

I-70 Mountain Corridor CSS

Partnerships Powered by Context

I-70 Mountain Corridor Project Leadership Team Meeting

ATTENDEES:

Peter Kozinski/CDOT
Bill Scheuerman/CDOT
Tony Devito/CDOT
Scott McDaniel/CDOT
Cathy Cole/CDOT
Janet Gerak/CDOT
Bob Wilson/CDOT
Yates Oppermann/CDOT
Monica Pavlik/FHWA
Melinda Urban/FHWA
Mary Jo Vobejda/CH2M HILL
Danielle Yearsley/CH2M HILL

Loretta LaRiviere/CH2M HILL Tom Schilling/InterMountain CA Pat Noyes/Pat Noyes & Assoc. Kevin Shanks/THK Cindy Neely/Clear Creek County Flo Raitano/I-70 Coalition Amy Kennedy/HDR

DATE: September 15, 2009

LOCATION: CDOT

PROJECT

NUMBERS: CDOT Project # 15613 CMS 07-028 Code 15954.10.50

CH2M HILL # 358654

INTRODUCTION

These meeting notes reflect the decisions and action items agreed on at this meeting. Please advise Mary Jo Vobejda as soon as possible if your meeting notes reflect any substantial differences from these notes.

AGENDA

The agenda for the meeting is attached and the meeting followed this format.

DISCUSSION

CORRIDOR TEAM MEETING DEBRIEF

The Corridor Team meeting was well attended by stakeholders. The main focus of the meeting was the soft launch of the Guidance website. Attendees broken up into small groups and were given a

chance to explore the website by doing a scavenger hunt. As expected, the interactive maps were a huge hit and the groups spent the most time in that section of the website.

Facilitators at each group transcribed comments as people were exploring the website. These comments are attached to this summary for PLT review.

At the end of the meeting the stakeholders were given the website address and encouraged to explore it on their own and provide additional comments. It was explained that the website is available unchanged for the next few weeks for legal and regulatory compliance review. Peter Kozinski will be sending out an email to CDOT staff at headquarters and in the two regions asking for their review comments. He will copy Cindy Neely and Flo Raitano on the email so they can share the website with other stakeholders.

The website will remain unchanged for approximately three weeks so that everyone is reviewing the same material. During the review period, Peter will set up a meeting with DTD staff to get their input. Meetings are also scheduled to present the website to FHWA and the I-70 Coalition.

ROLL OUT PLAN FOR CSS - WHAT IS IMPORTANT?

There is concern that the CSS will not be effectively implemented on individual projects because there will be PLT members who do not fully understand the concept. The group agreed that PLT roles should be clearly defined and included on the website. There will also be several CSS checklists on the website to help the project PLTs know if they are on track.

Scott McDaniel said that Peter Kozinski will be working closely with the CDOT project managers and the project PLTs to ensure CSS is being used. **A CDOT Roles and Responsibilities will be drafted and presented to this PLT for review and approval.** Resurrecting the CSS Training for resident engineers is also very important.

Another area of concern is consultant's lack of finesse in CSS.

Maintenance of the website is a big concern. Changes to the maps are added as layers and other information is provided by links to other websites so that minimal maintenance will have to be done on the Guidance website. Erik Kulvinskas/CDOT will know if it is necessary to archive a website snapshot prior to making changes.

CDOT Project Managers will be responsible for adding their projects to the website. Instructions will be included on the website for getting this done.

Monica Pavlik agreed that adding layers to the maps will be invaluable when documenting historical information for Tier 2 projects.

The group agreed that Twittering or a blog should not be part of the Guidance website and, if implemented should be hosted by someone other than CDOT.

SCHEDULE

The Website is expected to be fully operational by the end of the year. Work on the Historic Multi-Property Document, the Aesthetics and SWEEP Working Groups, and the Idaho Springs Visioning project continues. There will be one more Corridor Team Meeting in the spring to present these products to the stakeholders.

The group agreed that it is very important to keep the I-70 CSS project going until the PEIS is complete and to be able to provide CSS guidance on upcoming corridor projects.

NEXT PLT MEETING

The group agreed that they would like to review the Aesthetics Working Group product before it is presented to the stakeholders. A review of the Historic Multi-Property Document may be able to be done via email instead of a meeting.

Another PLT meeting is scheduled for December 15th from 9:00 – 11:00 in the Trail Ridge Conference Room at CDOT in Golden. Additional PLT meetings can be scheduled on an "as-needed" basis if there are topics that need to be discussed face to face.

MISCELLANEOUS

Flo Raitano will be leaving the I-70 Coalition at the end of September. The group agreed that another Coalition member should be appointed to the I-70 CSS PLT.

The meeting was adjourned at 11:00 am.



I-70 CSS

Project Leadership Team Meeting

CDOT Project Number 15613 CMS 07-028 CDOT Code 15954.10.50 CH2M HILL Project Number 358654

> Tuesday, September 15, 2009 9:30 a.m. to 11:30 a.m. AGENDA

- 1. Corridor Team Debrief
- 2. Schedule and roll out plan for CSS what is important?
- 3. Next PLT Meeting



I-70 CSS Corridor Team Meeting Soft Launch of Website Comments September 11, 2009

<u>Maps</u>

Hard to decipher map layers

Takes a long time to load map data. Without high-speed connection, users may have problems

Appears to all be resource data. Suggest also including engineering and traffic data given users

No specific map reference for Lynx. Move to TES, also needs habitat information

Wildlife – Wildlife Interference Zones (LIZs)

Environmental Data Label – spell out TES. TES Species is redundant

Capitalize ALIVE - Inventory

Do the subset areas come off with click of main layer?

Local zoning should eventually be included. Also future land use planning resources (available data)

How will you ensure data on maps is up to date and corrected if wrong? Ever-evolving data must be included. Mechanism should be established.

No ITS included, would be good in mile markers

There should be a way to select/deselect all environmental data on the map

Change ►to +

Unclick all functions. Default to "all-off"

Information on limits to geographic data – within 500 feet, can that be changed?

Some data is not showing

Rename Aesthetics Maps to indicate location

Sylvan Lake is in the wrong place (it's south of Eagle)

Expanding Bio-Resources

Add identity control to six-foot shortcut bar

Clear and select all controls on Environmental Data layer and global tab

Can cursor change shape when in Identity mode?

Show only active layer information in Identity Box

Print map with legend of layers

Need a print button for Maps

Have ability to save as a .jpg

Have ability to save settings

Have a layer that includes municipality/county layers

Show mill sites

Add keys for data layer attributes (e.g. geologic hazards, rock slide severity) what do codes mean?

Include landslide data (it exists in CDOT GIS System) See David Thomas

Loveland Ski area needs a label. Others?

Label the milepost to post line

Identity – PEIS: Show chapter, section, reports that are pertinent. Include references

Corridor Guidance seems like a document but it is maps. Make it clear it is a set of maps.

Could the Aesthetics Maps be made into GIS layers for the overall map?

Map drop-downs hide and unhide too quickly

What is the blinking "i"?

Add Historic Districts and Historic Properties to maps

Need street and aerial image at the same time

Map side menu is difficult to manage and to navigate when they are open

Miscellaneous

Links to plans/master plans. Good if included in Corridor Guidance

Include Access Control Plans as available

Can't move dialog box out of the way, it would be nice to move it

Should be able to click through on the graphics for the 6-Step Process and Life Cycle Phases and have it linked

Broken link in Phase 2 Project Development (in text)

Lots of steps and phases and numbers and levels – could this be clarified?

Amendment Process like in text takes you to a web page that could be clearer

Must See/Must Do: Enhance the title to make it more eye-catching. Needs a bigger/bolder menu

Decision Process – make graphic clickable

Should include programmatic agreement for Cultural Resources

Who on the PLT is responsible for CSS? It's not state clearly enough!

Clarify who would be the CSS champion on all projects. Concerned about smaller projects.

Champion of CSS has to own the Decision Process to ensure first two steps happen

Needs to be more specific on how community members are chosen

Clarify when PLTs are developed on all projects. Some PLTs are being developed at design phase; shouldn't it be at project development phase?

PLT Role document misread. Team Roles by Life Cycle. I-70 MC Project Life Cycle is misnamed.

Life Cycle Phases should be on top navigation bar on Home Page

How can we have I-70 Community on FaceBook or Twitter?

Need better directions on how to send Lessons Learned documents to site (needs a send button)

Like: Text size change; Must See/Must Do; Nice to Know; Search

Straight Creek SCAP is awkward

Search function needs to capture all file names

SWEEP – Wetland & Water: Water Quality; Natural Habitat (change "physical" to "natural")

Hope Page – make Map image a link

Sustainability Indicators need to include annual data. Start data now as a baseline

What are your expectations for the CSS Guidance?

Includes templates and criteria guidance documents for use by project teams

Reflects the interests of stakeholders in the Corridor