Initial Site Assessme	ent (ISA)			Route ID: I-25	Project Cod	de (SA#): 1735	54		
Project Description									
Project Name: Environmental Re-Ev Milepost Begin: 161	Milepost End:	1/0		County Name(s): El Pa	20				
Location: I-25 from Highway 105 to	<u> </u>			County Name(s). Erra	30				
Lecation: 1 Le nom 1 ng may 100 to	vvoodinon read								
Widening of I-25 from	Highway 105 to	Woodmen Road (S	ite). EA and FON	ISI prepared in 2004 for wide	ning of I-25 betwe	en the Highway	105		
Main Project Elements: and S. Academy Blvd	(milepost 135).	A portion of the pro	oposed was imple	mented as part of the COSM	IX project (6/2005	- 12/2007).			
				ation of the area from Highwa			ed.		
Project Features (Check if applies Easements ✓ Utility Relocation GW Anticipated Yes ✓ Unknown	on 🗸	Structure Acquisition  Excavation/Do  to gw (if known)	rilling 🗸	Structure Modification  Disturbance depth (if  Gw flow direction (if	,		ng 🗌		
Records Review & Interview	v(s)								
The following records/sources were  ASTM Standard Environmental R ASTM Standard Search Radli Previous Environmental Reports/ Other Files/Databases (Assessor, Topographic Map(s) Aerial Photograph(s) Current - Aerial Photograph(s) Ves - year(s) Local Street Directories Ves - y	or of Mo or of Mo CDOT Files: Fire dept., Build date 2010 date 2011 No Sanborr ear(s) Due to	☐ OPS  Idified Search Radii:  Modified Environmer  Iling, etc.): ☐ Hi ☐ Hi ☐ maps available the size of the corri	CDPHE 1000-foot buffer tal Site Assessment USGS, FEMA, istoric - year(s): istoric - year(s): e for this area.	CDOT Internal Database r around centerline of highway. , prepared by Kumar and Assoc CU Sanborn Map Colli 1893, 1909, 1948, 1999, 2003, 2004,	lates, February 13, 20 ection, USEPA 1949, 1961, 19 2005, 2006, 20	002, revised Octob Envirofacts 69, 1975, 198 08, 2010	er 22, 2002.		
Historic land use(s) within the project area (if known):  Interview(s):  Yes	Vacant land	l.							
Name/Title/Date/Comments:									
Name/Title/Date/Comments:									
Site Reconnaissance & Des									
Was a visual inspection conducted?	∐ No	✓ Yes - Insp	ection Date <u>1/17</u>	72012					
If 'No' document the reason: N/A									
Project area and land use(s) description:  No development within the CDOT ROW with the exception of roadway and interchanges. Current use is mixed commercial and residential.									
No development within the OBOT IX	ov with the exe	cption of roadway a	ind interentinges.	Current age is mixed commit	croid and resident	ai.			
		🗖	🗖						
Industrial Light Industrial	Comme			·		. ,			
Adjacent -	Land w	as originally vacant.	. First developme	nt was generally residential (	homestead, ranch	ing).			
land use(s):									
		naial // Danisla			Other				
☐ Industrial ☐ Light Industrial	✓ Comme			tural Undeveloped a or directly adjacent to it (		loum monuli			
Potential envi	onnental cond	erns on the infine	uiate project area	or unective adjacent to it (	select from dropo	Project			
	Project Area		<u>Adjacent</u>			Area	<u>Adjacent</u>		
Evidence of underground tanks,	no		yes	Protected/fenced/placar	ded area(s)	no	no		
(pipes, vents, fill caps, etc.)	no		yes	Liquid waste (pits, pond	s, etc.)	no	no		
Aboveground storage tank(s)	no		yes	Oil Sheen (soil/water)		no	expected		
Monitoring/Water Well(s)	no		yes	Oil/Gas wells		no	yes		
Electrical/Transformer Equipment	yes		yes	Mine tailings/waste		no	no		
Cistern(s), sump(s), drain(s)	unknown		unknown	Painted/Preserved Mate	rials	yes	yes		
Barrel(s), drum(s), container(s)	no		no	Odors		no	no		
Stockpile, surface trash, debris	yes	Incidental trash	yes	Chemical Storage		no	expected		
Exposed/buried landfill	no		no	Suspect asbestos conta	ining material	no	expected		
Batteries	no		no	Suspect methamphetam	nine lab waste	no	no		
Surface Staining	expected		expected						
Stressed Vegetation	no		no						

Project No.: IM CO40-029

Region No.: 2

COLORADO DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Findings/Conclusions:		
	or adjacent	to the project area, which may affect the project (explain below)?
A total of five sites were identified as	sites of p	otential concern presenting recognized environmental conditions or historic
•		e five sites, three of the sites are recommended for further investigation
		potential for purchase, proximity to the Site, and/or located upgradient of
·		ation, and Diamond Shamrock are all active LUST sites with ongoing
		e other two sites have been determined to not pose a concern to
		on or distance from the Site. Lead-based paint was detected at the Academy Boulevard polychlorinated biphenyl-containing materials) were observed inside and within close
		ate demolition, handling, and disposal practices for these hazardous materials as required by
		g demolition activities associated with the I-25 expansion.
9 70		<u> </u>
Recommendations:		
	e Account	Modified CDOT Specification(s)  Additional Assessment/Investigation *
	, riccount	
SUMMIT recommends review of mo	ost curre	nt groundwater monitoring reports available for the three sites of concern,
and additional investigation of soil a	and grou	ndwater impacts within the project construction area prior to construction
activities.		
	-	
* - Additional work must be approved	by CDO	T.
Attachments:		
Environmental Database Map	✓ Yes	Appendix B
Modified CDOT Specification(s)	Yes	
General Plan Note(s)	□Yes	
Site Photographs		Appendix D
Maps & Figures		Figure 1 is a site location map. Figures 2-7 are segment specific maps
Agency File Data	Yes	rigure 1 is a site location map. Tigures 2-7 are segment specific maps
Historic USGS Topographic Maps		Appendix C
EDR Well Search Report		Appendix A
LDN Well Sealth Report	Yes Yes	дручник д
	Yes	
Completed by (Name and Title):Monig	ue R. Amı	midown, P.G., Project Manager
Signature:		Date: Revised (if necessary):
ga.a.o.		

Date:

CDOT Environmental Project Manager Approval: