



# Table of Contents

## VOLUME 1

### Chapters

<b>S</b>	<b>SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>S-1</b>
S.1	U.S. 50 CORRIDOR CHARACTERISTICS.....	S-2
S.2	PURPOSE AND NEED .....	S-4
	S.2.1 Safety Issues .....	S-4
	S.2.2 Mobility Issues.....	S-5
	S.2.3 Access Issues.....	S-6
	S.2.4 Flexibility to Accommodate Future Needs.....	S-6
S.3	PROJECT AREA.....	S-6
S.4	ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED.....	S-7
	S.4.1 No-Build Alternative.....	S-8
	S.4.2 Build Alternatives.....	S-8
S.5	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION.....	S-11
S.6	IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND SUMMARY OF IMPACTS.....	S-15
S.7	COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT .....	S-17
S.8	SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION .....	S-17
S.9	MITIGATION STRATEGIES.....	S-18
S.10	ANTICIPATED OUTCOMES OF TIER 1.....	S-19
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1-1</b>
1.1	PREVIOUS STUDIES .....	1-2
1.2	TIERED NEPA PROCESS.....	1-4
1.3	PROJECT AREA AND STUDY AREA LIMITS.....	1-5
1.4	LOGICAL PROJECT TERMINI .....	1-6
	1.4.1 Logical Western Terminus.....	1-6
	1.4.2 Logical Eastern Terminus.....	1-6
1.5	U.S. 50 TIER 1 EIS CONTENTS.....	1-8
<b>2</b>	<b>PURPOSE AND NEED .....</b>	<b>2-1</b>
2.1	BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	2-1
	2.1.1 Existing Roadway Conditions.....	2-2
	2.1.2 Types of Users on the U.S. 50 Corridor .....	2-2
2.2	PROJECT PURPOSE .....	2-4
2.3	NEED FOR THE ACTION .....	2-4
	2.3.1 Safety Issues .....	2-5
	2.3.2 Mobility Issues.....	2-10
	2.3.3 Access.....	2-12
	2.3.4 Flexibility to Accommodate Future Needs.....	2-13
2.4	SUMMARY .....	2-14



<b>3</b>	<b>ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED</b> .....	<b>3-1</b>
3.1	PROCESS OVERVIEW.....	3-2
3.2	REGIONAL CORRIDOR LOCATION.....	3-2
3.2.1	Descriptions of Regional Corridor Locations.....	3-3
3.2.2	Screening of Regional Corridor Locations.....	3-4
3.2.3	Decision Regarding Regional Corridor Location.....	3-6
3.3	TRANSPORTATION MODE.....	3-6
3.3.1	Descriptions of Transportation Modes.....	3-7
3.3.2	Screening of Transportation Modes.....	3-9
3.3.3	Decision Regarding Transportation Mode.....	3-11
3.4	FACILITY TYPE.....	3-12
3.4.1	Description of Facility Types.....	3-13
3.4.2	Screening of Facility Types.....	3-17
3.4.3	Decision Regarding Facility Type.....	3-19
3.5	THROUGH TOWN OR AROUND TOWN (BYPASS).....	3-20
3.5.1	Descriptions of Through-Town and Around-Town Corridors.....	3-21
3.5.2	Evaluation of Through-Town and Around-Town Corridors.....	3-24
3.5.3	Decision Regarding Through-Town or Around-Town Corridors.....	3-26
3.6	ALTERNATIVES CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION.....	3-27
3.6.1	No-Build Alternative.....	3-27
3.6.2	Build Alternatives.....	3-27
3.6.3	Identification of the Least Environmentally Damaging Practicable Alternative.....	3-29
3.6.4	Evaluation of Alternatives.....	3-42
<b>4</b>	<b>AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION</b> .....	<b>4-1</b>
4.1	RURAL AND AGRICULTURAL ENVIRONMENT.....	4-5
4.1.1	Affected Environment.....	4-6
4.1.2	Environmental Consequences.....	4-11
4.1.3	Mitigation.....	4-24
4.2	NATURAL ENVIRONMENT.....	4-27
4.2.1	Wetland and Riparian Resources.....	4-28
4.2.2	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat.....	4-37
4.2.3	Water Resources.....	4-61
4.2.4	Geologic and Paleontological Resources.....	4-79
4.3	COMMUNITY AND BUILT ENVIRONMENT.....	4-91
4.3.1	Historic Resources.....	4-92
4.3.2	Archaeological Resources.....	4-108
4.3.3	Land USE.....	4-113
4.3.4	Parklands and Recreational Resources.....	4-140
4.3.5	Social and Economic Conditions.....	4-153
4.3.6	Environmental Justice.....	4-171
4.3.7	Aesthetics and Visual Resources.....	4-189
4.3.8	Air Quality.....	4-201
4.3.9	Traffic Noise.....	4-208



4.4 OTHER ..... 4-217

4.4.1 Transportation ..... 4-217

4.4.2 Hazardous Materials ..... 4-230

4.4.3 Section 6(f) Resources ..... 4-239

4.4.4 Energy ..... 4-242

4.4.5 Global Climate Change ..... 4-250

4.4.6 Cumulative Effects ..... 4-256

4.4.7 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources ..... 4-278

4.4.8 Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity ..... 4-279

**5 SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION..... 5-1**

5.1 METHODOLOGY FOR SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES ..... 5-4

5.1.1 Methodology Used to Identify Resources that are or May Be Eligible for Listing on The NRHP ..... 5-5

5.1.2 Degree of Confidence that a Resource Would be Affected by a Build Alternative ... 5-5

5.1.3 Uncertainty that Potentially Affected Land Within a Publicly Owned Multiple Use Area Would be Used for Recreation ..... 5-5

5.2 PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROJECT ..... 5-5

5.3 BUILD ALTERNATIVES ..... 5-6

5.4 SECTION 4(F) RESOURCES AND POTENTIAL USE ..... 5-8

5.4.1 Parkland and Recreational Resources ..... 5-8

5.4.2 Historic and Archaeological Resources ..... 5-15

5.4.3 Location Maps of Section 4(f) Resources ..... 5-35

5.4.4 Summary of Section 4(f) Resources and Potential Use ..... 5-39

5.5 AVOIDANCE ALTERNATIVES ..... 5-42

5.5.1 No-Build Alternative ..... 5-42

5.5.2 Regional Corridor Locations ..... 5-42

5.6 MEASURES TO MINIMIZE HARM ..... 5-43

5.7 LEAST HARM ..... 5-44

5.8 SUMMARY OF TIER 1 SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION ..... 5-45

5.9 AGENCY COORDINATION ..... 5-45

5.10 NEXT STEPS: TIER 2 PROCESSES ..... 5-47

**6 IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND SUMMARY OF IMPACTS ..... 6-1**

6.1 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE IDENTIFICATION ..... 6-1

6.1.1 Evaluation of Build Alternatives (Around-Town Corridors) ..... 6-1

6.1.2 Screening of and Decisions Regarding Build Alternatives ..... 6-4

6.1.3 Results of Build Alternative Screening ..... 6-22

6.2 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS ..... 6-23

**7 COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT..... 7-1**

7.1 TIERING OF THE EIS, PRE-SCOPING PROCESS, AND RESULTS ..... 7-1

7.1.1 Tiering Meeting ..... 7-1

7.1.2 Community Pre-Scoping ..... 7-2

7.1.3 Agency Pre-Scoping ..... 7-3

7.1.4 Railroad Coordination ..... 7-4

7.1.5 Formation of Project Working Groups ..... 7-4

7.2 SCOPING PROCESS AND RESULTS ..... 7-6

7.2.1 Agency Scoping Meeting ..... 7-6



7.2.2 Public Scoping Meeting ..... 7-6

7.3 COMMUNITY OUTREACH EFFORTS ..... 7-7

7.3.1 Communication with the Public ..... 7-7

7.3.2 Public Meetings at Key Project Milestones ..... 7-9

7.4 WORKING GROUP COORDINATION..... 7-9

7.5 NEXT STEPS ..... 7-10

  

**8 MITIGATION STRATEGIES ..... 8-1**

8.1 TIER 1 AVOIDANCE AND MINIMIZATION ACTIVITIES ..... 8-1

8.2 MITIGATION STRATEGIES ..... 8-2

8.2.1 Mitigation Strategies for Natural Environment Resources ..... 8-2

8.2.2 Mitigation Strategies for Built Environment Resources ..... 8-9

  

**9 REFERENCES ..... 9-1**

  

**10 LIST OF PREPARERS ..... 10-1**

  

**11 RECIPIENTS ..... 11-1**

## VOLUME 2

### Appendixes

#### A RESOURCE TECHNICAL MEMORANDA

- Agricultural Resources
- Air Quality
- Biological Resources
- Cumulative Effects
- Economics
- Hazardous Materials
- Historic and Archaeological Resources
- Land Use and Social Considerations
- Minority and Low-Income Populations
- Section 4(f) and Section 6(f) Resources
- Traffic Noise
- Wetland and Riparian Resources



- B RANGE OF ALTERNATIVES TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM
- C PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT
- D FHWA INTERIM GUIDANCE ON MSAT ANALYSIS
- E NATURAL RESOURCES MITIGATION STRATEGIES PLAN
- F SUMMARY OF PRELIMINARILY IDENTIFIED PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE IMPACTS BY LOCATION



# List of Figures

## S SUMMARY

Figure S-1. U.S. 50 Corridor through the Lower Arkansas Valley ..... S-1  
 Figure S-2. U.S. 50 West of Hasty, Colorado ..... S-2  
 Figure S-3. Four-Lane Section East of Rocky Ford ..... S-3  
 Figure S-4. Downtown Manzanola ..... S-3  
 Figure S-5. Feedlot along U.S. 50 ..... S-4  
 Figure S-6. Example of Inadequate Clear Zone along U.S. 50 ..... S-5  
 Figure S-7. Tractor on U.S. 50 in Rocky Ford..... S-5  
 Figure S-8. U.S. 50 Project Area ..... S-7  
 Figure S-9. Screening Approach Used to Develop the Range of Reasonable Alternatives ..... S-8  
 Figure S-10. Location of the U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Build Alternatives ..... S-10  
 Figure S-11. Overestimation of Effects to Resources by a Build Alternative..... S-12  
 Figure S-12. Resources that Could and Cannot be Avoided by a Build Alternative..... S-12

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Figure 1-1. U.S. 50 through Lower Arkansas Valley..... 1-1  
 Figure 1-2. U.S. 50 Project Area ..... 1-5  
 Figure 1-3. Logical Termini of U.S. 50 Corridor Improvements ..... 1-7

## 2 PURPOSE AND NEED

Figure 2-1. Two-Lane versus Four-Lane Travel on U.S. 50 .....2-2  
 Figure 2-2. General Travel Needs of Various U.S. 50 Users.....2-4  
 Figure 2-3: Level of Service of Safety of U.S. 50, 2008-2012 .....2-6  
 Figure 2-4. Examples of Inadequate Clear Zones on U.S. 50 .....2-8  
 Figure 2-5. Agricultural Vehicles Driving on U.S. 50 in Downtown Rocky Ford (left) and Mixing  
 with Auto Traffic (right) .....2-11  
 Figure 2-6. Posted Speed Limits (Primary) on U.S. 50 for Each Mile from I-25 in Pueblo (milepost 316) to  
 the Kansas State Line (milepost 467.5) .....2-12

## 3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Figure 3-1. Screening Approach Used to Develop the Range of Reasonable Alternatives .....3-2  
 Figure 3-2. Location of the Regional Corridors Considered .....3-3  
 Figure 3-3. Passenger Rail, Freight Rail, and Bus Services Available within the U.S. 50 Corridor .....3-8  
 Figure 3-4. Typical Two-Lane Highway with Passing Lanes (Partial Rebuild) Roadway Profile and  
 Cross-Section.....3-13  
 Figure 3-5. Typical Two-Lane Highway with Passing Lanes (Total Rebuild) Roadway Profile and  
 Cross-Section.....3-14  
 Figure 3-6. Typical Four-Lane Highway (Partial Rebuild) Roadway Profile and Cross-Section.....3-15  
 Figure 3-7. Typical Four-Lane Rural Expressway (Total Rebuild) Roadway Profile and  
 Cross-Section.....3-16  
 Figure 3-8. Typical Four-Lane Freeway (Total Rebuild) Roadway Profile and Cross-Section.....3-17  
 Figure 3-9. Ideal Through-Town Typical Section .....3-21  
 Figure 3-10. Typical Right of Way and Access Effects for a Four-Lane Corridor through a  
 Community .....3-22  
 Figure 3-11. Ideal Around-Town Typical Section.....3-24  
 Figure 3-12. Build Alternatives Overview .....3-30  
 Figure 3-13. Project Corridor Sections.....3-31



Figure 3-14. Pueblo Build Alternatives.....3-32

Figure 3-15. Pueblo to Fowler Build Alternatives.....3-33

Figure 3-16. Fowler Build Alternatives.....3-34

Figure 3-17. Fowler to Manzanola Build Alternative.....3-34

Figure 3-18. Manzanola Build Alternatives.....3-35

Figure 3-19. Manzanola to Rocky Ford Build Alternative.....3-35

Figure 3-20. Rocky Ford Build Alternatives.....3-36

Figure 3-21. Rocky Ford to Swink Build Alternative.....3-36

Figure 3-22. Swink Build Alternatives.....3-37

Figure 3-23. La Junta Build Alternatives.....3-38

Figure 3-24. La Junta to Las Animas Build Alternative.....3-38

Figure 3-25. Las Animas Build Alternatives.....3-39

Figure 3-26. Las Animas to Lamar Build Alternative.....3-39

Figure 3-27. Lamar to Granada Build Alternative.....3-40

Figure 3-28. Granada Build Alternatives.....3-40

Figure 3-29. Granada to Holly Build Alternative.....3-41

Figure 3-30. Holly Build Alternatives.....3-41

Figure 3-31. Holly Transition Build Alternative.....3-42

**4 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION**

Figure 4-1. Tier 1 Build Alternative vs. Tier 2 Roadway Footprint.....4-1

Figure 4-2. Overestimation of Effects to Resources by the Build Alternative.....4-2

Figure 4-3. Resources that Could and Could Not be Avoided by a Build Alternative.....4-3

Figure 4-4. U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Project Area.....4-5

Figure 4-5. Farmland—Prowers County.....4-8

Figure 4-6. Ranch Land—Bent County.....4-9

Figure 4-7. Winter Livestock, Inc.—La Junta.....4-10

Figure 4-8. Examples of Effects to Agricultural Resources.....4-12

Figure 4-9. Agricultural Product Storage Facility—Rocky Ford.....4-15

Figure 4-10. Ranch Land—Bent County.....4-16

Figure 4-11. Catlin Canal—Otero County.....4-17

Figure 4-12. Mary’s Farm Market—Swink.....4-18

Figure 4-13. Uneconomical Remainder Example.....4-25

Figure 4-14. U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Project Area.....4-27

Figure 4-15. Example of Effects to Wetland/Riparian Resources.....4-31

Figure 4-16. Wetland/Riparian Area—Las Animas.....4-32

Figure 4-17. Principal Routes of the Central Flyway.....4-40

Figure 4-18. Black-Tailed Prairie Dog (Colorado state species of concern).....4-47

Figure 4-19. Example of Effects to Wildlife and Plants.....4-50

Figure 4-20. Example of Habitat Fragmentation.....4-51

Figure 4-21. Burrowing Owl.....4-54

Figure 4-22. Arkansas River Basin.....4-64

Figure 4-23. John Martin Reservoir and Dam.....4-66

Figure 4-24. U.S. 50 Crossing of the Arkansas River at Las Animas.....4-74

Figure 4-25. Irrigated Farmland—Otero County.....4-74

Figure 4-26. U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Project Area.....4-91

Figure 4-27. U.S. 50 Bridge over the Huerfano River (5PE.302)—Pueblo County.....4-102

Figure 4-28. BNSF Railway Crossing of U.S. 50—La Junta.....4-105

Figure 4-29. Arkansas River Levee—Las Animas.....4-106



Figure 4-30. Avoided Historic Resource (I.O.O.F. Hall Lodge No. 11)—Las Animas .....4-108

Figure 4-31. Downtown Area along U.S. 50—Fowler.....4-115

Figure 4-32. Sign Guiding Visitors to John Martin Reservoir State Park—Bent County .....4-115

Figure 4-33. Future Development Areas—Las Animas .....4-116

Figure 4-34. John Martin Reservoir—Bent County .....4-118

Figure 4-35. Examples of Effects to State Wildlife Areas .....4-124

Figure 4-36. Planned (Future) Route for U.S. 50 as Envisioned in the 2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan for the Pueblo Region .....4-126

Figure 4-37. City-Planned (Future) Route for U.S. 50 in La Junta.....4-133

Figure 4-38. County-Planned (Future) Trails in Granada .....4-137

Figure 4-39. County-Planned (Future) Trails in Holly .....4-139

Figure 4-40. Bent County Fairgrounds—Las Animas .....4-143

Figure 4-41. High School Football Field—Las Animas .....4-144

Figure 4-42. Effects to the Cottonwood Links Golf Course (in Fowler) by the Build Alternative .....4-147

Figure 4-43. Effects to the Las Animas Municipal Golf Course by the Build Alternative .....4-147

Figure 4-44. Effects to the Granada School District Property by the Build Alternative.....4-148

Figure 4-45. Effects to Karney Ranch and John Martin Reservoir State Wildlife Areas by the Build Alternative .....4-148

Figure 4-46. Effects to the Mike Higbee State Wildlife Area by the Build Alternative.....4-149

Figure 4-47. Effects to the Granada State Wildlife Area by the Build Alternative.....4-149

Figure 4-48. Effects to the Holly State Wildlife Area by the Build Alternative.....4-150

Figure 4-49. Potter Park—La Junta .....4-153

Figure 4-50. City Hall, Fire Department and Library—Fowler.....4-158

Figure 4-51. Arkansas Valley Regional Medical Center—La Junta.....4-158

Figure 4-52. Jasper’s Restaurant—Manzanola .....4-160

Figure 4-53. Obelisk—West Side of Rocky Ford .....4-164

Figure 4-54. Van Hook’s Produce Stand—La Junta.....4-166

Figure 4-55. Bay Valley Foods Plant—La Junta .....4-168

Figure 4-56. Farmland—Pueblo County .....4-191

Figure 4-57. Ranch Land—Bent County.....4-191

Figure 4-58. Rural Residence—Bent County.....4-191

Figure 4-59. Arkansas River and nearby Vegetation—Otero County.....4-191

Figure 4-60. Catlin Canal (View from U.S. 50)—Otero County .....4-192

Figure 4-61. Urban Development—Rocky Ford (Downtown along U.S. 50) .....4-192

Figure 4-62. Urban Development—La Junta (Downtown along Colorado Avenue).....4-192

Figure 4-63. Railroad Track Adjacent to U.S. 50—Pueblo .....4-193

Figure 4-64. Representative Views from U.S. 50 within Pueblo.....4-194

Figure 4-65. Representative Views from U.S. 50 between Communities.....4-195

Figure 4-66. Representative Views from U.S. 50 within Other Communities.....4-195

Figure 4-67. Representative Views Around Communities .....4-196

Figure 4-68. Views of U.S. 50 .....4-197

Figure 4-69. Annual Average Daily Traffic on I-25 through Pueblo and on U.S. 50 in the Lower Arkansas Valley (2011 and projected 2040) .....4-203

Figure 4-70. Traffic on U.S. 50—Fowler (Downtown) .....4-207

Figure 4-71. U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Project Area.....4-217

Figure 4-72. Access Category by Location .....4-219

Figure 4-73. Posted Speed Limits (Primary) on U.S. 50 for Each Mile from I-25 in Pueblo (milepost 316) to the Kansas State Line (milepost 467.5) (CDOT 2012) .....4-220

Figure 4-74. Number of Through-Lanes on U.S. 50, West to East.....4-220





Figure 4-75. Existing (2011) and Future (2040) Traffic Volumes.....4-221

Figure 4-76. Agricultural Vehicles Driving on U.S. 50 in Downtown Rocky Ford (left) and Mixing with Auto Traffic (right) .....4-222

Figure 4-77. Location of U.S. 50 Crash Fatalities for Years 2008 to 2012 .....4-223

Figure 4-78. Percent of Rural vs. Urban Crashes on U.S. 50, 2008 to 2012 .....4-224

Figure 4-79. Average Annual Crashes on U.S. 50 by Location, 2008 to 2012.....4-224

Figure 4-80. Types of Crashes on U.S. 50 between 2008 and 2012 .....4-225

Figure 4-81. Examples of Inadequate Clear Zones on U.S. 50 .....4-225

Figure 4-82. Level of Service of Safety of U.S. 50, 2008–2012.....4-227

Figure 4-83. Storage Tank (Lusk Farms Market)—Otero County.....4-232

Figure 4-84. Example of Effects to Hazardous Materials .....4-233

Figure 4-85. Examples of Section 6(f) Resources in the Project Area .....4-240

Figure 4-86. City Park—Las Animas.....4-241

Figure 4-87. Truck on U.S. 50—Pueblo County .....4-243

Figure 4-88. Cumulative Effects Study Area.....4-260

**5 SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION**

Figure 5-1. Corridor Widths Used to Consider Potential Use of Section 4(f) Resources ..... 5-4

Figure 5-2. Build Alternatives Overview..... 5-7

Figure 5-3. Cottonwood Links Golf Course Potential Use .....5-10

Figure 5-4. Las Animas Municipal Golf Course Potential Use.....5-10

Figure 5-5. Karney Ranch and John Martin Reservoir State Wildlife Areas Potential Use .....5-12

Figure 5-6. Mike Higbee State Wildlife Area Potential Use .....5-12

Figure 5-7. Granada State Wildlife Area Potential Use .....5-13

Figure 5-8. Holly State Wildlife Area Potential Use .....5-13

Figure 5-9. Granada School District Property Potential Use .....5-15

Figure 5-10. Location Maps of Section 4(f) Resources .....5-35

Figure 5-11. Location Maps of Section 4(f) Resources .....5-36

Figure 5-12. Location Maps of Section 4(f) Resources .....5-37

Figure 5-13. Location Maps of Section 4(f) Resources .....5-38

**6 IDENTIFICATION OF PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AND SUMMARY OF IMPACTS**

Figure 6-1. Pueblo Build Alternatives.....6-5

Figure 6-2. Pueblo to Fowler Build Alternatives.....6-7

Figure 6-3. Fowler Build Alternatives .....6-8

Figure 6-4. Manzanola Build Alternatives .....6-10

Figure 6-5. Rocky Ford Build Alternatives .....6-12

Figure 6-6. Swink Build Alternatives .....6-13

Figure 6-7. La Junta Build Alternatives .....6-15

Figure 6-8. Las Animas Build Alternatives .....6-18

Figure 6-9. Granada Build Alternatives.....6-19

Figure 6-10. Holly Build Alternatives.....6-21

Figure 6-11. Preferred Alternative.....6-24

**7 COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT**

No figures in this chapter.



---

**8 MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

Figure 8-1. Tier 1 vs. Tier 2 Decision .....8-2  
Figure 8-2. Hierarchy of U.S. 50 Tier 1 EIS Mitigation Strategies .....8-4

**9 REFERENCES**

No figures in this chapter.

**10 LIST OF PREPARERS**

No figures in this chapter.

**11 RECIPIENTS**

No figures in this chapter.



# List of Tables

## S SUMMARY

Table S-1. Summary of Build Alternatives Effects .....S-13  
 Table S-2. Summary of Preferred Alternative .....S-16

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Table 1-1. U.S. 50-Related Planning Efforts ..... 1-3

## 2 PURPOSE AND NEED

No tables in this chapter.

## 3 ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Table 3-1. Regional Corridor Location Screening Results Summary ..... 3-6  
 Table 3-2. Transportation Mode Screening Results Summary ..... 3-11  
 Table 3-3. Summary of Facility Type Screening Results ..... 3-19  
 Table 3-4. Homes, Businesses, and Historic Resources Potentially Affected by Through-Town  
 Corridors..... 3-23  
 Table 3-5. Homes, Businesses, and Historic Resources Potentially Affected by Around-Town  
 Corridors..... 3-24  
 Table 3-6. Through-Town or Around-Town Screening Results Summary ..... 3-26

## 4 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION

Table 4-1. Prime Farmland and Farmland of Statewide Importance ..... 4-8  
 Table 4-2. Summary of Potentially Affected Agricultural Lands and Productive Value by Section for the  
 Build Alternatives ..... 4-19  
 Table 4-3. Summary of Potentially Affected Agricultural Facilities by Section for the Build Alternatives 4-23  
 Table 4-4. Wetland/Riparian Resources in the Project Area by Functional Category ..... 4-30  
 Table 4-5. Summary of Potentially Affected Wetland/Riparian Resources by Section and Functional  
 Assessment Category for the Build Alternatives ..... 4-34  
 Table 4-6. Noxious Weed Species in CDOT Right of Way within 5 Miles of the Project Area ..... 4-43  
 Table 4-7. Special-Status Species Present or Potentially Present in the Project Area ..... 4-45  
 Table 4-8. Wildlife Crossings on U.S. 50 in the Project Area ..... 4-49  
 Table 4-9. Summary by Location of Potential Effects to Habitat from the Build Alternatives ..... 4-55  
 Table 4-10. Summary by Location of Wildlife Crossings and Special-Status Species Present or Potentially  
 Present in the Project Area that Could be Affected by the Build Alternatives ..... 4-57  
 Table 4-11. Summary by Location of Noxious Weeds Present or Potentially Present in the Project Area  
 that Could be Affected by the Build Alternatives ..... 4-59  
 Table 4-12. Major Trans-Basin Diversions Into and Out of the Arkansas River Basin ..... 4-65  
 Table 4-13. Impaired Surface Water Resources in the Project Area ..... 4-67  
 Table 4-14. Potential Roadway Pollutants ..... 4-71  
 Table 4-15. Proposed New Surface Water Crossings by Location ..... 4-77  
 Table 4-16. Soil Series Located in the Project Area ..... 4-81  
 Table 4-17. Known Paleontological Resources by County ..... 4-84  
 Table 4-18. Geologic Formations within the Project Area and their PFYC ..... 4-85  
 Table 4-19. Summary of Build Alternatives Potential Soil Impacts ..... 4-87  
 Table 4-20. Geologic Formations with Location and PFYC ..... 4-89  
 Table 4-21. Summary of Potentially Affected Historic Resources by U.S. 50 Corridor Section ..... 4-98  
 Table 4-22. Public Land by Owner in the Project Area ..... 4-117



Table 4-23. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 1 ..... 4-127

Table 4-24. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 2 ..... 4-128

Table 4-25. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 3 ..... 4-129

Table 4-26. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 5 ..... 4-131

Table 4-27. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 9 ..... 4-133

Table 4-28. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 10 ..... 4-134

Table 4-29. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 12 ..... 4-136

Table 4-30. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 15 ..... 4-138

Table 4-31. Comparison of Acres to be Converted to a Transportation Use in Section 17 ..... 4-139

Table 4-32. Parkland and Recreational Resources Potentially Impacted by the Build Alternatives ..... 4-151

Table 4-33. Population Change ..... 4-156

Table 4-34. Jobs by Industry ..... 4-159

Table 4-35. Businesses by Size ..... 4-161

Table 4-36. Number of Traveler-Oriented Businesses by Location (2005) ..... 4-167

Table 4-37. Racial Composition for the Study Area, State, and Counties ..... 4-175

Table 4-38. Hispanic or Latino Population ..... 4-177

Table 4-39. Median Household Income ..... 4-178

Table 4-40. Hispanic or Latino Population ..... 4-179

Table 4-41. Minority Populations for the Study Area, State, and Counties ..... 4-180

Table 4-42. Low-Income Households by County and Study Area Block Groups within County ..... 4-182

Table 4-43. Limited English Proficiency of Project Area Census Block Groups ..... 4-184

Table 4-44. CDOT Noise Abatement Criteria (NAC) ..... 4-211

Table 4-45. Potential Traffic Noise Effects on Identified Noise Receptors by the Build Alternatives .... 4-214

Table 4-46. Summary of Potentially Affected Noise Sensitive Receptors by Location ..... 4-215

Table 4-47. Comparison of U.S. 50 Access Categories ..... 4-219

Table 4-48. Summary of Potentially Disturbed Hazardous Materials Sites by Location for the Build Alternatives ..... 4-236

Table 4-49. Average Fuel Economy by Vehicle Type (2007) ..... 4-244

Table 4-50. Statewide and Project Emissions Potential, Relative to Global Totals ..... 4-252

Table 4-51. Resources Included in the Cumulative Effects Analysis ..... 4-259

Table 4-52. Past, Present, and Future Projects ..... 4-262

**5 SECTION 4(F) EVALUATION**

Table 5-1. Parkland and Recreational Resources with a Potential Use by the Build Alternatives ..... 5-9

Table 5-2. Linear Resources that May be Historic and Could be Used by the Build Alternatives ..... 5-16

Table 5-3. Non-Linear Resources that May be Historic and Have the Potential to Affect Tier 1 Decisions ..... 5-22

Table 5-4. Summary of Section 4(f) Resources Potentially Used by Build Alternative in Each Corridor Section ..... 5-40

**6 PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE PRELIMINARY IDENTIFICATION AND SUMMARY OF IMPACTS**

Table 6-1. Rural and Agricultural Environment Screening Criteria ..... 6-2

Table 6-2. Natural Environment Screening Criteria ..... 6-3

Table 6-3. Community and Built Environment Screening Criteria ..... 6-3

Table 6-4. Pueblo Build Alternatives Comparison ..... 6-6

Table 6-5. Pueblo to Fowler Build Alternatives Comparison ..... 6-8

Table 6-6. Fowler Build Alternatives Comparison ..... 6-10

Table 6-7. Manzanola Build Alternatives Comparison ..... 6-11

Table 6-8. Rocky Ford Build Alternatives Comparison ..... 6-13



Table 6-9. Swink Build Alternatives Comparison .....6-14

Table 6-10. La Junta Build Alternatives Comparison.....6-17

Table 6-11. Las Animas Build Alternatives Comparison.....6-19

Table 6-12. Granada Build Alternatives Comparison .....6-20

Table 6-13. Holly Build Alternatives Comparison .....6-22

Table 6-14. Summary of the Preferred Alternative .....6-23

Table 6-15. Summary of Preferred Alternative Effects .....6-25

**7 COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT**

Table 7-1. Agencies Involved in the Pre-Scoping Process.....7-3

**8 MITIGATION STRATEGIES**

Table 8-1. Mitigation Strategies for Built Environment Resources .....8-10

**9 REFERENCES**

No tables in this chapter.

**10 LIST OF PREPARERS**

No tables in this chapter.

**11 RECIPIENTS**

No tables in this chapter.



This page left intentionally blank.