I-70 Mountain Corridor Tier 1 Documents		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
I-70 Mountain Corridor Final	The I-70 Mountain Corridor Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (FPEIS) is a	https://www.codot.g
Programmatic Environmental	standalone, Tier 1 NEPA document that presents data and analysis for the 144-mile I-70	ov/projects/i-70-old-
Impact Statement (2011)	Mountain Corridor (Corridor). The FPEIS provides information on the Purpose and Need for the	mountaincorridor/fin
	Corridor, alternatives developed and analyzed, environmental resource analyses, cumulative	al-peis/final-peis-
	impacts analyses, financial considerations, and public and agency involvement, including the	file-download.html
	collaborative process used for developing the Preferred Alternative. The document also	
	identifies the Preferred Alternative for the Corridor, creating a framework for future	
	transportation projects to follow.	
I-70 Mountain Corridor	The I-70 Mountain Corridor Record of Decision (ROD) was the final step in the Tier 1 NEPA	https://www.codot.g
Record of Decision (2011)	process. The ROD selects the Preferred Alternative, which is a program of transit, highway,	ov/projects/i-70-old-
	safety, and other improvements on the 144-mile Corridor between Glenwood Springs and the	mountaincorridor/fin
	western edge of the Denver metropolitan. The ROD also summarized the alternatives considered	al-peis/final-peis-
	and not selected, describes the basis for selecting the Preferred Alternative, discusses the	<u>file-download.html</u>
	Environmentally Preferable Alternative, discusses Section 4(f), provides clarifications and	
	corrections from the FPEIS, commits to mitigation strategies, and responds to comments on the	
	FPEIS.	

Advanced Guideway System(AGS)-Related Studies		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
I-70 Coalition Land Use	The I-70 Coalition Land Use Planning Study for Rail Transit Alignment Throughout the I-70 Corridor	http://rockymountainra
Planning Study For Rail	was a yearlong collaborative planning effort designed to address local and corridor wide visions and goals	il.org/documents/I70_
Transit Alignment	concerning future land use development patterns and regional mobility, engaging representatives from all	Coalition_Final_Repor
Throughout the I-70	communities along the Corridor. The study closely coordinated with other ongoing I-70 Mountain	<u>t_030109.pdf</u>
Corridor (2009)	Corridor studies taking place at the time, including the PEIS. The four phase study process identified local	_
	land use needs, prepared individual action plans, discussed implementation tools related to future transit	
	land use integration and determined how future transit may affect land use in the Corridor. Ultimately, the	
	study established a framework for cooperation and coordination among all Corridor jurisdictions.	
Rocky Mountain Rail	The RMRA High Speed Study evaluated the feasibility of high speed transportation in two corridors to	http://rockymountainra
Authority (RMRA)	help enhance transportation mobility statewide. The study focused on I-70 from Denver International	il.org/RMRA Final R
High Speed Rail Study	Airport to Grand Junction and I-25 from Fort Collins to Trinidad and evaluated multiple aspects	eport.html
(2010)	including: the planning process, target markets, infrastructure needs, route and technology options,	
	operating plans, travel demand and forecasting, operating costs, capitol costs, implementation and	
	funding. The study found that although high speed rail is feasible in the Corridor when considering	
	ridership, economic benefit and the correct design, there are a few key challenges to overcome, including	
	public funding and technological development specific for the Corridor environment.	
Advanced Guideway	The AGS Feasibility Study was commissioned in 2012 to determine the technical and financial feasibility	https://www.codot.gov
System (AGS)	of implementing a high-speed transit system in the Corridor. The study focused on three key elements:	/library/studies/study-
Feasibility Study (2014)	Technology; Alignment and Land Use; and Cost, Funding and Financing. As of 2014, the study	archives/AGSstudy/fin
	determined that technologically, AGS is feasible; however, the system is financially infeasible due to	al-ags-feasibility-
	significant local, state and federal funding constraints. Capital costs are projected to range from \$5.5 –	study/final-study-
	\$32.4 billion in 2013 dollars.	complete.pdf
Interregional	The Interregional Connectivity Study (ICS) was conducted using past high speed rail studies and other	https://www.codot.gov
Connectivity Study	resources such as the RMRA High Speed Rail Study and the I-70 PEIS/ROD to better understand the	/library/studies/study-
(2014)	feasibility of high speed rail in the Denver metro area. The ICS went further than other high speed studies	archives/ICS/ics-final-
	conducted to date by identifying potential paths, stations and the best way to interface with the Regional	<u>report-january-</u>
	Transportation District in the Denver metro area. The ICS also worked to examine multiple high speed	2014/ics-final-report-
	technologies used around the world, funding options and modeled travel demand. The study limits did not	sections-1thru9-2-10-
	go beyond the I-70/C-470 interchange to the west; however the AGS and ICS studies are dependent on	<u>14.pdf</u>
	one another for planning a comprehensive system.	

Advanced Guideway System(AGS)-Related Studies		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
The Economic Impacts	The Economic Impacts of High Speed Transit in the I-70 Mountain Corridor is a study conducted to	https://i70solutions.or
of High Speed Transit in	analyze the economic impact of high-speed transit in the corridor. Utilizing surveys, one-on-one	g/files/7215/6599/515
the I-70 Mountain	interviews and existing CDOT studies and technical data, the study examined only direct economic	<u>9/I-</u>
Corridor (2019)	impacts, or business-to-business and consumer-to-business spending patterns. The study found that high-	70 RSM Economic
	speed transit will result in \$711.7 million more in economic activity and \$45.8 million in new tax revenue	Impact_FINAL_2019.
	in the Corridor every year.	<u>pdf</u>

Other Transit-Related Studies		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
Recommendations Regarding Short Term Mobility Solutions Along the I-70 Mountain Corridor (2011)	The report was written as a result of a workshop titled the "I-70 Mountain Corridor Mobility and Operational Assessment Workshop", which involved over 90 stakeholders during the week of May 23rd, 2011. The workshop identified and compiled a list of prioritized recommendations for the Corridor that fall into the following categories: Operation and safety improvements; Transportation demand management options; Non-governmental actions; and Transit options. Recommendations were sent to the House and Senate Transportation Committees to fulfill requirements of H.B 11-1210, a bill introduced in 2011 that required CDOT to make prioritized recommendations to improve Corridor mobility.	https://www.codot.gov /library/studies/FINAL I70MountainCorridor Report.pdf
Colorado Statewide Intercity and Regional Bus Network Study (2014) - I- 70 Mountain Corridor Analysis (Appendix A)	The Colorado Statewide Intercity and Regional Bus Network Study for the I-70 Mountain Corridor (Appendix A) addresses bus service needs from Denver to Grand Junction. Considered a Technical Memorandum, the document evaluates seasonal, weekly, and time of day travel patterns, identifies connectivity needs and opportunities to connect with local transit and presents options for both short, medium and long term planning. Short term planning (2014 – 2020) would allow bus transit from Denver to Grand Junction to start by working to develop policies and procedures, service standards, monitoring and linking Corridor systems. Short-term planning is focused on filling gaps in service throughout the Corridor and supporting the development of new infrastructure such as transfer centers and managed bus lanes. Mid-term planning (2021-2030) will be focused on adjusting policy framework if needed, working towards stable and adequate funding and establishing a parking plan. Ideally, services would be expanded, including new routes between Rifle and Grand Junction. Long-term planning (2031 – 2040) will continue to work on all aspects noted above as well as develop partnerships to maintain a sustainable and long lasting mode of transportation throughout the Corridor.	https://i70solutions.or g/files/4214/2982/392 7/co-statewide- intercity-reginal-bus- network-study.pdf

Other Studies		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
Wildlife Overpass Screening	The Wildlife Overpass Screening Documentation details the two-step approach used to identify a	https://www.codot.gov
Documentation (2013)	viable site for a potential first wildlife overpass in the Corridor. CDOT assembled a Technical	/projects/i-70-old-
	Working Group to guide the development of site selection criteria. Each site went through a Level 1	mountaincorridor/docu
	and 2 screening process that considered both biological and engineering components. After analysis,	ments/2013-04-18-
	the site that is deemed most favorable is located at milepost 192.3 in the westbound direction on East	screening-
	Vail Pass.	documentation-
		master.pdf
I-70 Mountain Corridor	The I-70 Mountain Corridor Traffic and Revenue study is a Level 1 study that evaluated conceptual	https://www.codot.gov/
Traffic and Revenue Study	designs, preliminary cost estimates, potential revenues and financing for six alternatives that included	content/projects/I-
(2014)	the potential addition of managed lane facilities and other improvements along the Corridor.	<u>70FinalTransitandReven</u>
	Following the 6 step Context Sensitive Solutions (CSS) process and evaluating all six alternatives,	ueStudyOctober2014/I-
	the study found that reversible managed lane options (Alternative 1 & 2) and the PEIS Maximum	70_Traffic_and_Revenu
	Program (Alternative 4) would add significant capacity and high revenue capture. However, at the	e Study Level 1 Repor
	time of the study, none of the alternatives considered included toll revenues to cover roadway capital	t_101514_v%204%200.p
	costs, aside from the Peak Period Shoulder Lane (Alternative 6). With information from the study,	<u>df</u>
	CDOT recommended to move forward with the Peak Period Shoulder Lane alternative because of its	
	ability to pay for capital and operation/maintenance costs through toll revenue.	
I-70 Mountain Corridor	The I-70 Mountain Corridor Design Speed Study was conducted over a 10-month period and focuses	https://www.codot.gov
Design Speed Study (2016)	on areas in the Corridor where the ROD Preferred Alternative proposes roadway improvements.	/projects/contextsensiti
	Numerous areas that are targeted for roadway improvements along the Corridor have prevailing	vesolutions/design/des
	speeds at or below posted speed limits and cause turbulence in traffic flow. The study found that with	<u>ign-speed-study/main-</u>
	the exception of Floyd Hill and Dowd Canyon, a design speed of 65 miles per hour (MPH) is	<u>report-</u>
	recommended. A 55 MPH design speed is recommended in Floyd Hill and Dowd Canyon to limit	designspeedstudy04-
	environmental, constructability and cost impacts.	<u>2016.pdf</u>
Westbound I-70 (Floyd Hill	The Westbound I-70 (Floyd Hill to Empire Junction) Concept Development Process Final Report	https://drive.google.co
to Empire Junction) Concept	documents the Concept Development Process (CDP) for westbound I-70 between the top of Floyd	m/file/d/0B6BtAVe2H
Development Process Final	Hill and the Eisenhower-Johnson Memorial Tunnels. The CDP followed the I-70 Mountain Corridor	<u>f-</u>
Report (2017)	Context Sensitive Solutions process. The purpose of the CDP was to identify technical and	wWHpOOWcyeEZ3R
	stakeholder issues associated with westbound improvements as well as identify transportation	<u>nc/view</u>
	improvements that could be considered in subsequent Tier 2 NEPA processes.	

Large-Scale Tier 2 Documents		
Document Title	Document Summary	Resource Link
Twin Tunnels Environmental Assessment (July 2012)	The Twin Tunnels Environmental Assessment was implemented as part of the I-70 Mountain Corridor ROD Preferred Alternative under the "Highway Improvements" category. The purpose of the project was to improve eastbound highway safety and mobility in the Twin Tunnels area. The project expanded the eastbound bore of the Twin Tunnels and added a third eastbound lane and 10 foot outside shoulder to approximately 2.5 miles of interstate between East Idaho Springs and the base of Floyd Hill, increasing capacity and mobility in the area. The project was completed in 2014.	https://www.codot.gov/library/st udies/i70twintunnels- environmental- assessment/TwinTunnels_EA_Ju ly2012.pdf
I-70 Frontage Road Improvement – Categorical Exclusion (March 2012)	The I-70 Frontage Road Improvements project was implemented as part of the I-70 Mountain Corridor ROD Preferred Alternative under the "Highway Improvements" category. The purpose of the project was to improve safety and mobility for vehicles, pedestrians, and bicyclists between Idaho Springs and the Hidden Valley/Central City Interchange. The first phase of the project was completed in 2014.	https://www.codot.gov/projects/a rchived-project- sites/i70frontageroad- idahosprings/assets-documents/i- 70-frontage-road-categorical- exclusion-report/I- 70FrontageRd CatEx 04042012 FINAL-wapp_small.pdf
Eastbound Peak Period Shoulder Lane Categorical Exclusion (April 2014)	The Eastbound Peak Period Should Lane (PPSL) project was implemented as part of the I-70 Mountain Corridor ROD Preferred Alternative under the "Expanded use of existing transportation infrastructure in and adjacent to the Corridor", which is part of the "Non-Infrastructure Related Components" category. The purpose of the project was to provide eastbound operational improvements to relieve traffic congestion during peak periods when eastbound traffic is the highest. The project converted the median shoulder to a PPSL between the Empire Junction interchange and the Veterans Memorial Tunnels in the eastbound direction during peak periods. The project was completed in 2015.	https://www.codot.gov/projects/a rchived-project- sites/I70mtnppsl/i-70-ppsl- categorical-exclusion/ppsl-catex- final-signed-april-2014- ebook.pdf/view
Westbound Peak Period Shoulder Lane Categorical Exclusion (October 2018)	The Westbound Peak Period Should Lane (PPSL) project is being implemented as part of the I-70 Mountain Corridor ROD Preferred Alternative under the "Expanded use of existing transportation infrastructure in and adjacent to the Corridor", which is part of the "Non-Infrastructure Related Components" category. The purpose of the project is to provide westbound operational improvements to relieve traffic congestion during peak periods when westbound traffic is the highest. The project will convert the median shoulder to a PPEL between the Veterans Memorial Tunnels and the Empire Junction interchange in the westbound direction during peak periods. Construction has just begun on this project and is expected to be completed by Summer 2021.	https://drive.google.com/file/d/1 O1yxXdC3l- pqgIUQGboH8DYjcrgsbWBZ/v iew

Guidance Documents for the I-70 Mountain Corridor		
Document Title	Resource Link	
Straight Creek I-70 Corridor Sediment Control Action	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/docs/plans/sc-scap-final.pdf	
Plan (2002)		
Section 106 Programmatic Agreement (2008)	https://www.codot.gov/projects/i-70-old-mountaincorridor/final-peis/final-peis-	
	documents/20_App_B_Section_106_PA_Rev50.pdf	
A Landscape Level Inventory of Valued Ecosystem	https://www.codot.gov/projects/i-70-old-mountaincorridor/final-peis/final-peis-	
Components Memorandum of Understanding (2008)	documents/20_App_E_ALIVE_MOU_Rev50.pdf	
Stream and Wetland Ecological Enhancement	https://www.codot.gov/projects/i-70-old-mountaincorridor/final-peis/final-peis-	
Program Memorandum of Understanding (2010)	documents/20 App D SWEEP MOU Signed 01 2011 Rev50.pdf	
Historic Context for I-70 Mountain Corridor (20	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/docs/pdfs/combined-historic-context-	
11)	<u>report.pdf</u>	
Areas of Special Attention Reports (2011)	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/design/areas.html	
A Regional Ecosystem Framework for Terrestrial and	https://www.codot.gov/projects/archived-project-sites/i70twintunnels/other-documents/plt-	
Aquatic Wildlife along the I-70 Mountain Corridor in	technical-team/issued-task	
Colorado – An Eco-Logical Field Test (2011)	forces/waterresources/A%20Regional%20Ecosystem%20Framework%20for%20Terrestrial%20and	
	%20Aquatic%20Wildlife%20Along%20the%20I-70%20Mountain%20Corridor.pdf	
I-70 Mountain Corridor Context Sensitive Solutions	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions	
(2011)		
I-70 Corridor Design Criteria (undated, approximately	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/docs/aesthetics/engineering-design-	
same time as ROD)	<u>criteria-and-illustration</u>	
I-70 Mountain Corridor Aesthetics Guidance	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/design/i-70-mountain-corridor-aesthetics-	
(undated, approximately same time as ROD)	<u>guidance</u>	
I-70 Clear Creek Corridor Sediment Control Action	https://www.codot.gov/projects/i-70-old-mountaincorridor/documents/clear-creek-scap-final-	
Plan (2013)	report.pdf	
Black Gore Sediment Control Action Plan	In process of obtaining this document	
Guide to Variable Speed Limits on the I-70 Mountain	https://www.codot.gov/projects/contextsensitivesolutions/design/design-speed-study/i-70-variable-	
Corridor (2016)	speed-limits-guide.pdf	