ground disturbance and potential impacts on paleontological
20 resources, the highway components under Package A and Package B are similar, except that
21 under Package A structure upgrades (A-H4) are proposed to I-25 between E-470 and US 36,
22 and under Package B (Component B-H4), an additional tolled express lane is proposed
23 between E-470 and US 36 (B-H4), with upgrades to highway interchanges.

24 Transit components under Packages A and B would impact paleontological resources
25 differently. Under Package B, transit alternatives consist of bus rapid transit service and the
26 construction of associated infrastructure. Ground disturbance associated with the construction
27 of commuter rail lines and facilities is anticipated to be significantly greater than that required
28 for bus rapid transit facilities.

29 Because Package B would generally require less ground disturbance than Package A due to the
30 absence of rail transit disturbances, Package B has a lower potential for impacts on
31 paleontological resources. However, Package B has a higher potential for impacts on
32 paleontological resources than the No-Action Alternative.

33 **3.16.3 Mitigation Measures**

34 *Construction Monitoring*

35 Continuous monitoring or spot checking during construction is recommended for the Pierre
36 Shale, Laramie Formation, and Denver Formation (or portions thereof). Paleontological
37 clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations is recommended for the Fox Hills Sandstone
38 and Pleistocene-age surficial deposits.

1 All paleontological monitoring work will be performed by a qualified and State of Colorado-
2 permitted paleontologist. Paleontological monitoring will include inspection of exposed rock units
3 and microscopic examination of matrix to determine if fossils are present. This work would take
4 place during surface disturbing activities, such as excavations for the construction of roads,
5 railways, bridges, underpasses, and buildings. Depending upon the paleontological sensitivity of
6 the project area based on its geology and the types and significance of potential fossils that could
7 be present in sub-surface sedimentary deposits, monitoring will be scheduled to take place
8 continuously or to consist of spot-checks of construction excavations. Paleontological monitors
9 will follow earth-moving equipment and examine excavated sediments and excavation sidewalls
10 for evidence of significant paleontological resources. At the request of the monitors, the project
11 engineer will order temporary diversion of grading away from exposed fossils in order to permit
12 the monitors to efficiently and professionally recover the fossil specimens and collect associated
13 data. All efforts to avoid delays to project schedules will be made.

14 The final paleontological monitoring report should provide all necessary paleontological data.
15 This includes, but is not limited to, a discussion of the results of the mitigation-monitoring plan, an
16 evaluation and analysis of the fossils collected (including an assessment of their significance,
17 age, and geologic context), an itemized inventory of fossils collected, a confidential appendix of
18 locality and specimen data with locality maps and photographs, an appendix of curation
19 agreements and other appropriate communications, and a copy of the project-specific
20 paleontological monitoring and mitigation plan.

21 If any subsurface bones or other potential fossils are found by construction personnel during
22 construction, work in the immediate area will cease immediately, and the Colorado Department of
23 Transportation (CDOT) staff paleontologist will be contacted to evaluate the significance of the
24 find. Once salvage or other mitigation measures (including sampling) is complete, the CDOT staff
25 paleontologist will notify the construction supervisor that paleontological clearance has been
26 granted.

27 *Recommendations*

- 28
- 29 1. Potential adverse impacts on paleontological resources within the North I-25 DEIS regional
30 study area can be reduced to below the level of significance with the implementation of
31 paleontological mitigation. **Table 3.16-1** summarizes the paleontological resource mitigation
32 measures recommendations by geologic formation.
 - 33 2. When the Preferred Alternative has been selected and the project design plans have been
34 finalized, the CDOT paleontologist will review these documents and determine the extent and
35 depth of ground disturbance associated with construction of the proposed transportation
36 improvements. Based on these findings, mitigation measures will be modified as appropriate
37 and additional site-specific or project-specific paleontological studies may be recommended.
 - 38 3. The majority of privately owned lands within the regional study area and some segments of
39 the BNSF right-of-way were not surveyed for paleontological resources because access to
40 these parcels was not granted. When the Preferred Alternative is selected, the CDOT
41 paleontologist will determine which of these parcels, if any, could contain exposures of
42 potentially fossiliferous bedrock and/or surface fossils, and should be surveyed prior to
43 construction.
 - 44 4. If any subsurface bones or other potential fossils are found anywhere within the regional
45 study area during construction-related ground disturbance, the CDOT paleontologist will be
46 notified immediately to assess their significance and make further recommendation.

1 **Table 3.16-1 Summarized Paleontological Resource Mitigation Recommendations**
2 **for the North I-25 DEIS by Geologic Formation**

Formation	Location	Approach
Pierre Shale, Hygiene Sandstone Member	Fossil Ridge, BNSF corridor south of Fort Collins and north of Loveland	Monitor all excavations during construction
Pierre Shale	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area except Fossil Ridge.	Spot-check large excavations for significant fossils during construction. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Fox Hills Sandstone	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Paleontological clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations recommended. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Laramie Formation	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Spot-check large excavations for significant fossils during construction. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.
Denver Formation	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area.	Monitor all excavations during construction.
Pleistocene-age surficial deposits	All locations where unit occurs within regional study area	Paleontological clearance with no attached mitigation stipulations recommended. Immediately notify CDOT paleontologist if fossils found during construction.

3