| Development of US 50 Alternatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SCREENING CRITERIA | THROUGH-TOWN |  |  | AROUND-TOWN |  |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { - Hold south } \\ & \text { right-of-way line; } \\ & \text { shift north } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \text { - Hold north } \\ & \text { right-fo-way line; } \\ & \text { shift south } \end{aligned}$ | 3 - Hold north railroad right-of-way line; shift north | North Corridor | South Corridor |
| $\nabla$ | Addresses US 50 safety problems | O | O | O | O | O |
| Through-Town or Around-Town | Improves mobility for local users | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - |  |
| Roadway Type | Improves mobility for regional users |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Corridor Location <br> For each link between | Improves mobility for long-distance users | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | O |  |
|  | Balances the mobility and access needs of all users | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | - |
|  | Provides flexibility to address future traffic needs | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | - | O |
|  | $\underset{\text { NEED }}{\text { MEETS PROJECT PURPOSE AND }}$ | $\stackrel{\text { NO }}{\text { (ELIMINATED) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { NO } \\ \text { (ELIMINATED) } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{\text { NO }}{\text { (ELIMINATED) }}$ | YES <br> (CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION) | YES (CARRIED FORWARD FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION |
|  | ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS - PRELIMINARY DATA* |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of residential and business relocations | 47 | 60 | 17 | 27 | 12 |
|  | Number of potential historic properties | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Parks, recreation facilities and wildlife areas | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Wetlands (acres) Prime (Types $1 \& 2$ ) Total | ${ }_{16}^{16}$ | ${ }_{16}^{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ 19 \end{gathered}$ | 7 29 | ${ }_{45}^{4}$ |
|  | Farmland (acres) | 105 | 106 | 112 | 96 | 100 |
|  | Floodplain encroachment | yes | yes | yes | yes | yes |
|  | *Through-town corridors are based on a 200 -foot width, so their impacts likely cannot be reduced. Around-town corridors are based on a 1,000 -foot width, offering opportunities to avoid many resource impacts. |  |  |  |  |  |

