

May 27, 2009

Ms. Theresa Santangelo-Dreiling Colorado Department of Transportation Property Management Program Hazardous Materials Unit 15285 South Golden Road, Building 47 Golden, Colorado 80401

RE: Asbestos and Limited Lead-Based Paint Inspection of Bridge E-17-FX Located on Interstate 70 at Mile Marker 274.66

Dear Ms. Santangelo-Dreiling:

This letter report presents the results of the asbestos and limited lead-based paint inspection of Bridge E-17-FX, conducted under Contract 08 HAA 00035. The following sections include an outline of the scope of the project, descriptions of the methodologies employed during the inspection, a summary of findings and recommendations based on those findings.

PURPOSE AND SCOPE

The purpose of the asbestos and limited lead-based paint inspection was to detect the presence of regulated asbestos containing material (RACM) and lead-based paint (LBP) in or on the structural components and driving surface of the Site bridge(s). As described in our proposal, the scope of the project included a physical assessment of the Site structure(s), collection and analysis of suspect asbestos containing material (ACM) and LBP samples, and preparation of a report containing the findings of the inspection and analyses as well as recommendations based on those findings.

INSPECTION METHODOLOGIES

This asbestos and LBP survey included observations of the bridge structure, obtaining representative samples, determination of friability and condition, and analysis of suspect ACM and LBP. The methodologies for inspection and analyses were generally based on U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) regulations, including EPA Asbestos Hazard Emergency Response Act (AHERA) and EPA Title X.

Sampling Methodology

ACM samples were obtained by physically removing a small portion (approximately one (1) square-inch) of the material using a sharp instrument (utility knives, coring tools, etc.). Samples of asphalt paving materials were obtained using an electric hammer drill with a coring bit after the surface of the sample area was thoroughly cleaned with deionized water. All layers of the sampled material were penetrated, and the disturbance



of adjacent material was kept at a minimum. The samples were then placed into labeled containers and sealed. The sampling instruments were then wet-wiped to remove any materials which could contaminate following samples. Each sample was labeled identifying the sample number and location. Sampling was conducted in a manner by which damage to building materials was minimized. Sample locations were repaired after sampling using caulking, joint compound, and/or duct tape, as appropriate. All samples were logged onto field data sheets that contain a brief description of the sample areas, inspector identification, the date of inspection and a description of the material sampled.

If applicable, LBP samples were obtained by physically removing a small portion (approximately two (2) square-inches) of the paint using a sharp instrument (utility knives, coring tools, etc.). All layers of the paint were penetrated, and the disturbance of adjacent material was kept at a minimum. The samples were then placed into labeled containers and sealed. The sampling instruments were then wet-wiped to remove any materials which could contaminate following samples. Each sample was labeled identifying the sample number and location. Sampling was conducted in a manner by which damage to building materials was minimized.

Analytical Methodology

The ACM samples collected during the onsite inspection were transported under chain-of-custody to FRS Geotech, Inc. (FRS) at 1441 West 46th Avenue, Suite 14 in Denver, Colorado for analysis of asbestos content. The analytical procedure utilized by FRS during analysis of the bulk samples was the EPA-recommended method 600/R-93/116, or Polarized Light Microscopy with Dispersion Staining. FRS was instructed to hold the samples for six months from the date of analysis in the event that further analysis is required.

If applicable, the LBP samples collected during the onsite inspection were transported under chain-of-custody to Reservoirs Environmental, Inc. (Reservoirs) at 5801 Logan Street, Suite 100 in Denver, Colorado for analysis of lead content. The analytical procedure utilized by Reservoirs during analysis of the paint samples was the EPA-recommended method Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry. Reservoirs was instructed to hold the samples for six months from the date of analysis in the event that further analysis is required.

The laboratory analytical results are attached to this letter report.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results of the physical assessment, sampling and analyses the following findings were made:

- No ACM was detected at the Site bridge(s).
- No LBP was detected at the Site bridge(s).



Based on these findings, Tetra Tech recommends the following:

• No ACM or LBP remediation is required prior to renovation or demolition.

LIMITATIONS

Tetra Tech has endeavored to meet what it believes is the applicable standard of care for the services performed and, in doing so, is obliged to advise CDOT of limitations regarding this report. Tetra Tech believes that providing information about limitations is essential to help clients identify and thereby manage risks. These risks can be mitigated, but not eliminated, through additional research. Tetra Tech will, upon request, advise CDOT of the additional research opportunities available and associated costs.

This asbestos and limited lead-based paint bridge inspection did not include any inquiry with respect to methane, lead in drinking water, formaldehyde, subsurface investigation activities or other services or potential conditions or features not specifically identified and discussed herein. In those instances where additional services or service enhancements are included in the report as requested or authorized by CDOT, specific limitations attendant to those services are presented in the text of the report.

The findings and opinions conveyed via this report are based upon information obtained at a particular date from a variety of sources specified herein, and which Tetra Tech believes are reliable. Nonetheless, Tetra Tech cannot and does not warrant the authenticity or reliability of the information sources it has relied upon.

This report represents Tetra Tech's services to CDOT as of the report date. In that regard, the report constitutes Tetra Tech's final document, and the text of the report may not be altered in any manner after final issuance of the same. Opinions relative to environmental conditions given in this report are based upon information derived from the most recent Site reconnaissance date and from other activities described herein. CDOT is herewith advised that the conditions observed by Tetra Tech are subject to change. Certain indicators of the presence of hazardous materials may have been latent or not present at the time of the most recent Site reconnaissance and may have subsequently become observable. In similar manner, the research effort conducted for the asbestos building inspection is limited. Accordingly, it is possible that Tetra Tech's research, while fully appropriate for an asbestos building inspection and in compliance with the scope of service, may not include other important information sources. Assuming such sources exists, their information could not have been considered in the formulation of our findings and conclusions.

This report is not a comprehensive site characterization or regulatory compliance audit and should not be construed as such. The opinions presented in this report are based upon findings derived from a Site reconnaissance, a review of specified records and sources and comments made by interviewees. Specifically, Tetra Tech does not and cannot represent that the Site contains no hazardous or toxic materials, products, or other latent conditions beyond those observed by Tetra Tech during its site assessment. Further, the services herein shall in no way be construed, designed or intended to be relied upon as legal interpretation or advice.



Please do not hesitate to contact us at 303.665.4392 if you have any questions regarding this letter report.

Sincerely, Tetra Tech

Ryan J. Egan Project Manager

Attachments: Analytical Results

FRS Geotech, Inc. 1441 W. 46th Ave, Ste. 14 Denver, CO 80211-2338

(800) 386-3136 FAX: (303) 477-2580 e-mail: frsgeo@ix.netcom.com

Phone: (303) 477-2559

May 26, 2009

Mr. Ryan Egan Tetra Tech 363 Centennial Pkwy Suite 210 Louisville, CO 80027

Re: Project: CDOT--Bridge E-17-FX, PO#114-181881

FRS Lab Number 113647

Dear Mr. Ryan Egan:

The bulk samples submitted to FRS Geotech, Inc. have been analyzed by polarized light microscopy (PLM), the EPA-recommended method for determination of fibrous constituents in building materials. The percent of asbestos contained in the samples is a visual estimation based upon comparisons with published charts. The results of these analyses are summarized in the enclosed table. This report relates only to the items received and tested by our laboratory. According to requirements set by the National Institute of Standards and Technology/NVLAP, this report must not be used to claim endorsement by NVLAP or any agency of the US Government. Also, NVLAP guidelines specify that this report should not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of FRS.

A copy of your Chain of Custody is attached for your convenience. This report is considered highly confidential. Results will not be discussed with any person not associated with you.

Please call if you have any questions about this work.

Sincerely,

David A. Schroeder, Ph.D. Data Controller

Enclosures

NVLAP Accredited Lab #102078-0 AIHA Accredited Lab #101557

FRS GEOTECH, INC.

1441 W. 46th Avenue, Ste. 14 Denver, CO 80211-2338

RESULTS OF BULK ASBESTOS SAMPLE ANALYSIS BY POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM) EPA-600/R-93/116

Phone: (303) 477-2559 (800) 386-3136 Fax: (303) 477-2580 e-mail: frsgeo@ix.netcom.com

Client: Tetra Tech

Project: CDOT--Bridge E-17-FX, PO#114-181881

Lab No.: **113647** Page 1 of 2

| Sample No. [layer] Description | Volume (%) | Sample Date | Nonasbestos Fibrous Material (%) | Asbestos Minerals (%) | Summary (%) | |
|--------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| M15.1A | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> <u>Cellulose</u> 95 | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Flex joint [brown] | | | Synthetics Others . | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material 95 | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 5 | |
| M15.1B | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> <u>Cellulose</u> 90 | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Flex joint [brown] | | | <u>Synthetics</u> <u>Others</u> . | <u>Chrysotile</u> Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material 90 | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 10 | |
| M15.1C | 100% | 05/13/09 | Fiberglass Cellulose 95 | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Flex joint [brown] | | | Synthetics Others. | <u>Chrysotile</u> <u>Crocidolite</u> | Other Fibrous Material 95 | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 5 | |
| M16.1A | 100% | 05/13/09 | Fiberglass Cellulose | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Concrete [gray] | | | Synthetics Others. | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |
| M16.1B | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> Cellulose | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Concrete [gray] | | | Synthetics Others . | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |
| M16.1C | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> <u>Cellulose</u> | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Concrete [gray] | | | Synthetics Others . | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |

| • (| Composite | analysis | (multilayered | sample, see | individual | layer | analyses) | |
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------|-----------|--|
|-----|-----------|----------|---------------|-------------|------------|-------|-----------|--|

Analyst(s):

Mark Cooperrider

Completed: 05/26/2009

FRS GEOTECH, INC.

1441 W. 46th Avenue, Ste. 14 Denver, CO 80211-2338

RESULTS OF BULK ASBESTOS SAMPLE ANALYSIS BY POLARIZED LIGHT MICROSCOPY (PLM) EPA-600/R-93/116

Phone: (303) 477-2559 (800) 386-3136 Fax: (303) 477-2580 e-mail: frsgeo@ix.netcom.com

Client: Tetra Tech

Project: CDOT--Bridge E-17-FX, PO#114-181881

Lab No.: **113647** Page 2 of 2

| Sample No. [layer] Description | Volume (%) | Sample Date | Nonasbestos Fibrous Material (%) | Asbestos Minerals (%) | Summary (%) | |
|---|------------|----------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| M16.1D | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> Cellulose | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Concrete [gray] | | | Synthetics Others . | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |
| M16.1E | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> Cellulose_Trace <1% | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Concrete [gray] | | | Synthetics Spider web . Trace <1% | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material Trace < 1% | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 99 | |
| <u>S1.1A</u> | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> Cellulose | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Surfacing [gray] | | | Synthetics Others . | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | Others. | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |
| S1.1B | 100% | 05/13/09 | Fiberglass Cellulose | Amosite_ Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Surfacing [multiple colors (inseparable | e)] | | Synthetics Wollastonite . Trace <1% | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material Trace <1% | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 99 | |
| <u>S1.1C</u> | 100% | 05/13/09 | <u>Fiberglass</u> <u>Cellulose</u> | Amosite Anthophyllite | Total Asbestos: None Detected | |
| Surfacing [multiple colors (inseparable | e)] | | Synthetics Others. | Chrysotile Crocidolite | Other Fibrous Material | |
| | | | | Trem./Act. | Nonfibrous Material 100 | |

^{*} Composite analysis (multilayered sample, see individual layer analyses).

| Address: City: Lic State: C Telephor FAX*:(Person to | 363 Centennia Suite 210 ouisville O Zip: 800 ne: (303) 665-4391 o Contact: Ryan Eq | 144 27 De 92 (303)4 an e-m | S GEOTECH, INC. k Chain of Custody 1 W. 46 th Ave., Suite 14 enver, CO 80211-2338 77-2559 or (800)386-3136 FAX: (303)477-2580 aail: frsgeo@ix.netcom.com pestos_X Mold | Page of Doby Page | - - - - |
|--|--|-------------------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| Accept/ Reject | Sample Numb e r | Sample Date | Sample Desc and Loca | | |
| | MKOIA | 5/13/09 | Flex Toint | · | _ |
| | B | | | | _ |
| | _ \ .c | | | | _ |
| | MiloolA | | Concrete | | _ |
| | <u> </u> | | | | _ |
| | | | | | _ |
| | - D | | | | _[|
| | E | | V | | _ |
| | SIOLA | | Surfacing | | _ |
| | - B | | . | | _ |
| | C | | V | | _ |
| _ | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | _ |
| | | | 2)1 | | |
| | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | _ |
| | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | _ |
| | | | | | _ |
| Daliner | inhald borr Diama data | Aima\ | Descindi | (Name data time): | _ |
| l | ished by (Name, date, | time) 5/24/09 16 | | (Name, date, time): | _ |
| 2. | 100 | , , | 2 | | |

Send White and Yellow copies with samples (Yellow copy returned with Report). Keep Pink copy for Client Records.



May 26, 2009

Laboratory Code: RES Subcontract Number: NA

Laboratory Report: RES 173206-1 Project Description: 1147-181881

CDOT-Bridge E-17-FX

Ryan Egan Tetra Tech (Louisville) 363 Centennial Parkway, Ste 210 Louisville CO 80027

Dear Customer,

Reservoirs Environmental, Inc. is an analytical laboratory accredited for the analysis of Industrial Hygiene and Environmental matrices by the American Industrial Hygiene Association, Lab ID 101533 - Accreditation Certificate #480. The laboratory is currently proficient in both PAT & ELPAT programs respectively.

Reservoirs has analyzed the following sample(s) using Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (AAS) / Atomic Emission Spectroscopy - Inductively Coupled Plasma (AES-ICP) per your request. The analysis has been completed in general accordance with the appropriate methodology as stated in the analysis table. Results have been sent to your office.

RES 173206-1 is the job number assigned to this study. This report is considered highly confidential and the sole property of the customer. Reservoirs Environmental, Inc. will not discuss any part of this study with personnel other than those authorized by the client. The results described in this report only apply to the samples analyzed. This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without written approval from Reservoirs Environmental, Inc. Samples will be disposed of after sixty days unless longer storage is requested. If you should have any questions about this report, please feel free to call me at 303-964-1986.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Spencer Orr

President

RESERVOIRS ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.

NVLAP Accredited Laboratory #101896 AIHA Certificate of Accredidation #480 LAB ID 101533

TABLE ANALYSIS: LEAD IN PAINT

RES Job Number: RES 173206-1

Client: Tetra Tech (Louisville)

Client Project Number / P.O.: 1147-181881

Client Project Description: CDOT-Bridge E-17-FX

Date Samples Received: May 22, 2009

Analysis Type: USEPA SW846 3050B / AA (7420)

Turnaround: 24 Hour
Date Samples Analyzed: May 22, 2009

| Client | Lab | Reporting | LEAD | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|--|--|
| ID Number | ID Number | Limit | CONCENTRATION | | |
| | | (%) | (%) | | |
| LBP-01 | EM 423427 | 0.004 | BRL | | |

^{*} Unless otherwise noted all quality control samples performed within specifications established by the laboratory.

| Due Date: 5 | |
|-------------|--|
| Due Time: | |



| Page | 1 | of | 1 | |
|-------|---|----|---|--|
| · ugc | ' | | | |

| SUBMITTED BY: | INVOICE TO: (IF | DIFFERENT) | | CONTACT INF | ORMATION: | |
|---|--|--|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| Company: Tetra Tech, Inc. (Louisville) Address: 363 Centennial Parkway Suite 210 Louisville, Colorado 80027 Project Number and/or P.O. # 114-181881 Project Description/Location: CDOT - Bridge E-17-FX | Company: Address: | | Fax: 303.66 Cell/pager: 30 Final Data Deliverable | Egan 65.4392 65.4391 3.416.0532 | Contact: Mark 303.66 ax: 303.66 | Daley 55,4392 65,4391 3,548,5197 |
| ASBESTOS LABORATORY HOURS: Weekdays: 7am - 7pm | | REQUESTED A | ANALYSIS | VALID MATRIX | CODES | LAB NOTES: |
| PLM / PCM / TEM RUSH (Same Day) PRIORITY (Next Day (Rush PCM = 2hr, TEM = 6hr.) | /)STANDARD | ount Quant, | l & | Air = A Dust = D | Bulk = B Paint = P | |
| CHEMISTRY LABORATORY HOURS: Weekdays: 8am - 5pm | | t CC +/-, | GRO, | Soil = S | Wipe = W | |
| Metal(s) / Dust RUSH _X_ 24 hr3-5 Day RCRA 8 / Metals & Welding RUSH 5 day10 day Fume Scan / TCLP 24 hr 3 day 5 Day | **Prior notification is required for RUSH turnarounds.** | report, Poir 7402, ISO, O-Indirect P SHA | au -ume, Me E, 8260, | Drinking Water Waste Water = Other = O **ASTM E1792 approved w | ww | |
| **Turnaround times establish a laboratory priority, subject to laboratory volum Additional fees apply for afterhours, weekends and holida Special Instructions: Client sample ID number (Sample ID's must be unique | ays.** | Short rep AHERA, uant, Mic 7400A, Total, | ME IALS - Analyte(s)_Le RCRA 8, TCLP, Welding I ORGANICS - BTEX, MTB OTHER - | Sample Volume (L) / Area (L) / Area Matrix Code # Containers | | EM Number (Laboratory Use Only) |
| 1 LBP-01 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | | | X | N/A P 1 5/13/0 | 9 N/A | 423127 |
| | onal samples shall be listed or | attached long form.) | | L | | L |
| NOTE: REI will analyze incoming samples based upon information received and will not be following samples for requested analysis as indicated on this Chain of Custody shall constitute. | responsible for errors or omissions in c | alculations resulting from the in- ith payment terms of NET 30 da | | th payment terms may result in a 1 | | |

Relinquished By: Date/Time: 5/21/89 (16/5) | Sample Condition: On Ice Intact Sealed Laboratory Use Only Y/N Y/N Date/Time: Received By: Results: Contact Page Phone Email Fax Date Time Initials Contact Page Phone Email Fax Date Time Initials Contact Page Phone Email Fax Date Time Initials Contact Page Phone Email Fax Date Time Initials