

Corridor Comparison - Manzanola

	THROUGH-TOWN			AROUND-TOWN	
SCREENING CRITERIA	1 - Hold south right-of-way line; shift north	2 - Hold north right-of-way line; shift south	3 - Hold north railroad right-of-way line; shift north	North Corridor	South Corridor
Addresses US 50 safety problems	•		•		
Improves mobility for local users	0	0		•	•
Improves mobility for regional users		-		•	•
Improves mobility for long-distance users	\bigcirc	$\overline{}$	-	•	
Balances the mobility and access needs of all users	0	0		•	
Provides flexibility to address future traffic needs	0	0	0	•	
MEETS PROJECT PURPOSE AND NEED	NO (ELIMINATED)	NO (ELIMINATED)	NO (ELIMINATED)	YES (CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION)	YES (CARRIED FORWARI FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION)
	ENVIRONMEN	ITAL CONSIDERATIONS	- PRELIMINARY DATA*		
Number of residential and business relocations	27	38	6	13	24
Number of potential historic properties	3	5	1	1	1
Parks, recreation facilities and wildlife areas	1	1	0	0	0
Wetlands (acres) Prime (Types 1 & 2) Total	7 11	8 11	9 14	10 21	10 12
Farmland (acres)	56	57	70	119	116
Floodplain encroachment	no	no	yes	yes	no

^{*}Through-town corridors are based on a 200-foot width, so their impacts likely cannot be reduced. Around-town corridors are based on a 1,000-foot width, offering opportunities to avoid many resource impacts.