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## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Appendix B. Alternative Screening

## B. 1 Level 1 Environmental Fatal Flaw Screening

The Technical Advisory Team (TAT) conducted Level 1 Environmental Fatal Flaw Screening at their meeting on August 17, 2010. The evaluation involved Section 4(f) and 6(f) resources (historic properties, recreational properties and wildlife refuges), as well as environmental justice issues. Table B-1 summarizes the findings of properties and wildife refuges), as well as environmental justice issues. Table B-1 summarizes the findings of
that meeting for five facility types, as well as for the No Action Alternative (existing US 50 cross section). The facility types are briefly described as follows:

- No Action - No changes are made to US 50, except routine maintenance.
- Facility Type 1 - US 50 remains a four-lane expressway, though flyover ramps are added at some intersections.
- Facility Type 2 - US 50 is widened to a six-lane expressway with signalized intersections.
- Facility Type 3 - US 50 is converted to a four-lane freeway with grade-separated interchanges.
- Facility Type 4 - No changes are made to US 50, but local improvements are built.
- Facility Type 5 - US 50 is converted to a six-lane freeway with grade-separated interchanges.

A search for historic properties along the US 50 corridor returned no results, although thorough site investigations have not been performed for this corridor. The Pueblo Area Council of Governments (PACOG) Long Range Transportation Plan shows US 50 as an existing on-street bicycle route. Bicycle connectivity is not affected by the No Action Alternative and can be maintained under any of the facility types, by providing a detached mixed-use path, for example. There are no wildlife refuges near the study corridor. Therefore, the No Action Alternative is not expected to have an impact on any Section $4(\mathrm{f})$ or $6(\mathrm{f})$ resources in a way that would constitute a fatal flaw. Block groups with greater-than-average concentrations of minorities and low-income families (compared to Pueblo County as a whole) were found on either side of US 50 east of Pueblo Blvd. However, the No Action Alternative would not affect these communities. As a result, the No Action Alternative was retained for analysis at Level 2.

Facility Type 1 would make improvements to the Main McCulloch Blvd., Purcell Blvd., and Pueblo Blvd. intersections. (Section B. 2 provides more details of these components.) It does not affect the minority and low-income populations to the east, nor does it affect any Section 4(f) or 6(f) properties.

Facility Type 2 would widen US 50 to six lanes, which can largely be accommodated by the existing US 50 right-or-way (ROW) or an unplatted Pueblo West buffer. Therefore, Facility Type 2 does not affect Section 4(f) or $6(f)$ properties or environmental justice communities.

Facility Type 3 could require ROW acquisition for grade-separated interchanges at Wills Blvd. and Baltimore Ave., but the affected properties would be commercial rather than residences of environmental justice communities. The Colorado Department of Transportation (CDOT) has already acquired some ROW for interchanges at the intersections to the west. The TAT did not find any fatal flaws with Facility Type 3.

Table B-1. Detail of Level 1 Screening Results

| Facility Type and Components | Any Fatal Flaws Related to |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Section 4(f) or 6(f) Resources? | Environmental Justice? | Disposition |
| No Action | No | No | Retain |
| 1 - Four-Lane Expressway with Flyover Ramps | No | No | Retain |
| 2 - Six-Lane Expressway | No | No | Retain |
| 3 - Four-Lane Freeway | No | No | Retain |
| 4 - Combined Local Improvements: |  |  | Retain |
| - Pueblo Blvd. Extension | No | No |  |
| - Upgrades to Platteville Blvd. | No | No |  |
| - Eagleridge Blvd. Extension | No | No |  |
| - Industrial Blvd. Extension | No | No |  |
| - Spaulding Ave. Extension: Purcell Blvd. to Pueblo Blvd. | No | No |  |
| - Spaulding Ave. Extension: 11 th St. to 31 st St. | Unknown | Unknown |  |
| - Tuxedo Blvd. Extension | No | No |  |
| - West Pueblo Connector: Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension | No | No |  |
| - West Pueblo Connector: Upgrades to 24th St. and Tuxedo Blvd. | Unknown | Unknown |  |
| - West Pueblo Connector: $18{ }^{\text {th }}$ St. to Santa Fe Ave. | Unknown | Unknown |  |
| 5-Six-Lane Freeway | No | No | Retain |

The study team examined Facility Type 4 components individually.
The Pueblo Blvd. Extension passes through a block group with a greater-than-average minority population. However, this is a large block group with most existing development removed from the proposed Pueblo Blvd. Extension alignment. Minority populations do not live near the Pueblo Blvd. Extension, and therefore, would not be affected by it. Likewise, minority populations would not see a disproportionate impact from the improvements to Platteville Blvd. and the extension of Eagleridge Blvd. west to the Pueblo Blvd. Extension.

The Industrial Blvd. Extension passes through an undeveloped area with no Section 4(f) or 6(f) resources or environmental justice populations.

The Spaulding Ave. alignment between Purcell Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. passes through the Honor Farm, a park owned by the City of Pueblo. However, the Honor Farm Master Plan indicates that this area will be sold to
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private developers as the City acquires equivalent areas of inholdings in the Honor Farm. Therefore, this part of the Spaulding Ave. Extension does not have an impact on Section 4(f) or 6(f) resources.

The Spaulding Ave. Extension between $11^{\text {th }}$ St. and $31^{\text {st }}$ St., as well as the Tuxedo Blvd. Extension, are contained within a Census block with greater-than-average minority and low-income populations. However, the portion of the Spaulding Ave. Extension that passes through the most developed area has already been constructed. Therefore, the potential for disproportionate impacts exists if say, for example, increased traffic volumes lead to more noise. The remaining portions of Spaulding Ave. and all of the Tuxedo Blvd. Extension traverse undeveloped areas. Because traffic volumes on the developed portions of Spaulding Ave. are not expected to increase much as a result of completing the extension, the TAT decided that environmental justice issues would likely not become a fatal flaw of either of these roads.

The Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension, part of the West Pueblo Connector, passes through the Honor Farm Park. However, because ROW for its alignment was reserved in the Honor Farm Master Plan, it will not create a Section 4(f) or 6(f) issue. Tuxedo Blvd. and $24^{\text {th }}$ St. pass through the same neighborhood, Hyde Park, as the Spaulding Ave. Extension between $11^{\text {th }}$ St. and $31^{\text {st }}$ St. Widening existing two-lane portions of $24^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$. to four lanes would require minimal ROW acquisition. Tuxedo Blvd. is currently wide enough to have four travel lanes with a center left-turn lane. The TAT acknowledged that Hyde Park would experience greater noise from more traffic; however, they believed this would be offset by the benefit of improved access to other parts of Pueblo. The TAT decided although there was potential for environmental justice concerns, these issues did not constitute a fatal flaw regarding environmental justice.

Between $18^{\text {th }}$ St. and Santa Fe Ave., the West Pueblo Connector largely follows an alignment through the Burlington Northern Santa Fe (BNSF) railroad yard. There are many historic properties in the Downtown Pueblo area, which are protected under Section 4(f). However, because no final alignment has been established for the West Pueblo Connector here, the study team was unable to determine if there might be a use of these properties. The area also includes Census block groups with greater-than-average minority and low-income residences. However, these properties would not likely need to be acquired for ROW. The TAT also felt that noise impacts could be mitigated through noise walls. As such, they did not believe Section 4(f) or 6(f) resources or environmental justice issues would be fatal flaws of this section of the West Pueblo Connector
Table B-1 indicates that Facility Types 1 through 5 all passed Level 1 Environmental Fatal Flaw Screening an advanced to Level 2 analysis and evaluation.

## B. 2 Level 2 Purpose and Need Screening

Level 2 analysis and evaluation considered the Purpose and Need to reduce congestion and improve mobility. Because the intersections are the capacity bottlenecks along the US 50 corridor, Level 2 Purpose and Need Screening focused on the levels of service at the various signalized and unsignalized intersections along the corridor. The TAT decided that intersections where peak hour demands were at or above capacity (LOS E or F) did not meet the Purpose and Need to reduce congestion and improve mobility.

Initially, Level 2 screening focused on facility types as a consistent program of corridor-wide improvements However, as Level 2 screening progressed, the TAT recognized that some of the networks assumed for each facility type failed to meet the Purpose and Need only in isolated locations. By substituting different intersection
options (See Chapter 2, Section 2.6 for the full list), these facility types could be made to meet the Purpose and Need. Because the isolated intersection options would have negligible impact on regional travel patterns, the study team decided to differentiate the use of different intersection options as scenarios of a facility type with an alphabetical suffix. For example, Scenarios 3A and 3C both have the same demand associated with US 50 being a four-lane freeway, but Scenario 3A assumes four diamond interchanges while Scenario 3C assumes four Single-Point Urban Interchanges (SPUIs).
In addition to examining the above five facility types, the study team also considered some combinations of facility types as a sensitivity analysis. These two additional scenarios are

- Scenario 6 - US 50 becomes a six-lane expressway with improved intersections (Facility Type 2), plus the Pueblo Blvd. Extension
- Scenario 7 - Scenario 6, plus the West Pueblo Connector

This section summarizes the results of Level 2 Purpose and Need Screening. First, Table B-2 provides an overall summary of Level 2 evaluation. Then there is a section for each facility type or numbered scenario, which may have subsections for each lettered scenario. For every scenario, a map shows the associated improvements and the AM and PM peak hour LOS along US 50. Because Facility Type 4, Scenario 6, and Scenario 7 involve local improvements off US 50 , the LOS for those intersections are also provided. There is also a text description of each scenario. Finally, at the end of the discussion of each facility type or numbered also a text description of each scenario. Finally, at the end of the discussion of each facility type or numbere
scenario, there is a table of daily volumes on US 50 and other routes at screenline crossings. A screenline is essentially a line drawn on a map through related parallel roads. The two screenlines the study team considered were one just east of Purcell Blvd. and a second one just east of Pueblo Blvd.
Table B-2 has a row for each scenario, which is identified in the first column. The next seven columns show what intersection option was assumed at each location for that scenario, and whether that intersection option meets the Purpose and Need criterion. Intersection options not meeting the Purpose and Need are shaded red. The LOS at Wills Blvd. was not calculated for Facility Type 3 scenarios. However, examining the turning movement volumes at Wills Blvd. and Baltimore Ave. showed that Baltimore Ave. has more traffic. Therefore, any intersection that meets the Purpose and Need at Baltimore Ave. will also do so at Wills Blvd. The final four columns of Table B-2 show what local improvements are included with each scenario. Other local improvements include

- The Industrial Blvd. Extension
- Both segments of the Spaulding Ave. Extension
- The Tuxedo Blvd. Extension

Table B-2 shows that Facility Types 3 and 5, and Scenario 7, would meet the Purpose and Need criteria and advanced to Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis. Chapter 2, Section 2.11, of the US 50 West PEL Study discusses specific intersection options passing Level 2 evaluation.

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Table B-2. Summary of Level 2 Screening Results

| Facility Type and Scenario | Swallows Rd. | West McCulloch Blvd. | Main McCulloch Blvd. | Purcell Blva. | Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) | Wills Blva. | Baltimore Ave. | Pueblo Blvd. Extension | Platteville Blvd. Widening and Eagleridge Blvd. Extension | West Pueblo Connector | Other Local Improvements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Action | 4 ln unsig | 4 ln unsig | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | $4 / 6 \mathrm{ln} \mathrm{sig}$ | - | - | - | - |
| 1 | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig + fly | 4 In sig + fly | 4 ln sig + fly | 4 ln sig | 4/6 In sig | - | - | - | - |
| 2 | 6 In sig | 6 In sig | 6 In sig | 6 In sig | 6 In sig | 6 ln sig | 6 In sig | - | - | - | - |
| 3 A | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | diamond | diamond | diamond | N/C | TUDI | - | - | - | - |
| 3B |  |  | dia + fly | dia + fly | dia + fly |  | dia + fly |  |  |  |  |
| 3 C |  |  | SPUI | SPUI | SPUI - exit 50 |  | SPUI |  |  |  |  |
| 3D |  |  | diamond | diamond |  |  | TUDI |  |  |  |  |
| 3E | diamond | diamond (unsig) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4A | 4 In unsig | 4 In unsig | 4 ln sig | 4 In sig | 4 In sig | 4 In sig | 4/6 In sig | to l-25 | Y | Y | Y |
| 4B |  |  |  |  | diamond |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4C |  |  |  |  | dia + fly |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4D |  |  |  |  | SPUI - exit 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4E |  |  |  |  | SPUI - exit 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4F |  |  |  |  | Parclo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 4 ln sig | 4 ln sig | 6 In diamond | 6 In diamond | SPUI - exit 50 | Brai | UDIs | - | - | - | - |
| 6A | 4 ln sig | 4 In sig | 6 In diamond | 6 In diamond | Parclo - loops to 45 | $6 \ln$ sig | 6 ln sig | to Platteville | Y | - | - |
| 6B |  |  |  |  | Parclo - loops from 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 C |  |  |  |  | SPUI - exit 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6D |  |  |  | DDI - exit 50 | DDI - exit 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 E |  |  | GS Round | GS Round | GS Round |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 F |  |  | $6 \ln 2-\operatorname{leg}$ CFI | $6 \ln 2-\operatorname{leg}$ CFI | $6 \ln 2-\operatorname{leg}$ CFI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6G |  |  |  | $6 \ln 4-\mathrm{leg}$ CFI | $6 \ln 4-\operatorname{leg}$ CFI |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7A | 4 In sig | 4 ln sig | 4/6 In sig | 6 ln diamond | Parclo | 6 ln sig | 6 ln sig | to Platteville | Y | Y | - |
| 7B |  |  | 6 ln diamond |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]- Ramps exiting Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) -Scenarios 4E and 6D

Parclo Partial Cloverleaf Interchange

- Scenarios
- Loop ramps on to Pueblo Blvd. - Scenarios 6A, 7A, and 7B - Loop ramps exiting Pueblo Blvd. - Scenario 6B

DDI Diverging Diamond Interchange (grade-separated)

- Scenarios
- Ramps exiting US 50 -- at Purcell Blvd.
- Ramps existing Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
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B.2.1 2035 No Action


## Figure B-1. No Action Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-1, the No Action Alternative includes the existing and committed regional network:

- US 50A
- 4 lanes west of Baltimore Ave
- 6 lanes Baltimore Ave. to Morris Ave. / Fortino Blvd.
- 3 EB / 4 WB Lanes Morris Ave. / Fortino Blvd. to Club Manor Dr.
- 8 lanes Club Manor Dr. to Elizabeth St
- 6 lanes Elizabeth St. to I-25
- Four-lane Platteville Blvd. Extension from Pueblo city limits to I-25, including Dillon/Eden Split Diamond

Table B-3. Current and 2035 No Action Daily Screenline Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | $2005$ Count | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { No Action } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Change } \\ & \text { from } 2005 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 3,000 | 12,200 | +307\% |
| US 50 | EB | 22,000 | 43,000 | +95\% |
|  | WB | 22,000 | 43,000 | +95\% |
|  | Two Way | 44,000 | 86,000 | +95\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 560 | 5,200 | +829\% |
|  | WB | 420 | 4,800 | + 1043\% |
|  | Two Way | 980 | 9,900 | +910\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 48,000 | 108,000 | +125\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 92\% | 80\% | -12\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth St.) | Two Way | N/A | 9,510 | N/A |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth St.) | Two Way | 2,500 | 7,170 | +187\% |
| US 50 | EB | 21,000 | 41,000 | +95\% |
|  | WB | 21,000 | 40,000 | +90\% |
|  | Two Way | 42,000 | 80,000 | +90\% |
| 24th St. | EB | 2,900 | 6,800 | +134\% |
|  | WB | 3,200 | 7,000 | +119\% |
|  | Two Way | 6,100 | 13,900 | +128\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | N/A | 640 | N/A |
| 11th St. | EB | 2,900 | 5,600 | +93\% |
|  | WB | 2,700 | 5,000 | +85\% |
|  | Two Way | 5,600 | 10,500 | +88\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 7,500 | 13,000 | +73\% |
|  | WB | 7,200 | 12,000 | +67\% |
|  | Two Way | 14,700 | 25,000 | +70\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 71,000 | 147,000 | +107\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 59\% | 54\% | -5\% |

Table B-3 shows the current and 2035 No Action daily screenline volumes.
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B.2.2 2035 Facility Type 1 - Four-Lane Expressway


Figure B-2. Facility Type 1 Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-2, the network for Facility Type 1 includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd
- Two-Lane WB-to-SB Flyover Ramps at Main McCulloch Blvd., Purcell Blvd., and Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- Additional NB and SB Left Turn Lanes at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50 WB
- Additional SB Left and EB Right Turn Lanes at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50 EB

Table B-4 shows the 2035 Facility Type 1 daily screenline volumes.

Table B-4. 2035 Facility Type 1 Daily Screenline Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | $\begin{gathered} 2035 \\ \text { Facility Type } 1 \end{gathered}$ | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 12,000 | -2\% |
| US 50 | EB | 43,000 | 0\% |
|  | WB | 43,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 87,000 | +1\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 5,100 | -2\% |
|  | WB | 4,700 | -2\% |
|  | Two Way | 9,700 | -2\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 109,000 | +1\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 80\% | +0\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 9,400 | -1\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 7,200 | +0\% |
| US 50 | EB | 40,000 | -2\% |
|  | WB | 39,000 | -3\% |
|  | Two Way | 79,000 | -1\% |
| 24th St. | EB | 7,000 | +3\% |
|  | WB | 7,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 14,000 | +1\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 630 | -2\% |
| 11 th St. | EB | 5,700 | +2\% |
|  | WB | 5,100 | +2\% |
|  | Two Way | 10,800 | +3\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 13,000 | 0\% |
|  | WB | 12,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 25,000 | 0\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 146,000 | -1\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 54\% | -0\% |
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B.2.3 2035 Facility Type 2 - Six-Lane Expressway


Figure B-3. Facility Type 2 Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-3, Facility Type 2 includes additional turn bays and through lanes at selected locations:

- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Add NB right and SB left
- Add WB left and SB receiving lane
- Purcell Blvd.
- Add NB right and SB left
- Add NB through lane
- Add EB and WB left with NB and SB receiving lanes
- EB US 50 at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- Add EB right
- Add two SB lefts
- Add NB through lane
- WB US 50 at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- Add WB left
- Add two NB lefts
- Add SB right
- Add NB and SB through lanes
- Baltimore Ave.
- Add NB and SB through lanes to Baltimore Ave.
- Add EB and WB left turn lanes
- Add two NB and SB left turn lane

Table B- 5 shows the 2035 Facility Type 2 daily screenline volumes.
Table B-5. 2035 Facility Type 2 Daily Screenline Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Facility Type 2 Six-Lane Expressway | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blva. | Two Way | 11,600 | -5\% |
| US 50 | EB | 47,000 | +9\% |
|  | wB | 46,000 | +7\% |
|  | Two Way | 93,000 | +8\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 3,600 | -31\% |
|  | wB | 3,300 | -31\% |
|  | Two Way | 6,900 | -30\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 112,000 | +4\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 83\% | +3\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 9,400 | -1\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 7,100 | -1\% |
| US 50 | EB | 47,000 | +15\% |
|  | wB | 46,000 | +15\% |
|  | Two Way | 93,000 | +16\% |
| 24th St. | EB | 6,500 | -4\% |
|  | wB | 7,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 13,400 | -4\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 600 | N/A |
| 11th St. | EB | 5,200 | -7\% |
|  | wB | $5,000$ | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 10,200 | -3\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 12,000 | -8\% |
|  | WB | $12,000$ | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 24,000 | -4\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 158,000 | +7\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 59\% | +4\% |
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B.2.4 Facility Type 3 - Four-Lane Freeway

2035 Scenario 3A - Four-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Railroad Diamond Interchanges


Figure B-4. Scenario 3A Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-4, Scenario 3A includes:

- Four-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to BNSF crossing
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and

Main McCulloch Blvd.

- Purcell Blvd
- Pueblo Blvd.
- Baltimore Ave.
- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.

2035 Scenario 3B - Four-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Railroad Diamond Interchanges with Westbound-to-Southbound Flyover Ramps


Figure B-5. Scenario 3B Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-5, Scenario 3B includes:

- Four-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to BNSF crossing
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges with WB-to-SB flyovers at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd
- Purcell Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- Baltimore Ave.
- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
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2035 Scenario 3C - Four-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Railroad - SPUIs


Figure B-6. Scenario 3C Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-6, Scenario 3C includes:

- Four-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to BNSF crossing
- New grade-separated single-point urban interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd
- Purcell Blvd
- Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- Baltimore Ave.
- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd

2035 Scenario 3D - Four-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Railroad Diamond Interchanges and SPUI


Figure B-7. Scenario 3D Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-7, Scenario 3D includes:

- Four-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to BNSF crossing
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd
- Purcell Blvd.
- Baltimore Ave
- New grade-separated single-point urban interchange at US 50 and Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)
- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
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2035 Scenario 3E - Four-Lane Freeway: Swallows Rd. to Railroad - Diamond Interchanges


Figure B-8. Scenario 3E Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-8, Scenario 3E includes:

- Four-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to BNSF crossing
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Swallows Rd.
- West McCulloch Blvd.
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- Baltimore Ave
- New grade-separated SPUI at US 50 and Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)

2035 Facility Type 3 Travel Patterns
Table B-6 shows the 2035 Facility Type 3 daily screenline volumes.
Table B-6. 2035 Facility Type 3 Daily Screenline Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Facility Type 3 Four-Lane Freeway | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 12,000 | -2\% |
| US 50 | EB | 46,000 | +7\% |
|  | WB | 46,000 | +7\% |
|  | Two Way | 92,000 | +7\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 3,000 | -42\% |
|  | WB | 3,000 | -38\% |
|  | Two Way | 6,000 | -39\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 110,000 | +2\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 84\% | +4\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 9,200 | -3\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 6,990 | -3\% |
| US 50 | EB | 47,000 | +15\% |
|  | WB | 46,000 | +15\% |
|  | Two Way | 93,000 | +16\% |
| 24th St. | EB | 5,700 | -16\% |
|  | WB | 5,500 | -21\% |
|  | Two Way | 11,200 | -19\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 630 | -2\% |
| 11 th St. | EB | 5,300 | -5\% |
|  | WB | 5,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 10,300 | -2\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 12,000 | -8\% |
|  | WB | 11,000 | -8\% |
|  | Two Way | 23,000 | -8\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 154,000 | +5\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 60\% | +6\% |
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B.2.5 Facility Type 4 - Combined Local Improvements

2035 Scenario 4A - Combined Local Improvements - At-Grade Intersections


Figure B-9. Scenario 4A Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-9, Scenario 4A includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension:
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$.
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.

Eagleridge Blvd. Extension

- Platteville Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.


## 50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 4B - Combined Local Improvements - Diamond Interchanges


As shown in Figure B-10, Scenario 4B includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension:
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }}$ St.
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and:
- US 50
- West Pueblo Connector (Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension/24th St.)


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 4C - Combined Local Improvements - Diamond Interchanges with Flyover Ramps

## 2035 Scenario 4C



Figure B-11. Scenario 4C Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-11, Scenario 4C includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension:
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }}$ St.
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:

Wildhorse Rd.

- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges with flyover ramps at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and:
- US 50 (WB-to-SB flyover ramp)
- West Pueblo Connector (NB-to-WB flyover ramp)


## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 4D - Combined Local Improvements - SPUIs
2035 Scenario 4D


Figure B-12. Scenario 4D Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-12, Scenario 4D includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension:
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }}$ St.
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated SPUIs at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and:
- US 50 (exiting from US 50)
- West Pueblo Connector (Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension/24th St.)


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 4E - Combined Local Improvements - SPUI exits Pueblo Blvd. at US 50 Diamond Interchange at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and West Pueblo Connector

## 2035 Scenario 4E



Figure B-13. Scenario 4E Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-13, Scenario 4E includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension. from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension:
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }} \mathrm{St}$
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd
- Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated SPUI exiting Pueblo Blvd. at US 50
- New grade-separated diamond interchange exiting Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) at West Pueblo Connector (Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension/24th St.)


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 4F - Combined Local Improvements - Partial Cloverleaf (Parclo) Interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50 - Diamond Interchange at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and West Pueblo Connector

## 2035 Scenario 4F



Figure B-14. Scenario 4F Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-14, Scenario 4F includes:

- Platteville Blvd. improvements (as above)
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to I-25 (including current Purcell Blvd. alignment)
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- Two-lane Industrial Blvd. Extension to Wildhorse Rd.
- Four-lane Spaulding Ave. Extension (as above)
- Through Honor Farm to Pueblo Blvd.
- $31^{\text {st }}$ St. to $11^{\text {th }}$ St.
- Two-lane Tuxedo Blvd. Extension
- Reduced delays at $29^{\text {th }}$ St. rail crossing from R2C2 rail relocation
- Four-lane West Pueblo Connector
- New traffic signals at:
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated partial cloverleaf (Parclo) interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50:
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Loop on-ramps in northwest and southeast quadrants
- Two signalized intersections on US 50 at ramp terminals
- New grade-separated diamond interchange exiting Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) at West Pueblo Connector (Joe Martinez Blvd. Extension/24th St.)


## 50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Facility Type 4 Travel Patterns
Table B-7 shows the 2035 Facility Type 4 daily screenline volumes and the Pueblo Blvd. Extension volumes.
Table B-7. 2035 Facility Type 4 Daily Screenline Volumes and Pueblo Blvd. Extension Volumes

| Roadway | Direction |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { No Action } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 26,000 | +113\% |
| Industrial Blvd. | Two Way | 7,100 | N/A |
| US 50 | EB | 33,000 | -23\% |
|  | WB | 32,000 | -26\% |
|  | Two Way | 65,000 | -24\% |
| Spaulding Ave. | EB | 2,500 | N/A |
|  | WB | 2,300 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 4,800 | N/A |
| Joe Martinez Blvd. | EB | 5,400 | N/A |
|  | WB | 4,900 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 10,300 | N/A |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 1,600 | -69\% |
|  | WB | 1,400 | -71\% |
|  | Two Way | 2,900 | -71\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 116,000 | +7\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 56\% | -24\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 13,000 | +37\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 4,400 | -39\% |
| Eagleridge Blvd. Extn. | Two Way | 17,000 | N/A |
| US 50 | EB | 27,000 | -34\% |
|  | WB | 28,000 | -30\% |
|  | Two Way | 55,000 | -31\% |
| Spaulding Ave. | Two Way | 24,000 | N/C |


| Roadway | Direction | Facility Type 4 Combined Local Improvements | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { No Action } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24th St. | EB | 9,000 | +32\% |
|  | WB | 11,000 | +57\% |
|  | Two Way | 20,000 | +44\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 630 | -2\% |
| 11th St. | EB | 5,200 | -7\% |
|  | WB | 4,900 | -2\% |
|  | Two Way | 10,100 | -4\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave). | EB | 12,000 | -8\% |
|  | WB | 11,000 | -8\% |
|  | Two Way | 23,000 | -8\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 150,000 | +2\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 37\% | -18\% |
| Pueblo Blvd. Extension |  |  |  |
| South of I-25 | Two Way | 14,000 | N/A |
| South of Purcell | Two Way | 38,000 | N/A |
| Eagleridge Extn. to Platteville | Two Way | 55,000 | N/A |
| Wildhorse to Eagleridge Extn. | Two Way | 46,000 | N/A |
| US 50 to Wildhorse | NB | 37,000 | N/A |
|  | SB | 31,000 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 68,000 | N/A |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

B.2.6 2035 Facility Type 5 - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch

Blvd. to Baltimore Ave. - Diamond Interchanges and SPUI


Figure B-15. Facility Type 5 Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-15, the network for Facility Type 5 includes:

- Six-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- New SPUI at US 50 and Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) - Ramps Exit US 50
- New grade-separated, braided tight urban diamond interchanges (TUDIs) at Wills Blvd. and Baltimore

Ave.

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.

Table B-8 shows the 2035 Facility Type 5 daily screenline volumes.
Table B-8. 2035 Facility Type 5 Daily Screenline Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Facility Type 5 Six-Lane Freeway | Percent Change from No Action | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent Change } \\ & \text { from } \\ & \text { Four-Lane Freeway } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 12,000 | -2\% | 0\% |
| US 50 | EB | 46,000 | +7\% | 0\% |
|  | WB | 46,000 | +7\% | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 92,000 | +7\% | 0\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 2,600 | -50\% | -13\% |
|  | WB | 2,600 | -46\% | -13\% |
|  | Two Way | 5,200 | -47\% | -13\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 109,000 | +1\% | -1\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 84\% | +5\% | +1\% |

East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45)

| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 8,700 | $-9 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 6,500 | $-9 \%$ | $-7 \%$ |
| US 50 | EB | 45,000 | $+10 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
|  | WB | 45,000 | $+13 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
|  | Two Way | 90,000 | $+13 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |
| 24 th St. | EB | 5,600 | $-18 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
|  | WB | 5,400 | $-23 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| 18 th St. | Two Way | 11,000 | $-21 \%$ | $-2 \%$ |
| 11 th St. | Two Way | 630 | $-2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | EB | 5,300 | $-5 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | WB | 5,000 | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | Two Way | 10,300 | $-2 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | EB | 12,000 | $-8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
|  | WB | 11,000 | $-8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 23,000 | $-8 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 150,000 | $+2 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

B.2.7 Scenario 6 - Six-Lane Expressway and Pueblo Blvd. Extension

2035 Scenario 6A - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-16. Scenario 6A Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown on Figure B-16, Scenario 6A includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Six-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to west of Pueblo Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- Six-lane expressway from west of Pueblo Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- New grade-separated Parclo interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50:
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Loop on-ramps in NW and SE quadrants
- Two signalized intersections on US 50 at ramp terminals
- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to Platteville Blvd.
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- New traffic signals at Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6B - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-17. Scenario 6B Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-17, Scenario 6B includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Six-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to west of Pueblo Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- Six-lane expressway from west of Pueblo Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- New grade-separated Parclo interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Loop off-ramps in NE and SW quadrants
- Two signalized intersections on US 50 at ramp terminals
- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to Platteville Blvd
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- New traffic signals at Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.


## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6C - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-18. Scenario 6C Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-18, Scenario 6C includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Six-lane freeway from West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- Six-lane expressway from west of Pueblo Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- New grade-separated SPUI at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50:
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Signalized intersection on US 50 at ramp terminals
- Platteville Blvd. improvements
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to Platteville Blvd.
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- New traffic signals at Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6D - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-19. Scenario 6D Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-19, Scenario 6D includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Six-lane freeway from west of Main McCulloch Blvd. to west of Pueblo Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at US 50 and
- Main McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd.
- Six-lane expressway from west of Pueblo Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- New grade-separated diverging diamond interchanges at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50:
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Signalized intersection where US 50 EB and WB traffic cross over
- Platteville Blvd. improvements:
- Upgrade to principal arterial from Purcell Blvd. to I-25
- Install grade separation at BNSF crossing
- Widen to four lanes from Purcell Blvd. to Dillon Dr.
- Widen to six lanes from Dillon Dr. to I-25
- Four-lane Pueblo Blvd. Extension from US 50 to Platteville Blvd.
- Four-lane Eagleridge Blvd. Extension to Pueblo Blvd.
- New traffic signals at Pueblo Blvd. Extension and:
- Wildhorse Rd.
- Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Platteville Blvd.


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6E - Six-Lane Freeway: West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to West of Pueblo Blvd. and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-20. Scenario 6E Schematic and Levels of Service
Figure B-20 shows the schematic and levels of service for Scenario 6E.

Capacity Analysis of Grade-Separated Roundabouts
Table B-9 shows the range of hourly capacity of roundabout intersections. The actual capacity depends on the relative fractions of entering and circulating traffic. The TAT felt that one- and two-lane roundabouts would be appropriate for the Pueblo area; however, they felt that three- and more lane roundabouts would be a safety hazard. The study team then compared peak hour turning movements for various configurations against the highest capacity for a two-lane roundabout. The configurations examined included both two-level roundabout interchanges, where US 50 or crossing road through movements are grade-separated, and three-level roundabout interchanges, where through movements for both US 50 and the crossing road are grade-separated This comparison is shown in Table $\mathbf{B - 1 0}$, where volumes greater than capacity are shown by red cells. Table B-10 assumes that bypass lanes are provided for all right turns

Table B-9. Circulating Traffic Capacity of Roundabouts

| Size | Capacity (veh/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 lane | 1,200 | to | 1,800 |
| 2 lanes | 2,400 | to | 3,400 |

Note: Capacity range based on zero circulating volume to only circulating volume.

Table B-10. 2035 Scenario 6 Circulating Volumes (veh/h) at Three Intersections

| Intersection and Movements Separated | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Main McCulloch Blvd. - US 50 Grade Separated | 3,910 | 3,140 |
| Main McCulloch Blvd. - All Through Movements Grade Separated | 1,140 | 1,390 |
| Purcell Blvd. - US 50 Grade Separated | 3,340 | 3,600 |
| Purcell Blvd. - All Through Movements Grade Separated | 1,720 | 2,360 |
| Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) - US 50 Grade Separated | 5,900 | 9,790 |
| Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) - Pueblo Blvd. Grade Separated | 6,440 | 6,590 |
| Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) - All Through Movements Grade Separated | 1,600 | 1,990 |

## Legend:

Indicates demand in excess of two-lane roundabout capacity ( 3,400 vehicles per hour)

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6F - Six-Lane Expressway with Two-Leg Continuous Flow Intersections and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.



Figure B-22. Simulation of a Two-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection

Figure B-21. Scenario 6F Schematic and Levels of Service
Figure B-21 shows the Scenario 6F schematic and levels of service. Figure B-22 provides a simulation of a two-leg continuous flow intersection.

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

2035 Scenario 6G - Six-Lane Expressway with Two- and Four-Leg Continuous Flow Intersections and Pueblo Blvd. Extension to Platteville Blvd.


Figure B-23. Scenario 6G Schematic and Levels of Service
As shown in Figure B-23, Scenario 6G includes:

- New traffic signals at Swallows Rd. and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Six-lane expressway from West of Main McCulloch Blvd. to Baltimore Ave.
- Continuous flow intersections at Main McCulloch Blvd., Purcell Blvd, and Pueblo Blvd.
- At grade
- Left turning traffic crosses oncoming traffic in advance of signal
- Left turning traffic completes turn to side street during same phase as main through traffic

2035 Scenario 6 Traffic Patterns
Table B-11 shows the 2035 Scenario 6 daily screenline volumes and Pueblo Blvd. Extension volumes
Table B-11. 2035 Scenario 6 Daily Screenline Volumes and Pueblo Blvd. Extension Volume

| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Scenario 6 Six-Lane Freeway and Pueblo Blvd. Extn | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 32,000 | +162\% |
| US 50 | Eb | 44,000 | +2\% |
|  | WB | 44,000 | +2\% |
|  | Two Way | 88,000 | +2\% |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 1,400 | -73\% |
|  | WB | 2,400 | -50\% |
|  | Two Way | 3,700 | -63\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 124,000 | +15\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 71\% | -9\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 15,000 | +58\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 3,600 | -50\% |
| Eagleridge Blvd. Extn. | Two Way | 14,000 | N/A |
| US 50 | EB | 34,000 | -17\% |
|  | wB | 34,000 | -15\% |
|  | Two Way | 68,000 | -15\% |
| 24th St. | Eb | 6,000 | -12\% |
|  | wB | 6,400 | -9\% |
|  | Two Way | 12,300 | -12\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 630 | -2\% |
| 11 th St. | EB | 5,400 | -4\% |
|  | WB | 5,200 | +4\% |
|  | Two Way | 10,600 | +1\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 12,000 | -8\% |
|  | wB | 12,000 | 0\% |
|  | Two Way | 23,000 | -8\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 133,000 | -10\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 51\% | -3\% |
| Pueblo Blvd. Extension |  |  |  |
| Eagleridge Extn. to Platteville | Two Way | 58,000 | N/A |
| Wildhorse to Eagleridge Extn. | Two Way | 47,000 | N/A |
| US 50 to Wildhorse | NB | 34,000 | N/A |
|  | SB | 28,000 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 61,000 | N/A |

# 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave. 

B.2.8 Scenario 7 - Six-Lane Expressway with Pueblo Blvd. Extension and West Pueblo Connector

2035 Scenario 7A - Signalized Intersections at US 50 and Main McCulloch Blvd. and at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and West Pueblo Connector


Figure B-24. Scenario 7A Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-24, Scenario 7A includes:

- New traffic signals at:
- US 50 and Swallows Rd.
- US 50 and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Wildhorse Rd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Platteville Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchange at US 50 and Purcell Blvd.
- New grade-separated Parclo interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Loop on-ramps in northwest and southeast quadrants
- Two signalized intersections on US 50 at ramp terminals
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2035 Scenario 7B - Diamond Interchanges at US 50 and Main McCulloch Blvd. and at Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and West Pueblo Connector

## 2035 Scenario 7B



Figure B-25. Scenario 7B Schematic and Levels of Service

As shown in Figure B-25, Scenario 7B includes:

- New traffic signals at:
- US 50 and Swallows Rd.
- US 50 and West McCulloch Blvd.
- Purcell Blvd. and Joe Martinez Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Wildhorse Rd.
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Eagleridge Blvd. Extension
- Pueblo Blvd. Extension and Platteville Blvd.
- New grade-separated diamond interchanges at:
- US 50 and Main McCulloch Blvd.
- US 50 and Purcell Blvd.
- Pueblo Blvd. (SH 45) and West Pueblo Connector
- New grade-separated Parclo interchange at Pueblo Blvd. and US 50
- Pueblo Blvd. through movements are grade separated
- Loop on-ramps in northwest and southeast quadrants
- Two signalized intersections on US 50 at ramp terminals
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2035 Scenario 7 Traffic Patterns
Table B-12 shows 2035 Scenario 7 daily screenline volumes and Pueblo Blvd. Extension volumes
Table B-12. 2035 Scenario 7 Daily Screenline Volumes and Pueblo Blvd. Extension Volumes

| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Scenario 7 <br> Six-Lane Freeway Pueblo Blvd. Extn. and West Pueblo Connector | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| East of Purcell Blvd. |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. | Two Way | 31,000 | +154\% |
| US 50 | EB | 41,000 | -5\% |
|  | WB | 40,000 | -7\% |
|  | Two Way | 81,000 | -6\% |
| Joe Martinez Blvd. Extn. | EB | 5,000 | N/A |
|  | WB | 5,200 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 10,200 | N/A |
| Juniper Rd. | EB | 700 | -87\% |
|  | WB | 660 | -86\% |
|  | Two Way | 1,360 | -86\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 124,000 | +15\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 65\% | -14\% |
| East of Pueblo Blvg. (SH 45) |  |  |  |
| Platteville Blvd. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 15,000 | +58\% |
| Dillon Dr. (West of Elizabeth) | Two Way | 3,400 | -53\% |
| Eagleridge Blvd. Extn. | Two Way | 13,000 | N/A |
| US 50 | EB | 32,000 | -22\% |
|  | WB | 33,000 | -18\% |
|  | Two Way | 65,000 | -19\% |
| 24th St. | EB | 11,000 | +62\% |
|  | WB | 12,000 | +71\% |
|  | Two Way | 23,000 | +65\% |
| 18th St. | Two Way | 630 | -2\% |


| Roadway | Direction | 2035 Scenario 7 <br> Six-Lane Freeway <br> Pueblo Blvd. Extn. and West Pueblo Connector | Percent Change from No Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11th St. | EB | 4,900 | -13\% |
|  | WB | 4,700 | -6\% |
|  | Two Way | 9,600 | -9\% |
| SH 96 (Thatcher Ave.) | EB | 12,000 | -8\% |
|  | WB | 11,000 | -8\% |
|  | Two Way | 22,000 | -12\% |
| Screenline Total | Two Way | 139,000 | -5\% |
| US 50 Percent of Screenline | Two Way | 47\% | -8\% |
| Pueblo Blvd. Extension |  |  |  |
| Eagleridge Extn. to Platteville | Two Way | 59,000 | N/A |
| Wildhorse to Eagleridge Extn. | Two Way | 47,000 | N/A |
| US 50 to Wildhorse | NB | 34,000 | N/A |
|  | SB | 28,000 | N/A |
|  | Two Way | 61,000 | N/A |
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## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## B. 3 Level 3 Comparative Analysis of Intersection Options

## The TAT conducted Level 3 Comparative Analysis of Intersection Options during their meeting on June 2,

 2011, using the following materials. The materials are grouped by intersection, and for each intersection consis of a comparative table and a series of maps.The table shows intersection options as rows, with transportation-related outcomes in one column,
environmental and community impacts in another, financing and construction issues in a third column, and the environmental and community impacts in another, financing and construction issues in a third column, and the impacts corresponding to the least impact among intersection options or the best measure of effectiveness (for example, the least construction cost) are shown in green with a plus sign. Impacts corresponding to the greatest impact among intersection options or the least attractive measure of effectiveness are shown in blue with a minus sign.
The first map is a context map, which shows the intersection and vicinity, with current zoning; environmental features such as streams, wetlands, and floodplains; hazardous materials sites; and utilities. Each intersection option has a map, which overlays the context map with the roadway and construction footprint. The roadway footprint corresponds to the toe of slope beyond the roadway surface. The impact analysis assumed a 20 -foot construction footprint beyond the roadway footprint to allow for barrier placement, materials storage, and construction vehicle movement, for example.
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## Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Swallows Rd.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{aligned} & \text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectiven } \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { N } \\ \text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| SI-1 <br> Signalized Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Green time can be reserved for low volume movements <br> + Local access: No change from existing conditions <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions - signal assigns priority to pedestrians and bicyclists crossing US 50 <br> $\checkmark$ Safety: 9 conflict points including 3 crossings - same as existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - near ubiquitous application | + Land use: No additional right-of-way (ROW) required <br> $\checkmark$ Future land use compatibility: May be compatible with adjacent Rural Ranch use and conservation easements <br> + Parcels: No additional ROW required <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | $+\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost: Range of } \\ & \text { typical costs for this }\end{aligned}$ option is $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ - least of all intersection options <br> - Phasing: Must be built in a single phase | + Select as the preferred alternative <br> + No ROW impacts <br> + Least visual impact <br> + Least cost |
| SF-1 <br> Signalized Intersection with Flyover Ramp | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Grade separating a high-volume turning movement allows more signal green time to given to other movements <br> - Local access: Flyover ramp would also pass over the northern intersection of Greenhorn View Dr. with Swallows Rd., eliminating that access from westbound US 50 . Alternate access would be available about 2,500 feet to the south at the southern intersection of Greenhorn View Dr.. <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> + Safety: 7 conflict points including 1 crossings;- 2 fewer conflict points than existing - least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Few applications in Colorado but driving experience would be similar to diamond interchange with flyover | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Approximately 9 to 10 acres of ROW required outside existing CDOT ROW <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> $\checkmark$ Parcels: Impacts on 5 undeveloped parcels (4 zoned PUD or Rural Land Use Plan; 1 zoned agricultural) <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to \$5.5M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Inconsistent with future land use <br> - Highest ROW impacts <br> - More circuitous access |
| Dl-1 <br> Diamond Interchange | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to through movements on US 50 <br> Local access: <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Realignment of access north of US 50 about 1,800 feet east Swallows Rd. <br> - Closure of access north of US 50 about 1,000 feet west of Swallows Rd. - alternate access available 1,800 feet east of Swallows Rd. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> - Safety: 20 conflict points including 6 crossings - 11 more conflict points than existing - most crossing conflict points of all intersection options <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - used at several interchanges along I-25 in Pueblo | - Land use: Approximately 13 to 14 acres of ROW required outside existing CDOT ROW <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> $\checkmark$ Parcels: Impacts on 7 undeveloped parcels (3 zoned PUD or Rural Land Use Plan; 4 zoned agricultural) <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Inconsistent with future land use <br> - Highest ROW impacts <br> - Access closure <br> - Highest cost |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{array}{r} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}V=\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| DF-1 <br> Diamond Interchange with Flyover | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to any movements - fully grade-separated and access controlled <br> Local access: <br> - Flyover ramp would also pass over the northern intersection of Greenhorn View Dr. with Swallows Rd., eliminating that access from westbound US 50. Alternate access would be available about 2,500 feet to the south at the southern intersection of Greenhorn View Dr.. <br> + Realignment of access north of US 50 about 1,800 feet east of Swallows Rd. <br> - Closure of access north of US 50 about 1,000 feet west of Swallows Rd. - alternate access available 1,800 feet east of Swallows Rd. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 20 conflict points including 5 crossings - 11 more conflict points than existing - same number of total conflict points as Diamond Interchange <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - exists in Denver metropolitan area | - Land use: Approximately 13 to 14 acres of ROW required outside existing CDOT ROW <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> - Parcels: Impacts on 10 undeveloped parcels (6 zoned PUD or Rural Land Use Plan; 4 zoned agricultural) <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 30 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ - greatest of all intersection options <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Inconsistent with future land use <br> - Greatest visual impact <br> - Greatest impact on pedestrian and bicycle access <br> - Greatest number of parcels impacted <br> - Greatest cost <br> - Highest ROW impacts <br> - Access closure |
|  | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to US 50 through movements - Single traffic signal (or regulatory signs) for intersection of ramps and cross street | - Land use: Approximately 14 to 15 acres of ROW required outside existing CDOT ROW <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ to \$30M | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Inconsistent with future |
| SP-1 <br> Single-Point Urban Interchange | Local access: <br> $\checkmark$ Realignment of Greenhorn View Dr. north intersection to about 250 feet south of existing location <br> $\checkmark$ Realignment of access north of US 50 about 1,800 feet east of Swallows Rd. <br> - Closure of access north of US 50 about 1,000 feet west of Swallows Rd. - alternate access available 1,800 feet east of Swallows Rd. <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> + Safety: 7 conflict points including 1 crossings;- 2 fewer conflict points than existing - least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - existing interchange at $1-25$ and US 50 | - Total take of 1 undeveloped parcel zoned PUD or Rural Land Use Plan <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 6 undeveloped parcels ( 3 zoned PUD or Rural Land Use Plan; 3 zoned agricultural) <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels | - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Greatest noise impact <br> - Parcel takes <br> - Greatest acreage of ROW impacts <br> - Difficulty phasing <br> - High cost <br> - Access closure |

## Resources not differentiating among intersection options:

Streams, wetlands and floodplain - All intersection options avoid impacts on Turkey Creek
istoric properties - None were recorded
Community
Utilities - None found in vicinity of intersection
T\&E species - No habitat
Hazardous materials - No sites
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Swallows Road
Context Map

| Roadway Design | Waterways | Zoning |  | Business | Utilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configuration | Wla Floodplain | Agricultural |  |  |  | Sanitary Sewer |
| Roadway and Construction Footprint | Generalized Wetland |  | Industrial | Residential |  | Gas |
|  | Streams |  | Public Use | PUD/Rural <br> Land Use Plan | ------ | Electric Transmission |
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Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis
Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& West McCulloch Blvd.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=O \text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectiven } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T$ \& $E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| SI-2 <br> Signalized Intersection | $\checkmark$ LOS: Meets Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Green time can be reserved for low-volume movements <br> + Local access: No change from existing conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions - signal assigns priority to pedestrians and bicyclists crossing US 50 <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 9 conflict points including 3 crossings - same as existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - near ubiquitous application | + Land use: No additional right-of-way (ROW) required <br> + Parcels: No additional right-of-way (ROW) required <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Future land use compatibility: May be compatible with adjacent Country Ranch use and conservation easements. No future growth north of US 50 . <br> + Streams: No impacts <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | + Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 250,000$ - least of all intersection options <br> - Phasing: Must be built in a single phase | + Identify as preferred alternative <br> + No street or access closures <br> + Avoids land use or ROW impacts <br> + Least visual impact <br> + Least cost |
| SF-2 <br> Signalized Intersection with Flyover Ramp | $\checkmark$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Grade separating a high-volume turning movement allows more signal green time to be given to other movements <br> - Local access: Access to Calle de Estavan and McCulloch Place West closed, eliminating access to 5 undeveloped agricultural parcels; alternate access may be constructed through undeveloped parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions - signal assigns priority to pedestrians and bicyclists crossing US 50 <br> + Safety: 7 conflict points including 1 crossings;- 2 fewer conflict points than existing - least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Few applications in Colorado but driving experience would be similar to diamond interchange with flyover | $\checkmark$ Land use: 7 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> Parcels: <br> - Total take of 1 developed residential parcel (zoned agricultural) <br> $\checkmark$ Impacts on 2 developed residential parcels (zoned agricultural) and 1 undeveloped agricultural parcel <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> $\checkmark$ Streams: Approximately 200 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase or decrease in noise levels | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to \$5.5M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Property take to a residential parcel <br> - Access closures <br> - Incompatible with future land use requires construction on conservation easement |
| Dl-2 <br> Diamond Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to through movements on major roadway (US 50 or Pueblo Blvd.) <br> + Local access: No change from existing conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> - Safety: 20 conflict points including 6 crossings - 11 more conflict points than existing - most crossing conflict points of all intersection options <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - used at several interchanges along $\mathrm{I}-25$ in Pueblo | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: 10 to 15 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: Impacts on 2 undeveloped agricultural parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> - Streams: Approximately 400 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> + No street closures <br> + No access impacts <br> - Incompatible with future land use requires construction on conservation easement <br> - Greatest stream impacts |

Resources not differentiating among intersection options
Historic properties - None were recorded
Wetlands and floodplen
Historic properties - None were recorded
Wetlands and floodplain - None in vicinity of intersection
Utilities - All options have potential to disturb underground
Utilities - All options have potential to disturb underground gas lines currently parallel to US 50 eastbound lanes.
$T$ \& $E$ species - No habitat
T\&E species - No habitat
Hazardous materials - No sites
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=\begin{array}{c} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}V=\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| DF-2 <br> Diamond Interchange with Flyover | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to high-volume turning movement given flyover <br> - Local access: <br> - Access to Calle de Estavan and McCulloch Place West closed, eliminating access to one undeveloped agricultural parcel not acquired; alternate access may be constructed through undeveloped parcels <br> - Access to Calle de Camelia and McCulloch Place East closed; alternate access available through Calle Allegre and Calle del Sud <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\checkmark$ Safety: 20 conflict points including 5 crossings - 11 more conflict points than existing - same number of total conflict points as Diamond Interchange <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - exists in Denver metropolitan area | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: 8 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> Parcels: <br> - Total take of 3 developed and residential parcels (all zoned agricultural) and 4 undeveloped agricultural parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 1 developed residential parcel (zoned agricultural) and 4 undeveloped agricultural parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Streams: Approximately 300 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 30 \mathrm{M}$ to $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ - greatest of all intersection options <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases from signalized intersection with flyover ramp | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures <br> - Greatest number of parcel takes <br> - Incompatible with future land use requires construction on conservation easement <br> - Greatest visual impact <br> - Among highest cost |
| SP-2 <br> Single-Point Urban Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Single traffic signal for intersection of ramps and cross street <br> + Local access: No change from existing conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> + Safety: 7 conflict points including 1 crossings;- 2 fewer conflict points than existing - least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - existing interchange at $\mathrm{I}-25$ and US 50 | - Land use: 15 to 20 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: Impacts on 2 undeveloped agricultural parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with future land use - requires construction on conservation easement <br> $\checkmark$ Streams: Approximately 100 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ to \$30M <br> - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Considerable additional ROW requirement <br> - Incompatible with future land use requires construction on conservation easement <br> - Greatest noise impact <br> - Difficult to build in phases <br> - Among the highest cost |
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# 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave. 

Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis
Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Main McCulloch Blvd.


Resources not differentiating among intersection options: Historic properties - None were recorded Streams, wetlands and flood
$T \& E$ species - No habitat

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{aligned} & V=\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ & \text { effectiveness }\end{aligned} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| SP-3 <br> Single-Point Urban Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Single traffic signal for intersection of ramps and cross street <br> + Local access: This option would not disrupt local access roads that intersect Main McCulloch Blvd. <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\checkmark$ Safety: 24 conflict points (including 8 crossings); 8 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - existing interchange at $1-25$ and US 50 | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 3 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: Minor impacts on 4 business parcels (3 undeveloped and 1 developed) <br> + Future land use compatibility: Consistent with arterial commercial future mixed uses <br> + Community/business cohesion: Compatible with the existing and planned land uses and local road network <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels with US 50 on structure <br> + Hazardous materials: No impacts <br> - Utilities: Potential conflicts with gas line located in eastbound US 50 ROW through the interchange footprint. It also crosses an underground fiber optic line. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ to \$30M <br> - Phasing: Cannot be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Increases noise levels <br> - Cannot be built in phases <br> - Potential conflict with hazardous materials <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Minor impacts on parcels <br> - Potential conflict with utilities |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PC-3 } \\ \text { Partial } \\ \text { Cloverleaf } \end{gathered}$ | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: High-volume left turn movements accommodated with loop ramps rather than at signalized intersections <br> - Local access: This option would result in closure of a section of East Dunlap Dr. and two connecting local roads that provide access to business parcels in the southeast quadrant of the intersection <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 18 conflict points (including two crossings); 14 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Many applications elsewhere in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 7 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use. <br> - Parcels: <br> - Total takes of four (developed) parcels <br> - Impacts on 6 parcels (2 developed and 4 undeveloped) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Inconsistent with arterial commercial future mixed uses because the frontage access disruption on East Dunlap Dr. would reduce the long-term compatibility of this option with future arterial development in the southeast quadrant. <br> - Community/business cohesion: Disrupt the land use viability at the southeast quadrant of the main entrance to Pueblo West, due to the access closures and impacts on local businesses fronting on East Dunlap Dr.. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> + Hazardous materials: No impacts <br> - Utilities: Potential conflicts with gas line located in eastbound US 50 ROW through the interchange footprint. It also crosses an underground fiber optic line. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ to \$40M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Major impacts on developed parcels <br> - Access closures to the southeast quadrant <br> - Considered inconsistent with future planning due to access closures <br> - Reduction in community/business cohesion due to access closures and impacts on developed parcels <br> - Potential for hazardous material conflict |

Resources not differentiating among intersection options:
Historic properties - None were recorded
Streams, wetlands and flood
$T \& E$ species - No habitat

Note: Construction cost does not include cost of additional ROW acquisition
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=0 \text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectivene } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| TR-3 <br> Three-Level Roundabout | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - through movements bypass roundabout <br> - Local access: This option would result in 5 street and access closures within the southwest, southeast and northeast quadrants at the main entrance to Pueblo West would be affected by closures to East Dunlap Dr. and 2 local roads that provided access to business parcels in the southeast quadrant of the intersection. Additionally, the main access to WalMart in the northeast quadrant would be closed. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions; independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least conflict points of all intersection options <br> - Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar - no current application in Colorado - one in Louisiana | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 10 to 15 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use. <br> - Parcels: <br> - 12 total business property takes <br> - Impacts on 6 other business properties <br> - Future land use compatibility: Inconsistent with arterial commercial future mixed uses due to the loss of frontage access in the southwest, southeast and northeast quadrants. <br> - Community/business cohesion: A loss of land use viability at the main entrance to Pueblo West, due to the access closures and impact on the business community and investments made at the 3 developed quadrants of the intersection (NE, SW and SE). <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels <br> - Hazardous materials: Flyover ramp footprint may include an underground storage tank and 2 underground storage tanks with leaks at the Main McCulloch and Spaulding Ave. intersection <br> - Utilities: Potential conflicts with gas line located in eastbound US 50 ROW through the interchange footprint. It also crosses an underground fiber optic line. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 45 \mathrm{M}$ to \$55M <br> - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Access closures in 3 quadrants <br> - Major impacts on land use <br> - Among highest cost <br> - Considered inconsistent with future planning due to access closures <br> - Loss of land use viability at the entrance of Pueblo West <br> - Potential for hazardous material conflict <br> - Lack of flexibility for implementation |
| CT-3 <br> Two-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Meets Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Main road left turns share green phase through movements <br> + Local access: This option would not disrupt local access roads that intersect Main McCulloch Blvd. <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to or improved from current conditions <br> - Safety: 30 conflict points (including 14 crossings); 2 fewer conflict points than existing; greatest number of crossing points <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: New concept - one in use in Loveland | + Land use: Avoids land use impacts <br> + Parcels: No impacts on parcels <br> + Future land use compatibility: Compatible with arterial commercial future mixed uses <br> + Community/business cohesion: Supports existing and future community/business cohesion. <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> + Hazardous materials: No impacts on hazardous material sites <br> - Utilities: Potential conflicts with gas line located in eastbound US 50 ROW through the interchange footprint. It also crosses an underground fiber optic line. | + Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 3 \mathrm{M}$ to \$5M - least cost of all intersection options <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | + Retain for further analysis <br> + No parcel takes <br> + Least visual impacts <br> + Least cost <br> + Compatible with future planning |

Resources not differentiating among intersection options: Historic properties - None were recorded Streams, wetlands and flood

Hete: Construction cost does not include cost of additional ROW acquisition
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Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Purcell BIvd.


Resources not differentiating among intersection options:
Historic properties - None were recorded
General wetlands - All options had no wetland impacis
Floodloain - None in
Floodplain - None in vicinity of this intersection
Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cab
Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound lanes. Also crosses one 36 " sanitary sewer line
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectiven } \end{gathered}$ | reatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad$$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, TES species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| SP-4 <br> Single-Point Urban Interchange | $\checkmark$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Single traffic signal for intersection of ramps and cross street <br> - Local access: Access closure in southeast quadrant - primary access to a driveway <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 24 conflict points - including eight crossing - eight fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver Expectation: Familiar to drivers - existing interchange at $1-25$ and US 50 | + Land use: Requires approximately 0.5 to 1 acre outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use <br> + Parcels: Minor impacts on 2 undeveloped parcels <br> $\checkmark$ Future land use compatibility: Compatible with the planned Arterial Commercial Future Mixed Uses for the US 50 corridor between Purcell Blvd. and Main McCulloch Blvd. <br> $\checkmark$ Community/business cohesion: Compatible with the existing and planned land uses and local road network <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Streams: Approximately 500 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Dry Creek. <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels with US 50 on structure <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Close proximity to 1 underground storage tank in the northwest quadrant. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ \$30M <br> - Phasing: Can not be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Access closure to southeast quadrant <br> - Potential to increase noise <br> - Lack of phasing flexibility |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PC-4 } \\ \text { Partial } \\ \text { Cloverleaf } \end{gathered}$ | + LOS: Greatly Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: High-volume left turn movements accommodated with loop ramps rather than at signalized intersections <br> Local access: <br> - Access closure in southwest quadrant to E. Kimble Dr.; and access closure in southeast quadrant to a driveway. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Access modifications in northwest quadrant to Hailey Lane (Right-in/Right-out) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 18 conflict points (including 2 crossings); 14 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Many applications elsewhere in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 9 acres outside CDOT ROW; impact on lands zoned for mixed residential, multi-residential, business, agricultural, and public use <br> Parcels: <br> - Two total takes of developed parcels <br> - Two total takes of undeveloped parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impact on 2 additional undeveloped parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Inconsistent with planned Arterial Commercial Future Mixed Uses due to access impacts <br> - Community/business cohesion: The loss of access would reduce community/business cohesion <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Streams: Approximately 860 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Dry Creek. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflict with 1 underground storage tank in the northeast quadrant and in close proximity to 1 underground storage tank in the northwest quadrant. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ to \$40M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Access closures to southeast, southwest and northwest quadrants <br> - Considered inconsistent with future planning due to access closures <br> - Reduction in community/business cohesion <br> - Moderate land use impacts |

[^2]50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend:

| $-=\text { Option with }$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, TES species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| PF-4 <br> Partial Cloverleaf with Flyovers | + LOS: Greatly Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated; no signals / directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) travel for high-volume left turning movements <br> - Local access: Four access closures: <br> - Southwest quadrant - Kimble Dr. to Wendy's <br> - Southeast quadrant - Driveway <br> - Northwest quadrant - Hailey Lane to Walgreens <br> - Northeast quadrant - N. Market Plaza to Safeway and McDonalds <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions; independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | - Land use: Requires approximately 30 to 35 acres outside CDOT ROW; impact on lands zoned for mixed residential, multi-residential, business, and public use <br> Parcels: <br> - 10 total takes of developed parcels <br> - 4 total takes of undeveloped parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 2 undeveloped parcels and on 3 developed parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Future Mixed Uses due to the extensive loss of access all quadrants <br> - Community/business cohesion: The loss of access and developed commercial parcels would eliminate community/business cohesion at this intersection <br> - Streams: Approximately $1,260 \mathrm{ft}$. of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Dry Creek. <br> - Visual: Among the greatest potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with 2 underground storage tanks in the northeast quadrant and 1 underground storage tank in the northwest quadrant. Potential conflict with 1 underground storage tank leak in the southeast quadrant. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 40 \mathrm{M}$ to \$45M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest land use impacts and considerable parcels takes <br> - Among the greatest visual impact <br> - Access closure in the all four quadrants <br> - Incompatible with future planning due to extensive loss of access <br> - Elimination of community/business cohesion <br> - Among the highest cost |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Neeutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, TES species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| FS-4 <br> Four-Level Stack Interchange | + LOS: Greatly Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated; no signals / directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) / travel for all turning movements <br> - Local access: 6 access closures including: <br> - Southwest quadrant - E. Kimble Dr. and S. Tiffany Dr. <br> - Southeast quadrant - E. Mallon Dr. and driveway <br> - Northwest quadrant - Hailey Lane <br> - Northeast quadrant - N. Market Plaza <br> These access closures would effectively eliminate access to commercial development in the northwest quadrant and greatly reduce access to commercial development in the other quadrants at this intersection. Closure of East Mallon Dr. would also reduce access to residential properties in the southeast quadrant area. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions; independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | $\checkmark$ Land use: Requires approximately 7 acres outside CDOT ROW; impact on lands zoned for business and public use <br> Parcels: <br> - 11 total takes of developed parcels <br> - 4 total takes of undeveloped parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 5 undeveloped parcels and 1 developed parcel <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Future Mixed Uses due to the extensive loss of access all quadrants <br> - Community/business cohesion: The loss of access and developed commercial parcels would eliminate community/business cohesion at this intersection <br> - Streams: Approximately $1,500 \mathrm{ft}$. of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Dry Creek. <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact - highest level of visual contrast to setting and viewers due to high profile <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflict for 1 underground storage tank in the northeast quadrant and in close proximity to 1 underground storage tank in the northwest quadrant Potential conflict with 1 underground storage tank leak in the southeast quadrant. | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ to \$75M - highest cost <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest number of developed parcel takes <br> - Greatest number of access closures in all quadrants <br> - Greatest stream impacts <br> - Greatest visual impact <br> - Highest cost <br> - Incompatible with future planning due to extensive loss of access <br> - Elimination of community/business cohesion |

[^3]

|  | 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallou | ows Rd. to Baltimore Ave |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| $-=\begin{array}{r} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad$$V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$+=0$ Opit | + = Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, TES species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| DD-4 <br> Diverging <br> Diamond <br> Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Left turns are free or yield-controlled movements to and from ramps / accommodates large left turning volumes <br> - Local access: Four access closures including: <br> - Southwest quadrant - Kimble Dr. (west side of Purcell) - alternate access would be Spaulding Ave. to Tiffany Dr. <br> - Southeast quadrant - a driveway (east side of Purcell) - No alternate access exists <br> - Southeast quadrant - business access (Alternate access from Mallon Dr.) <br> - Northwest quadrant - Hailey Lane - access from northbound Purcell eliminated <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 18 conflict points (including 2 crossings); 14 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar to drivers. Reversed travel directions may be disorienting; none in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 4 acres outside CDOT ROW; impact on lands zoned for business and public use <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: Impacts on 2 developed parcels and 7 undeveloped parcels <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with arterial commercial future mixed uses due to the loss of access <br> - Community/business cohesion: The loss of access in the southwest, southeast and northwest would greatly reduce community cohesion <br> $\checkmark$ Streams: 650 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Dry Creek. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflict for 1 underground storage tank in the northeast quadrant and in close proximity to 1 underground storage tank in the northwest quadrant Potential conflict with 1 underground storage tank leak in the southeast quadrant. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases from a conventional diamond interchange | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Access closures in all four quadrants <br> - Incompatible with future planning due to access closures <br> - Greatly reduces community/business cohesion |
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Purcell Boulevard

Context Map

| Roadway Design <br> Roadway and <br> Construction Footprint | Zoning |  | Office |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Waterwa | Agricultural | Business |  |
| Floodplain | Industrial | Residential |  |
| Streams | Public Use | PUD/Rural <br> Land Use Plan |  |

Underground Storage Tank Leater
Underground Storage Tanks
RCRA Generator Sites
Utilities
Underground Fiber
------ Electric Transmission
"numen Water Transmission


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.



Purcell Boulevard

Diamond (DI-4)

| Roadway Design | Zoning |  | Office |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway and Construction Footprint |  | Agricultural | Business |
| Waterways |  | Industrial | Residential |
| WIIA Floodplain |  | Public Use | PUD/Rural |
| Streams |  |  | Land Use Plan |

UazMat
Underground Storage Tank Le
RCRA Generator Sites
Utilities
$\qquad$

- Underground Fiber
------ Electric Transmission
"numum Water Transmission
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Purcell Boulevard

Partial Cloverleaf (PC-4)

| Roadway Design |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Roadway and <br> Construction Footprint | Zoning |  | Office |
| Waterways | Agricultural | Business |  |
| Floodplain |  | Industrial | Residential |
| Streams | Public Use | PUD/Rural <br> Land Use Plan |  |

Underground Storage Tank Le
Underground Storage Tanks
RCRA Generator Sites
Utilities
Underground Fiber
------ Electric Transmission
"unu|e Water Transmission
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| Purcell Boulevard <br> Partial Cloverleaf w/ Flyovers (PF-4) | Roadway Design$\qquad$ Roadway and Construction Footprint Waterways | Agricultural <br> Industrial <br> Public Use | Office  <br> Business  <br> Residential  <br> PUD/Rural <br> Land Use Plan Underground Storage Tank Leak <br> RCRA Generator Sites  |  | Utilities $\square$ Gas $\square$ Underground Fiber <br> Electric Transmission | JTS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Floodplain |  |  |  |  | lity $\begin{aligned} & \text { and } G \\ & \text { Citat }\end{aligned}$ | ed from, | 2011), ty GIS (2011). |
|  | - Streams |  |  |  | 0 |  | 510 | 1,020 ${ }^{\text {Feet }}$ |
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Purcell Boulevard

Diverging Diamond (DD-4)

| Roadway Design | Zoning |  | Office |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway and Construction Footprint |  | Agricultural | Business |
| Waterways |  | Industrial | Residential |
| WIn Floodplain |  | Public Use | PUD/Rur |
| Streams |  |  | Land Use Pla |

HazMat
Underground Storage Tank Leak Underground Storage Tanks

- RCRA Generator Sites


UtilitiesGas
------ Electric Transmission
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Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis
Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Pueblo BIvd.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}V=\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered} \quad+=$ option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { PC-5 } \\ \text { Partial } \\ \text { Cloverleaf } \end{gathered}$ | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: High-volume left turn movements accommodated with loop ramps rather than at signalized intersections <br> Local access: <br> + No access closures <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Realignment of Capri Circle due to westbound exit ramp - access to future zoned development <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Realignment of Wild Horse Rd. - access to CDOT maintenance located at northwest quadrant <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 18 conflict points (including two crossings); 14 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Many applications elsewhere in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 3 acres outside CDOT right-of-way (ROW) including lands zoned for public use. <br> + Parcels: Impacts on 1 parcel (CDOT maintenance facility) <br> $\checkmark$ Streams: $2,800 \mathrm{ft}$. of stream relocation for Williams Creek <br> $\sqrt{ }$ General wetlands: 0.8 acre of wetlands <br> + Floodplain: Approximately 2.5 acres of floodplain impact based on FEMA <br> + Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 35 \mathrm{M}$ to \$40M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | + Retain for further analysis <br> + Has least impacts on floodplains <br> + Minimizes impacts on parcels <br> + Avoids impacts on business <br> + Requires no access closures <br> + Minimizes visual impacts <br> + Offers phasing flexibility <br> + Has moderate cost <br> + Familiar interchange type |
| PF-5 <br> Partial <br> Cloverleaf <br> with <br> Flyovers | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) travel for high-volume left turning movements <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Local access: <br> + Disruption to Bahama Dr. due to eastbound exit ramp - eliminates access to 7 parcels in southwest quadrant of interchange <br> + Disruption to Capri Circle due to westbound exit ramp - eliminates access to future zoned development <br> + Realignment of Wild Horse Rd. - access to CDOT maintenance located at northwest quadrant <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 4 to 5 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use - among the greatest impacts to uses <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: Impacts on 9 parcels ( 1 agricultural, 2 businesses and 6 public use, including CDOT maintenance facility) <br> - Streams: $3,850 \mathrm{ft}$. of stream relocation for Williams Creek and Wild Horse Creek; greatest impact on streams <br> $\sqrt{ }$ General wetlands: 0.9 acre of wetlands <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Floodplain: Approximately 3 acres of floodplain impacts based on FEMA <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Among the greatest potential for visual impact | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 40 \mathrm{M}$ to \$45M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest stream and wetland impacts <br> - Among the greatest floodplain impacts <br> - Among the greatest impacts on land use and parcels <br> - Among the greatest visual impact |
|  | Single-Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) option does not meet the minimum LOS criterion with the Pueblo Blvd. Extension. However, ut the Pueblo Blvd. Extension, the SPUI operates at acceptable LOS and avoids all land use, stream, wetland, and floodplain impacts. | Resources not differentiating among intersection options: <br> Future land use compatibility - All options are consistent with future mixed use <br> Historic properties - None were recorded <br> Community/business cohesion - 3 undeveloped quadrants <br> Noise - No sensitive receptors at this intersection <br> Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound <br> T \& E species - No habitat <br> Hazardous materials - No sites | Note: Construction cost does additional ROW acquisition <br> nes. Also crosses one 36 " sa | art include cost of ary sewer line. |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} -= \\ \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | Disposition and Rationale |
| FS-5 <br> Four-Level <br> Stack Interchange | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) travel for all turning movements <br> - Local access: <br> - Bahama Dr. - Access eliminated <br> - Capri Dr. - Access eliminated <br> - Wild Horse Rd. - Access eliminated <br> - Baker Steamer Rd. - Access eliminated <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions; independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | - Land use: Requires approximately 5 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use - the greatest impacts to uses <br> - Parcels: <br> - Total take of the CDOT maintenance facility <br> - Impacts on 7 other parcels (2 businesses and 5 public uses) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Streams: 3,000 ft. of stream relocation for Williams Creek and Wild Horse Creek <br> - General wetlands: 1.3 acres of wetlands; greatest impact on wetlands <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Floodplain: Approximately 3 acres of floodplain impacts based on FEMA <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact - highest level of visual contrast to setting and viewers due to high profile | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ to \$75M; highest cost <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest impacts on local access, land use, and parcels <br> - Greatest visual impacts <br> - Greatest impact on pedestrian and bicycle access <br> - Extensive impacts on streams and wetlands <br> - Among greatest impact on CDOT maintenance facility <br> - Highest cost |
| TR-5 <br> Three-Level Roundabout | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - through movements bypass roundabout <br> - Local access: Same as Four-Level Stack Interchange <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar - no current application in Colorado - one in Louisiana | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Requires approximately 2 to 3 acres outside CDOT ROW, including lands zoned for business and public use <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Parcels: <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Total take of the CDOT maintenance facility <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 3 parcels (3 public use, including CDOT maintenance facility) <br> $\checkmark$ Streams: $1,350 \mathrm{ft}$. of stream relocation for Williams Creek and Wild Horse Creek <br> $\sqrt{ }$ General wetlands: 0.6 acre of wetlands <br> - Floodplain: Approximately 2 acres of floodplain impacts based on FEMA - greatest impact on floodplain <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Among the greatest potential for visual impact | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 45 \mathrm{M}$ to \$55M <br> - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest impacts on floodplain <br> - Greatest impacts on local access <br> - Greatest impact on pedestrian and bicycle access <br> - Among greatest impact on CDOT maintenance facility <br> - Among the greatest visual impact <br> - Lack of flexibility for implementation <br> - Among the highest cost options |
|  | Single-Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) option does not meet the minimum LOS criterion with the Pueblo Blvd. Extension. However, ut the Pueblo Blvd. Extension, the SPUI operates at acceptable LOS and avoids all land use, stream, wetland, and floodplain impacts. | Resources not differentiating among intersection options: <br> Future land use compatibility - All options are consistent with future mixed use <br> Historic properties - None were recorded <br> Community/business cohesion - 3 undeveloped quadrants <br> Noise - No sensitive receptors at this intersection <br> Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound lat <br> T\&E species - No habitat <br> Hazardous materials - No sites | te: Construction cost does ditional ROW acquisition <br> . Also crosses one 36 " sa | include cost of <br> ary sewer line. |
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## Legend:



[^4]Resources not differentiating among intersection options:
Future land use compatibility - All options
Historic properties - None were recorded
Communityl/business cohesion -3 undeveloped quas
Noise - No sensitive receptors at this intersection
Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound lanes. Also crosses one 36 " sanitary sewer line $T \& E$ species - No habitat
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| Pueblo Boulevard | Roadway DesignRoadway Footprint <br> Construction Footprint <br> Waterways | Agricultural <br> Industrial <br> Public Use | Office | HazMat | Utilities | JPS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Underground Storage Tank Leak <br> Underground Storage Tanks | Sanitary Sewer Gas |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Business |  |  | Map Info: Map created by J.F. Sato on 04.28.2011 |  |  |  |
| Diverging Diamond (DD-5) | Floodplain |  | Residential |  | Underground Fiber | Pueblo City GIS (2011), Pueblo County GIS (2011), and Goodbee and Associates (2011). |  |  |  |
|  | Streams |  | PUD/RULP | RCRA Generator Sites | Electric Transmission |  | 255 | 510 | ${ }_{1,020}$ |
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# 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave. 

Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Wills BIvd.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T$ \& E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise, and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| SI-6 <br> Signalized Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Meets Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Green time can be reserved for low volume movements <br> + Local access: No change from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 32 conflict points (including 16 crossings) same number of conflict points as existing <br> + Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - near ubiquitous application | + Land use: No additional right-of-way (ROW) required <br> + Parcels: No additional ROW required <br> + Future land use compatibility: Compatible with future land use <br> + Community/business cohesion: Supports community and business cohesion <br> + Streams: None in existing intersection ROW <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: N/A | + Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 200,000$ to $\$ 250,000$; little or no additional cost required to upgrade from existing conditions <br> - Phasing: Must be built as a single phase | + Identify for preferred alternative <br> + No access closures <br> + Compatible with existing and future land use <br> + Avoids land use impacts - no ROW requirements or parcel impacts <br> + Least visual impact <br> + Least cost |
| SF-6 <br> Signalized Intersection with Flyover Ramp | $\checkmark$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Grade separating a high-volume turning movement allows more signal green time to be given to other movements <br> - Local access: 1 street closure, 1 access closure and 1 modification involving northeast and southwest quadrants of interchange <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to car dealership in southwest quadrant closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. west of Wills Blvd. closed, eliminating access to 6 undeveloped parcels (zoned business); alternate access might be constructed from US 50 through 1 parcel. <br> $\checkmark$ Westroads Ave. north of US 50 modified to right-in only <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 28 conflict points (including 12 crossings); 4 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Few applications in Colorado but driving experience would be similar to diamond interchange with flyover | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: 5 to 6 acres required beyond existing CDOT right-of-way (ROW) Parcels: <br> - Total take of 1 developed business parcel (car dealership in northeast quadrant) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 4 additional developed parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\checkmark$ Impacts on 1 undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to two car dealerships in northeast and southwest quadrants, and impacts on residential community in northeast quadrant <br> - Community/business cohesion: There would be a disruption to the local community cohesion as a result of access and parcel impacts within 2 quadrants of the intersection quadrants <br> + Streams: None in intersection footprint <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> + Noise: Potential to decrease noise levels because retaining walls for flyover ramp will act as noise walls <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with an underground storage tank leak in northeast quadrant, and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW near Baltimore Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to \$5.5M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases; the flyover may be built in second phase | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Access impacts on existing dealerships and undeveloped commercial parcels, and residential parcels <br> - Southwest quadrant would become undevelopable due to access impacts <br> - Access closure landlocks 6 parcels <br> - Incompatible with future arterial commercial planning along US 50 |

Resources not differentiating among intersection options:
Wesources sotd ferentaing among inersecilon optons.
Wetlands and floodplain - None in vicinity of intersection
Historic properies Historic properties - None were recorded
Utilities - Underground fiber optic cable within US 50 ROW

50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectiven } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad$$V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness <br> $+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness <br> $\mathbf{s}$ |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise, and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| DI-6 <br> Tight Urban Diamond Interchange | $\checkmark$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to through movements on major roadway (US 50 or Pueblo Blvd.) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Local access: 6 access closures and 1 access modification involving all 4 quadrants on interchange <br> + No street closures <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Traffic entering westbound US 50 from Westroads Ave. must take exit ramp to Wills Blvd. <br> - Entrances from US 50 to two businesses in northwest quadrant closed <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to car dealership in northeast quadrant closed <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to car dealership in southwest quadrant closed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Access to Hopi Dr. closed in southwest quadrant; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Access to Westroads Ave. south of US 50 closed in southwest quadrant; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. <br> - Access to businesses closed in southeast quadrant <br> $\checkmark$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 30 conflict points (including 10 crossings); 2 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers with applications elsewhere in Colorado - many applications on $\mathrm{I}-25$ in Pueblo | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: 9 to 10 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - 2 total takes due to loss of parking <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 8 developed parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 2 undeveloped parcels (both zoned business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: This option would result in a reduced compatibility with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to two car dealerships in northeast and southwest quadrants, and impacts on residential community in northeast quadrant <br> - Community/business cohesion: There would be a moderate disruption to the local community/business cohesion as a result of access and parcel impacts within 2 of the intersection quadrants. <br> + Streams: None in intersection footprint <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels because US 50 passes over Wills Blvd. <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with an underground storage tank leak in northeast quadrant, and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW near Baltimore Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration (unless local improvement projects are not built by the design year of 2035; may reconsider during NEPA clearance) <br> + Avoids street closure(s) <br> + Compatible with a Tight Urban Diamond Interchange at Baltimore Ave. <br> + Minimizes parcel impacts <br> - Reduced compatibility with planned land use due to access impacts <br> - Potential to increase noise levels |
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## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=O \text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectivenes } \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=\begin{gathered}\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T$ \& E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise, and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| PF-6 <br> Partial Cloverleaf with Flyovers | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) travel for high-volume left turning movements <br> - Local access: Greatest access impacts - 12 street closures involving all of the quadrants of the interchange. Greatest number of closures <br> - Access to Newcastle Dr. closed; alternate access available from Wheatland Dr. <br> - Access to Crownridge Dr. closed; alternate access available from Wheatland Dr. <br> - Access to West Dr. from South Dr. closed; alternate access available from North Dr. <br> - Access to South Dr. west of Wills Blvd. closed.; alternate access available from Wheatland Dr. <br> - Access to South Dr. east of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Valley Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed; alternate access available from Baltimore Ave. (unless disrupted by improvements there) <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north of US 50 closed; alternate access available from Aztec Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to undeveloped parcel in southeast quadrant closed <br> - Access to Hopi Dr. closed; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. south of US 50 closed; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. west of Wills Blvd. closed, eliminating access to 6 undeveloped parcels (zoned business); alternate access might be constructed from US 50 through 1 parcel. <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Baltimore Ave. (unless disrupted by improvements there) <br> - Interchange footprint extends farther south than existing paved Wills Blvd. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | - Land use: Among the greatest land use impacts - 40 to 50 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> Parcels: <br> - Among the greatest total take of 37 developed parcels ( 11 business and 26 residential) <br> - Total take of 4 undeveloped parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 9 additional developed parcels ( 6 business and 3 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 7 undeveloped parcels ( 5 business and 2 residential) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to businesses in each quadrant, and impacts on residential community north of US 50 <br> - Community/business cohesion: There would be a loss of business cohesion as a result of access and parcel impacts in each quadrant of the intersection <br> - Streams: Approximately 300 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch south of the business area <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact <br> $\checkmark$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with 1 underground storage tank leak in northwest quadrant and another near Baltimore Ave., and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW also near Baltimore Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 40 \mathrm{M}$ to \$45M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Greatest number of access closures <br> - Among the greatest number of developed business and residential parcels taken <br> - Incompatible with existing and future commercial and residential uses due to loss of access and parcel takes in all 4 quadrants <br> - Among the greatest land use impacts <br> - Among the greatest for access impacts <br> - Among the greatest impact on local drainage ditch <br> - Among the greatest visual impact |


|  | 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swa | ows Rd. to Baltimore Ave |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=\text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectivene } \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$+=0$ | $+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T$ \& E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise, and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| FS-6 <br> Four-Level Stack Interchange | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) / travel for all turning movements <br> - Local access: Among the greatest for access impacts - 10 closures, involving all quadrants of the interchange <br> - Access to Crownridge Dr. closed; alternate access available from Newcastle Dr. <br> - Access to South Dr. west of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Newcastle Dr. <br> - Access to South Dr. east of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Aztec Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed; alternate access available from Baltimore Ave. (unless disrupted by improvements there) <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north of US 50 closed; alternate access available from Aztec Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to undeveloped parcel in southeast quadrant closed <br> - Access to Hopi Dr. closed; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. south of US 50 closed; alternate access available from Kachina Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. west of Wills Blvd. Closed, eliminating access to 6 undeveloped parcels (zoned business); alternate access might be constructed from US 50 through 1 parcel. <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east of Wills Blvd. Closed; alternate access available from Baltimore Ave. (unless disrupted by improvements there) <br> - Interchange footprint extends as far south as existing paved Wills Blvd. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | - Land use: Among the greatest land use impacts - 40 to 50 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> Parcels: <br> - Total take of 30 developed parcels ( 10 business and 20 residential) <br> - Total take of 4 undeveloped parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 6 additional developed parcels (5 business and 1 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 7 undeveloped parcels (all zoned business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to businesses in each quadrant, and impacts on residential community north of US 50 <br> - Community/business cohesion: There would be a loss of business cohesion as a result of access and parcel impacts in each quadrant of the intersection <br> - Streams: Approximately 300 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch south of the business area <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact - highest level of visual contrast to setting and viewers due to high profile <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase and decrease in noise levels at various areas <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with 1 underground storage tank leak in northwest quadrant and another near Baltimore Ave., and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW also near Baltimore Ave. | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ to \$75M; highest cost <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Among the greatest land use impacts <br> - Involves extensive business and residential property takes <br> - Extensive access impacts on businesses and residents <br> - Among the greatest for access impacts <br> - Greatest visual impacts <br> - Among the greatest impact on local drainage ditch <br> - Highest cost |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{array}{r} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad$$V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T$ \& E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise, and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| CT-6 <br> Two-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Main road left turns share green phase with through movements <br> Local access: Among the least access impacts -1 business/residential street closure and 1 access modification <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed; alternate access available from Westroads Ave. <br> $\checkmark$ Wills Blvd. entrance to car dealership in southwest quadrant modified to right-in, right-out (RIRO) only <br> + Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 30 conflict points (including 14 crossings); 2 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar - new concept - one in use in Loveland | $\checkmark$ Land use: 9 to 10 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Future land use compatibility: Moderate compatibility with future land use Parcels: <br> - Total take of 5 developed parcels (3 business and 2 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 11 additional developed parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 3 undeveloped parcels (all zoned business) | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 3 \mathrm{M}$ to \$5M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | Discontinue from further consideration unless local improvement projects are not built by the design year of 2035; may reconsider during NEPA clearance Minimizes parcel takes and land use impacts |
|  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ Future land use compatibility: Compatibility with future Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential is reduced due to local access closure and access modifications. Continuous traffic flow pattern may be inconsistent with current local business access and future planning. |  | Limited access impacts Least visual impacts Moderate potential to increase noise levels |
|  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ Community/business cohesion: Residential and business cohesion is reduced as a result of access and parcel impacts. <br> + Streams: None in intersection footprint <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Moderate potential to increase noise levels <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with an underground storage tank leak in northwest quadrant, and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW also near Baltimore Ave. |  | Among the lowest cost options |
| CF-6 <br> Four-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Left turns share a green phase with corresponding through movements <br> - Local access: 5 closures involving all quadrants of the interchange <br> - Access to South Dr. west of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Wheatland Dr. <br> - Access to South Dr. east of Wills Blvd. closed; alternate access available from Valley Dr. (unless disrupted by improvements at Baltimore Ave.) <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed; alternate access available from Baltimore Ave. (unless disrupted by improvements there) <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to car dealership in southwest quadrant closed <br> - Wills Blvd. entrance to undeveloped parcel in southeast quadrant closed <br> + Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 28 conflict points (including 12 crossings); 4 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar - no current application in Colorado | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: 16 to 18 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 12 developed parcels (3 business and 9 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 12 additional developed parcels (all zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 7 undeveloped parcels (all zoned business) <br> Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to businesses in each quadrant, and impacts on residential community north of US 50. Continuous traffic flow pattern may be inconsistent with current local business access and future planning. <br> - Community/business cohesion: There would be a loss of business cohesion as a result of access and parcel impacts in each quadrant of the intersection <br> + Streams: None in intersection footprint <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Moderate potential to increase noise levels <br> - Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with 2 underground storage tank leaks in northwest quadrant and near Baltimore Ave., and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator and hazardous material spill site in the US 50 ROW also near Baltimore Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to \$10M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Incompatible with planned Arterial Commercial Mixed Uses and Urban Residential, due to loss of access to businesses in each quadrant, and impacts on residential community north of US 50 . <br> - Marginal traffic operations improvement from Two-Leg CFI does not justify additional land use impacts or cost |
|  | : Impacts of intersection options at Wills Blvd. are calculated in isolation; that is, assuming no action at Baltimore Ave." | Resources not differentiating among intersection options: <br> Wetlands and floodplain - None in vicinity of intersection Historic properties - None were recorded <br> Utilities - Underground fiber optic cable within US 50 ROW T \& E species - No habitat | Note: Construction cost does additional ROW acquisition | include cost of |

Utilities - Undes None were recorded
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Wills Boulevard

| Roadway Design $\qquad$ Roadway and <br> Construction Footprint Waterways | Zoning |  | Offic | HazMat |  | Utilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | - | RCRA Small Quantity Generator |  | Sanitary Sewer |
|  |  |  | Residential | A | RCRA Corrective Action |  | Gas |
| CIIN Floodplain |  |  |  |  | Underground Storage Tank Leak |  | Underground Fiber |
| Streams |  |  | Land Use Plan |  | Hazardous Material Spill |  | sion |
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# 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave. 

## Level 3 Environmental Comparative Analysis Comparison of Intersection Options - US 50 \& Baltimore Ave.

## Legend:



50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{gathered} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{gathered}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{aligned} & V=\begin{array}{l}\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{array}\end{aligned}+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation |  | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| DI-7 <br> Tight Urban Diamond Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Meets Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: No delay to through movements on major roadway (US 50 or Pueblo Blvd.) <br> Local access: <br> - 2 road closures: Southwest quadrant - Access to Hopi Dr. closed and access to Westroads Ave. south of US 50 closed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Access modification: Northeast quadrant - Entrance between Ridge Dr. and Fortino Blvd. (Walgreens, Chase Bank) converted to right-in only <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Northwest quadrant - Westroads Ave. north of US 50 converted to right-in only <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 30 conflict points (including 10 crossings); 2 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - used at several interchanges along l-25 in Pueblo |  | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Approximately 4 to 5 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 3 developed parcels (all business). <br> $\checkmark$ Impacts on 19 additional developed parcels (all business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Reduced compatibility with future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use due to access impacts and loss of developed business parcels | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration (unless local improvement projects are not built by the design year of 2035; may reconsider during NEPA clearance) |
|  |  |  | - Community/business cohesion: Disruption to community and business cohesion due to access impacts and land use impacts <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels because US 50 passes over Baltimore Ave. |  | + Minimizes the area of the footprint and ROW impacts in comparison to the other interchange options |
|  |  |  | $\checkmark$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, an underground storage tank leak, and hazardous material spill site in proximity to the Baltimore Ave. intersection; and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection. |  | - Still results in street and business access closures and parcel takes due to the lane configuration |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ Compatible with TUDI at Wills Blvd. |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{array}{r} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness | + = Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, T\&E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| SP-7 <br> Single-Point Urban Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Single traffic signal for intersection of ramps and cross street <br> - Local access: 8 road closures in all quadrants: <br> - Access to Hopi Dr. closed <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north and south of US 50 closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east of Baltimore Ave. closed <br> - Access to Ridge Dr. closed <br> - Access to Valley Dr. closed <br> - Entrance between Ridge Dr. and Fortino Blvd. (Walgreens, Chase Bank) closed <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 24 conflict points (including 8 crossings); 8 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: Familiar to drivers - existing interchange at l-25 and US 50 | $\checkmark$ Land use: Approximately 8 to 9 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 25 developed parcels (10 business and 15 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 22 additional developed parcels ( 15 business and 7 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 2 undeveloped parcels (both business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Community/business cohesion: This option would result in loss of community and business cohesion due to loss of local access in all quadrants of the interchange, and extensive loss of business and residential properties <br> $\checkmark$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels because US 50 passes over Baltimore Ave. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, an underground storage tank leaks, and hazardous material spill site in proximity to the Baltimore Ave. intersection; a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection; and a RCRA Corrective Action site near the intersection of Baltimore Ave. and South Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$ to \$30M <br> - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures <br> - Substantial access closures <br> - Impacts on existing businesses and residences including street access closures and parcel takes <br> - Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Potential to increase noise levels |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=\text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectivene } \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=\begin{gathered}\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{gathered} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Comparison } \\ & \text { Criteria } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, T\&E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| FS-7 <br> Four-Level <br> Stack Interchange | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - directional ramps allow high-speed ( 45 mph ) travel for all turning movements <br> - Local access: 14 road closures in all quadrants: <br> - Access to Hopi Dr. closed <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north and south of US 50 closed <br> - Entrance south of Kachina Dr. west of Baltimore Ave. (north of Centennial High School track) closed <br> - Access to Northmoor Terrace closed <br> - Access to Ridge Dr. closed <br> - Entrance between Ridge Dr. and Fortino Blvd. (Walgreens, Chase Bank) closed <br> - Morris Ave. and Fortino Blvd. grade-separated - access to US 50 closed <br> - Access to South Dr. closed <br> - Valley Dr. closed between Baltimore Ave. and Ridge Dr. <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east and west of Baltimore Ave. closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east of Morris Ave. closed <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: Applications elsewhere in Colorado | - Land use: Approximately 40 to 50 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 72 developed parcels ( 25 business and 47 residential) <br> - Total take of 1 undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 18 additional developed parcels ( 10 business, 7 residential and 1 public, Centennial High School track) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 1 additional undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Community/business cohesion: Greatest disruption to community and business cohesion due to loss of access in all quadrants of the intersection, and extensive loss of business and residential properties <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact - highest level of visual contrast to setting and viewers due to high profile <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Noise: Possible increase or decrease in noise levels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, 2 underground storage tank leaks, and hazardous material spill site in proximity to the Baltimore Ave. intersection; a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection; and a RCRA Corrective Action site near the intersection of Baltimore Ave. and South Ave. | - Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 65 \mathrm{M}$ to \$75M; highest cost <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures in all quadrants <br> - Greatest number of developed residential properties taken <br> - Greatest number of developed business properties taken <br> - Incompatible with current and future land use <br> - Greatest disruption to community and business cohesion due to loss of access in all quadrants of the intersection, and extensive loss of business and residential properties <br> - Greatest number of access closures involving each quadrant of the interchange <br> - Greatest potential for visual impacts <br> - Highest cost |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & -=\begin{array}{c} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad \begin{gathered}V=\begin{array}{c}\text { Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of } \\ \text { effectiveness }\end{array}\end{gathered} \quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| TR-7 <br> Three-Level <br> Roundabout | + LOS: Greatly exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Fully grade-separated - no signals - through movements bypass roundabout <br> - Local access: 12 road closures in all quadrants: <br> - Access to Hopi Dr. closed <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north and south of US 50 closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east and west of Baltimore Ave. closed <br> - Entrance south of Kachina Dr. west of Baltimore Ave. (north of Centennial High School track) closed <br> - Access to Northmoor Terrace closed <br> - Access to Ridge Dr. closed <br> - Entrance between Ridge Dr. and Fortino Blvd. (Walgreens, Chase Bank) closed <br> - Access to Morris Ave. closed <br> - Fortino Blvd. converted to right-in, right-out (RIRO) only <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed <br> - Valley Dr. closed between Baltimore Ave. and Ridge Dr. <br> - Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access greatly reduced from current conditions, independent pedestrian/bicycle facility needed <br> + Safety: 16 conflict points (none crossing); least of all intersection options <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: May be unfamiliar - no current application in Colorado - One in Louisiana | - Land use: Approximately 40 to 50 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> Parcels: <br> - Total take of 60 developed parcels (21 business and 39 residential) <br> - Total take of 1 undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 17 additional developed parcels ( 13 business, 3 residential and 1 public, Centennial High School track) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 3 additional undeveloped parcels (2 business and 1 residential) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Community/business cohesion: Significant disruption to community and business cohesion due to loss of access in all quadrants of the intersection and extensive loss of business and residential properties <br> - Visual: Greatest potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, an underground storage tank leak, and hazardous material spill site in proximity to the Baltimore Ave. intersection; a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection; and a RCRA Corrective Action site near the intersection of Baltimore Ave. and South Ave. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 45 \mathrm{M}$ to \$55M <br> - Phasing: Difficult to build in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures in all quadrants <br> - Incompatible with current and future land use <br> - Significant disruption to community and business cohesion due to loss of access in all quadrants of the intersection and extensive loss of business and residential properties <br> - Substantial access closures involving each quadrant of the interchange <br> - Greatest potential for visual impacts <br> - Difficult to build in phases |

50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend:

| $\begin{aligned} & -=\begin{array}{l} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectivene } \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness$\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, T\&E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| CT-7 <br> Two-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\checkmark$ Turning movement benefits: Main road left turns share green phase with through movements <br> + Local access: No change from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 30 conflict points (including 14 crossings); 2 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\checkmark$ Driver expectation: New concept - one in Loveland | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Approximately 9 to 10 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Future land use compatibility: Moderate compatibility with future land use Parcels: <br> - Total take of 4 developed parcels ( 3 business and 1 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts to 25 additional developed parcels ( 23 business and 2 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts to 1 undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Future land use compatibility: Moderate compatibility with future land use <br> + Community/business cohesion: Minimizes disruption to community and business cohesion <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts to a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, underground storage tank leak, hazardous material spill site in proximity to the Baltimore Ave. intersection; and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is \$3M to \$5M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | Discontinue from further consideration (unless local improvement projects are not built by the design year of 2035; may reconsider during NEPA clearance) <br> + No street closures <br> + No access closures <br> + Minimizes takes to business and residential parcels <br> - Moderate impacts on business and residential parcels <br> $\checkmark$ Moderate compatibility with future land use <br> + Minimizes disruption to community and business cohesion <br> - Potential to increase noise levels <br> + May be built in phases <br> + Low cost |
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| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{aligned} & \text { Option with } \\ & \text { effectiven } \end{aligned}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness | + = Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, $T \& E$ species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| CF-7 <br> Four-Leg Continuous Flow Intersection | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Left turns share a green phase with corresponding through movements <br> - Local access: 4 road closures in all quadrants: <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed <br> - Access to Valley Dr. closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east and west of Baltimore Ave. closed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access similar to current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 28 conflict points (including 12 crossings); 4 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: New concept - no current application in Colorado | $\checkmark$ Land use: Approximately 16 to 18 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 27 developed parcels ( 7 business and 20 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 19 additional developed parcels ( 17 business and 2 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 2 undeveloped parcels (both business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Community/business cohesion: Disruption to community and business cohesion due to access impacts in all quadrants of the intersection and extensive impacts on business and residential parcels <br> + Visual: Least potential for visual impact <br> - Noise: Potential to increase noise levels <br> $\checkmark$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, underground storage tank leak, and hazardous material spill site near Baltimore Ave.; and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ to \$10M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures <br> - Moderate impacts on business and residential parcels <br> - Moderate compatibility with future land use <br> - Disruptive to community and business cohesion <br> - Least potential for visual impact <br> - Potential to increase noise levels <br> - May be built in phases <br> - Little improvement in traffic operations for additional impacts and cost |

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

| Legend: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-=\begin{array}{r} \text { Option with } \\ \text { effectiven } \end{array}$ | greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=$Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of <br> effectiveness | $+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness |  |  |
|  | PROJECT EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS |  |  |  |
|  | Operations and Safety | Community, Business and Environment | Feasibility and Cost |  |
| Comparison Criteria Criteria | Meeting Purpose \& Need Level of Service (LOS) <br> Criteria: LOS, turning movements, local access, pedestrian \& bicycle access, safety and driver expectation | Environmental Impacts <br> Criteria: Land use, future land use compatibility, parcels, streams, wetlands, floodplain, T\&E species, visual, utilities, hazardous materials, historic properties, noise and community/business cohesion | Financing Criteria: Construction cost and phasing | JFSA Recommendations and Rationale |
| DD-7 <br> Diverging <br> Diamond Interchange | $\sqrt{ }$ LOS: Exceeds Purpose \& Need LOS <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Turning movement benefits: Left turns are free or yield-controlled movements to and from ramps - accommodates large left turning volumes <br> - Local access: 7 road closures in all quadrants: <br> - Access to Ridge Dr. closed <br> - Access to Valley Dr. closed <br> - Access to Aztec Dr. closed <br> - Access to Kachina Dr. east and west of Baltimore Ave. closed <br> - Access to Westroads Ave. north and south of US 50 closed <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Pedestrian and bicycle access: Access reduced from current conditions <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Safety: 18 conflict points (including 2 crossings); 14 fewer conflict points than existing <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Driver expectation: New concept - none in Colorado yet (one planned for Grand Junction) - used successfully in Missouri and Utah | $\sqrt{ }$ Land use: Approximately 7 to 8 acres required beyond existing CDOT ROW Parcels: <br> - Total take of 33 developed parcels ( 9 business and 24 residential) <br> - Total take of 1 undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 20 additional developed parcels (18 business and 2 residential) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 1 additional undeveloped parcel (zoned business) <br> - Future land use compatibility: Incompatible with current and future Arterial Commercial Mixed Use and Urban Residential Use due to access impacts and extended footprint into residential area <br> - Community/business cohesion: Disruption to community and business cohesion due to access impacts in all quadrants of the intersection and extensive impacts to business and residential parcels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Visual: Intermediate potential for visual impact <br> + Noise: Potential to decrease noise levels <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Hazardous materials: Potential conflicts with a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site, underground storage tank leak, and hazardous material spill site near Baltimore Ave.; and a RCRA Small Quantity Generator site and 2 underground storage tank leaks near the Morris Ave. intersection. | $\sqrt{ }$ Cost: Range of typical costs for this option is $\$ 20 \mathrm{M}$ to \$25M <br> + Phasing: May be built in phases from a conventional diamond interchange | - Discontinue from further consideration <br> - Street closures <br> - Substantial access closures <br> - Substantial parcel takes of existing businesses and residences <br> - Incompatible with current and future land use <br> - Disruption to community and business cohesion due to access impacts in all quadrants of the intersection <br> - Potential to decrease noise levels <br> - May be built in phases from a conventional diamond interchange |
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| Baltimore Avenue | Roadway Design$\qquad$ Roadway Footronint Waterways$\qquad$ | Zoning |  | Office Business | HazMat |  | Utilities |  | JFS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ral |  |  | A Corrective Action |  | Sanitary Sewer |  |  |
|  |  |  | Industrial | Residential |  | derground Storage Tank Leak |  | Gas |  |  |
| with Flyover (DF-7) | WILA ${ }^{\text {Floodplai }}$ |  | Public Use | PUD/Rural |  | Material |  | Underctround Fransmisior |  | 隹 |
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## B. 4 Level 4 Comparative Analysis of Alternatives

The TAT conducted Level 4 comparative analysis during meetings on June 23, 2011 and July 12, 2011 using the following materials, which consist of a table comparing the alternatives and a series of maps
The comparative table shows the alternatives in columns, with another column for current conditions in the Corridor. Rows correspond to measures of effectiveness and resource impacts. The rows are grouped based on the following sections:

1. Meeting Purpose and Need
2. Environmental impacts
3. Implementation and financing
4. Disposition and rationale

The Purpose and Need section discusses measures of effectiveness regarding:

- Mobility, such as travel time, delay, and LOS
- Vehicular, pedestrian, and bicycle access
- Safety

The environmental section examines the following resources:

- Land use and ROW acquisition
- Community and business cohesion
- Visual
- Noise
- Hazardous materials
- Utilities
- Streams, wetlands, and floodplains

The implementation and financing section considers:

- Construction cost by component
- The ability to construct the alternative in phases
- Flexibility for future expansion

The disposition and rationale section discusses which alternative was identified as the Preferred Alternative (Alternative E) and why.

In comparing the measures of effectiveness and resource impacts across alternatives, a color coding and symbol scheme is used similar that that used for Level 3 comparative analysis:

- The alternative(s) with the least impact or the most desirable measure of effectiveness is (are) shown in green text and with a plus sign
- The alternative(s) with the greatest impact or the least desirable measure of effectiveness is (are) shown in blue and with a minus sign
- Black text and a check mark indicates an alternative with an intermediate level of impact or measure of effectiveness

Corridor context maps of the five action alternatives come after the comparative table. The footprint of each alternative is presented on five sheets (from west to east) overlaid with information about land use and zoning, floodplains and wetlands, utilities, and hazardous materials.
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Level 4 Environmental Comparative Analysis

Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of $\quad V=N e u t r a l$ result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of $\quad+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness


## Conditions by Future Alternative

Alternative A Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange

| Alternative B | Alternative C |
| :---: | :---: |
| Four-Lane US 50 with | Six-Lane US 50 with |
| Diamond Interchanges |  |
| and Diverging Diamond |  |
| Interchange | Partial Cloverleaf |
| Interchange |  |

Alternative C Six-Lane US 50 with Partial Cloverleaf Interchange

Alternative D Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange

Preferred Alternative Alternative E Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange

Meeting Purpose and Need

| Corridor Travel Time Summary <br> (Between Swallows Rd. and Baltimore Ave.) | - Slowest alternative |  |  | + Fastest alternative | - Slowest action alternative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Hour EB | - 14.4 to 25.8 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.5$ to 13.2 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.4$ to 13.3 min | + 12.5 to 12.9 min | - 13.5 to 13.8 min | + 12.4 to 13.0 min |
| AM Peak Hour WB | - 13.6 to 18.2 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.5$ to 13.0 min | $\sqrt{ } 13.2$ to 13.9 min | + 12.3 to 12.6 min | - 13.9 to 14.7 min | $\checkmark 13.2$ to 13.8 min |
| PM Peak Hour EB | - 19.2 to 30.4 min | + 12.4 to 13.0 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.8$ to 13.8 min | + 12.5 to 12.8 min | - 14.0 to 14.5 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.5$ to 13.6 min |
| PM Peak Hour WB | - 19.5 to 30.1 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.6$ to 13.5 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.7$ to 13.7 min | + 12.6 to 13.2 min | - 13.8 to 14.7 min | $\sqrt{ } 12.7$ to 13.5 min |
| Segment Travel Time <br> AM Peak Hour EB Swallows Rd. to Main McCulloch Blvd. | $\sqrt{ } 6.1$ to 6.4 min | + 5.9 to 6.0 min | + 5.9 to 6.0 min | + 5.9 to 6.0 min | - 6.4 to 6.6 min | + 5.9 to 6.0 min |
| Main McCulloch Blvd. to Pueblo Blvd. | - 6.4 to 22.6 min | + 4.8 to 5.2 min | - 5.3 to 5.6 min | + 4.9 to 5.0 min | $\sqrt{ } 5.3$ to 5.4 min | $\sqrt{ } 5.3$ to 5.4 min |
| Pueblo Blvd. to Baltimore Ave. | - 2.1 to 2.6 min | - 1.8 to 2.0 min | + 1.3 to 1.7 min | $\sqrt{ } 1.7$ to 1.9 min | $\sqrt{ } 1.6$ to 1.7 min | + 1.4 to 1.7 min |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of effectiveness

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

Alternative E Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond

Interchange

## Comparison Criteria

## Existing

 Condition| 2035 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No Action | Diamond Interchanges and <br> Partial Cloverleaf Interchange |


| Alternative B |
| :---: |
| Four-Lane US 50 with |
| Diamond Interchanges |
| and Diverging Diamond |
| Interchange |

Alternative C
Six-Lane US 50 with
Diamond Interchanges and
Partial Cloverleaf
Interchange

## Alternative D Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow

 InterchangePartial Cloverleaf
Interchange
intersections and Diverging
Diamond Interchange

|  | -3.6 to 3.9 min |
| :--- | :--- |
| -5.8 to 5.9 min | $\sqrt{ } 3.5$ to 3.8 min |
| -4.6 to 4.7 min | +4.5 min |
| -6.5 to 6.6 min | $\sqrt{ } 5.5$ to 6.0 min |
| -5.4 to 6.1 min | -1.5 to 1.8 min |
| +1.6 to 1.7 min | -3.0 to 3.6 min |
| -3.0 to 3.5 min | $\sqrt{ } 5.3$ to 5.5 min |
| -6.0 to 6.2 min | +4.5 to 4.6 min |
| -4.7 to 4.8 min |  |
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## Legend

$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectivenes
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Intermediate delay | + Least delayed alternative | $\checkmark$ Intermediate delay | + Among the least delayed alternatives |
| $\checkmark 40$ sec per vehicle | + 30 sec per vehicle | $\checkmark 40 \mathrm{sec}$ per vehicle | $\checkmark 35$ sec per vehicle |
| $\checkmark 45$ sec per vehicle | + 30 sec per vehicle | $\sqrt{ } 45 \mathrm{sec}$ per vehicle | $\checkmark 35$ sec per vehicle |
| $\sqrt{ }$ Mainline LOS meets Purpose and Need criteria, except for EB segment from Purcell Blvd. to Pueblo Blvd., which will require a third auxiliary lane at approximately $\$ 3$ million + Intersection LOS at Main McCulloch Blvd. and Purcell Blvd. exceeds Purpose and Need criteria | + Greatly exceeds Purpose and Need criteria | + Mainline LOS greatly exceeds Purpose and Need criteria <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Intersection LOS meets Purpose and Need criteria | + Mainline LOS greatly exceeds Purpose and Need criteria <br> + Intersection LOS at Main McCulloch Blvd. and Purcell Blvd. exceeds Purpose and Need criteria |
| + Same as Alternative A | + A/A | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative A <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Continuous Flow <br> Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $+B / A$ | + Same as Alternative A | + B/A | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| $\checkmark \mathrm{D} / \mathrm{C}$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | +C/B | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| - F/D | - Same as Alternative A | + D/C | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| +C/B | + Same as Alternative A | +C/B | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + C/C | + Same as Alternative A | +C/B | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + A/B | + Same as Alternative A | + A/B | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + B/D | + Same as Alternative A | + B/D | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + B/D | + Same as Alternative A | + A/C | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + A/C | + Same as Alternative A | + $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative A <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Continuous Flow <br> Intersections and Diverging <br> Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + A/B | + Same as Alternative A | + A/B | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| + A/A | + Same as Alternative A | + A/A | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| + $\mathrm{B} / \mathrm{B}$ | + $B / B$ | + Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C}$ | + Same as Alternative A |
| +C/B | +C/B | + Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ | + Same as Alternative A |
| + A/A | $\checkmark \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{D}$ | + A/A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
| + Least impact on local access | $\sqrt{ }$ Intermediate impacts on local access | + Same as Alternative A | - Greatest impacts on local access - Mitigated with local access planning | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
| $\sqrt{ }$ No change from existing conditions | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
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Conditions by Future Alternative
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of
Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Preferred Alternative
Alternative E
Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange

Alternative B Alternative C Alternative D Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond

Interchange

Alternative C
Diamend Interchanges
Partial Cloverleaf
Interchange Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange

Comparison Criter
Pedestrian and Bicycle Access
$\checkmark$ CFIs increase crossing distance.
$\checkmark$ Crossing the Four-Leg CFI at Purcell Blvd. at grade would require multiple signal phases.
$\sqrt{ }$ Mitigated by providing elevated pedestrian crossings at a total cost of $\$ 13$ million.

- Greatest number of crossing conflict point among action alternatives


## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B | Alternative C | Alternative D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges <br> and Diverging Diamond <br> Interchange | Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and <br> Partial Cloverleaf <br> Interchange | Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Continuous Flow <br> Intersections and Diverging <br> Diamond Interchange |

Comparison Criteria

## Existing

2035
No Action
Alternative A
Four-Lane US 50 with
Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange
$\checkmark$ Intermediate interchange ROW impacts
Greatest mainline US 50 ROW impacts

Land Use (Right-of-Wa
Acquisition) Summary
Pueblo West Metro District (PWMD) Buffer - An undefined strip adjacent to CDOT right-of-way (ROW) Multi-use easement (MUE) Narrow strip of PWMD land bounded by parcel lines parallel to CDOT ROW, for utility or trail use
The PWMD buffer and MUE are considered compatible with utility and pedestrian/bicycle paths

| Intersection Impacts Summary |  |  | - Greatest ROW impacts at US 50 interchanges | $\sqrt{ }$ Intermediate ROW impacts at US 50 interchanges | - Same as Alternative A | + Least ROW impacts at US 50 intersections | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Swallows Rd. ,West <br> McCulloch Blvd., Wills <br> Blvd., and Baltimore <br> Ave. (signalized) |  |  | + No additional ROW required | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
| Main McCulloch Blvd. |  |  | + 0.5 acre (PWMD S-1R parcel) | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + No additional ROW required | + Same as Alternative A |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

|  | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
|  | + 1 acre outside ROW | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
|  | + Same as Alternative A | - Greatest mainline US 50 ROW impacts <br> $\checkmark$ Considered compatible with future pedestrian path within PWMD | - Same as Alternative C | - Same as Alternative C |
|  | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Path outside south side of ROW for entire segment $10,470 \mathrm{ft}$., and $2,200 \mathrm{ft}$. of slope outside north side of ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Located within 4.8 acres of MUE, and 4.2 acres of PWMD buffer | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Path outside south side of ROW for entire segment $10,400 \mathrm{ft}$., and $5,200 \mathrm{ft}$. of slope outside north side of ROW <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Located within 5.5 acres of PWMD buffer | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs
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Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative

|  | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark$ Impacts on 1 developed parcel (CDOT <br> Maintenance) <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Minor impacts on 7 undeveloped parcels | + Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Impacts on 3 developed parcels <br> $\checkmark$ Minor impacts on 1 undeveloped parcel | $\checkmark$ Impacts on 4 developed parcels |
|  | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | + No parcel impacts | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Impacts on 2 developed parcels | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
|  | $\sqrt{ }$ Minor impacts on 2 parcels (1 undeveloped parcel and CDOT maintenance facility | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Minor impacts on 1 undeveloped agricultural parcel and CDOT maintenance facility parcel | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Located within 9.7 acres of PWMD buffer <br> $\sqrt{ } 4.8$ acres of MUE <br> $\sqrt{ } 3$ parcels with minimal impact (0.1 acre) that are avoidable through design | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative C |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

| A <br> 0 with nges and terchange | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ith future | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | - Less consistent with future land use plans | + Same as Alternative A |
| ture land | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
| ture land | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
|  |  |  | $\sqrt{ }$ Inconsistent with future land use due to business access impacts |  |
| $r$ is <br> ible with | + Same as Alternative A | + Use of PWMD buffer and MUE is considered compatible with the proposed path | + Same as Alternative C | + Same as Alternative C |
| ith siness | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | - Greatest impact on community and business cohesion | + Same as Alternative A |
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Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total Text in italics indic
construction costs
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Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total Text in italics indic
construction costs

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
|  | $\checkmark$ Impacts not recalculated with refined methodology | $\sqrt{ }$ Same as Alternative B | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
| $\checkmark 56$ residences impacted <br> - 32 residences do not receive mitigation |  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative B |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $A$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $A$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $A$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $A$ |
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Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total Text in italics indic
construction costs

| Alternative A <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $B$ | $\checkmark \$ 2.14$ million | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $B$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $B$ | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative $B$ |
| + Least level of conflict with hazardous materials | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | - Greatest conflict with hazardous materials | + Same as Alternative A |
| $\checkmark$ Not applicable | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| + No impacts | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
| + No impacts | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | - Potential conflict for 1 underground storage tank in the northeast quadrant <br> - Potential conflict with 1 underground storage tank leak in the southeast quadrant | + Same as Alternative A |
| + No impacts | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
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Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| + Least conflict with utilities | - Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Intermediate conflict with utilities | + Same as Alternative B |
| + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative


## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| + Least impact on streams | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| - Same as Alternative A | - Same as Alternative A | + Approximately 250 ft . of stream impact on a local drainage ditch flowing to Wild Horse Creek | - Same as Alternative A |
| + Approximately 800 ft . of stream relocation for William's Creek | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| + Least impact on wetlands | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| + Approximately 800 ft . of stream and an estimated 0.3 acre of wetland impacts | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of
Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| + Least impact on floodplain | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| + Approximately 1.0 acre of floodplain impact based on FEMA | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend

$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectivenes
$\checkmark=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

| Alternative B | Alternative C | Alternative D | Preferred Aiternative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alternative E |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost <br> Total Construction Cost Including Mitigation (Action alternatives also include $\$ 13$ million for 4,000 feet of railroad realignment [shoofly] to accommodate standard shoulders and roadside drainage facilities. A design waiver may be pursued as six-lane widening in this area approaches final design.) <br> ROW costs largely depend on negotiations with PWMD for use of buffer and MUE, and are not included <br> Hazardous Material Remediation Estimate - TBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  | + No costs beyond routine maintenance | $\checkmark$ Intermediate cost alternative <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Total construction cost is approximately $\$ 113$ million | $\sqrt{ }$ Intermediate cost alternative <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Total construction cost is approximately \$108 million | - Highest cost alternative <br> - Total construction cost is approximately $\$ 124$ million | + Least cost action alternative <br> + Total construction cost is approximately $\$ 102$ million | - Among the most costly alternatives <br> - Total construction cost is approximately \$119 million |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Legend
$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative
Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

| nd <br> nge | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative A |
| on | - Same as Alternative A | - Same as Alternative A | $\sqrt{ }$ Estimated cost is $\$ 8$ million, including \$1.5 million for pedestrian and bicycle access mitigation | - Same as Alternative A |
| on | + Estimated cost is $\$ 40$ million | - Same as Alternative A | + Same as Alternative B | + Same as Alternative B |
| on | + Same as Alternative A | - Estimated cost is $\$ 25$ million <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Cost of pedestrian and bicycle path TBD | - Same as Alternative C | - Same as Alternative C |
|  | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A | $\checkmark$ Same as Alternative A |
| ion | $\sqrt{ }$ Estimated cost is $\$ 4.2$ million | - Estimated cost is $\$ 4.7$ million | + Estimated cost is \$3.9 million | $\sqrt{ }$ Estimated cost is $\$ 4.6$ million |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Legend
= Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$\sqrt{ }=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of
effectiveness
Text in italics ind
construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D <br> Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative <br> Alternative E <br> Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Limited flexibility for phasing <br> + Flexibility for expansion | + Flexibility for both phasing and expansion | + Flexibility for phasing <br> - Limited flexibility for expansion | + Flexibility for both phasing and expansion |
| $\sqrt{ }$ Four lanes is existing configuration. <br> - Diamond interchange is the next phase from a signalized intersection. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Diverging diamond interchange at Pueblo Blvd. may be built as a conventional diamond interchange. | $\checkmark$ Additional lanes may initially be constructed as auxiliary lanes between intersections. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Diamond interchange is the next phase after a sixlane signalized intersection. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Partial cloverleaf interchange may be built as a wide diamond interchange with loop ramps built later | $\sqrt{ }$ Additional lanes may initially be constructed as auxiliary lanes between intersections. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Two-leg CFI at Main McCulloch Blvd. may be initially built as a six-lane signalized intersection. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Four-leg CFI at Purcell Blvd. may be built in the following phases: <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Six-lane signalized intersection <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Two-leg CFI <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Four-leg CFI <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Diverging diamond interchange at Pueblo Blvd. may be built as a conventional diamond interchange. | $\checkmark$ Additional lanes may initially be constructed as auxiliary lanes between intersections. <br> $\sqrt{ }$ Diamond interchange is the next phase after a sixlane signalized intersection. <br> $\checkmark$ Diverging diamond interchange at Pueblo Blvd. may be built as a conventional diamond interchange. |

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend

- = Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of effectiveness
$V=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness
$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

Conditions by Future Alternative

| Alternative B | Alternative C | Alternative D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Four-Lane US 50 with | Six-Lane US 50 with | Six-Lane US 50 with <br> Diamond Interchanges <br> Continuous Flow <br> Diamond Interchanges and <br> and Diverging Diamond <br> Interchange | | Partial Cloverleaf |
| :---: |
| Interchange |$\quad$| Intersections and Diverging |
| :---: |
| Diamond Interchange |

Preferred Alternative
$\checkmark$ Diamond interchange may later have flyovers added. Adding loop ramps will likely require additional Row.
for later grade separation of the two cross-overs of the diverging diamond interchange.
$\checkmark$ Diamond interchange may later have flyovers added Adding loop ramps will likely require additional ROW.
$\checkmark$ Flyover ramps can be added later to the partial cloverleaf intersection at cloverleaf intersection Pueblo Blvd.; however, additional ROW will likely be required to increase design speed of outer ramps.

Two-leg CFI at Main McCulloch Blvd. (CT-3) may later be phased to a four-leg CFI.
Four-leg CFI at Purcell Blvd. would have to be completely rebuilt as a grade-separated interchange to allow for future expansion.
Provisions can be made for later grade separation of the two cross-overs of the diverging diamond interchange.

## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

## Legend

$-=$ Option with greatest impact on resource or measure of ffectiveness
$\sqrt{ }=$ Neutral result/minimal impact or change on resource or measure of effectiveness

| Comparison Criteria | Existing Condition | $2035$ <br> No Action | Conditions by Future Alternative |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Alternative A <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative B <br> Four-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Alternative C Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Partial Cloverleaf Interchange | Alternative D Six-Lane US 50 with Continuous Flow Intersections and Diverging Diamond Interchange | Preferred Alternative Alternative E Six-Lane US 50 with Diamond Interchanges and Diverging Diamond Interchange |
| Disposition and Rationale |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summary |  | - Do not identify as Preferred Alternative Does not meet Purpose and Need Does not improve mobility and reduce congestion Does not improve safety | - Do not identify as Preferred Alternative Greater stream and wetland impacts than Alternative B Greater utility conflict than Alternative B Greater construction cost than Alternative B | - Do not identify as Preferred Alternative Less phasing flexibility than Alternatives C, D and E <br> Four lanes may be inadequate for travel demand beyond 2035 <br> Among the least cost alternatives <br> Least right-of-way impacts | - Do not identify as Preferred Alternative Greater stream and wetland impacts than Alternative E Greater utility conflict than Alternative E Greater construction cost than Alternative E | - Do not identify as Preferred Alternative Access from northbound Purcell to businesses and commercial uses in the northwest and northeast quadrants is eliminated Greatest number of conflict points at intersections among action alternatives <br> Greater stream and right-of-way impacts at Purcell Blvd. than Alternatives A, B , and C <br> Potential for greater hazmat remediation efforts at Purcell Blvd. <br> Greater potential utility conflict than Alternatives B or E Least cost alternative | + Identify as Preferred <br> Alternative <br> Better traffic operation and greater capacity than Alternatives B or D <br> Greater cost than <br> Alternatives B or D |

$+=$ Option with least impact on resource or measure of

Conditions by Future Alternative Interchange

Text in italics indicates that mitigation is included in total construction costs

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.
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Alternative A
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## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.
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Alternative B
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## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.



## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.



## 50 US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.
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Alternative C
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Alternative D



## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.
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| Roadway | Design <br> Construction <br> Footprint | Public Use | Waterways |  | Utilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | U111n | Floodplain (FEMA) |  | Electric Transmission |
|  |  | Office |  | Floodplain (City |  | Underground Fiber |
| Zoning |  | Business | [12A | of Pueblo, 2007) |  | Gas |
|  | Agriculural | Residential |  | Generalized Wetland | -"." | Water |
|  | Industrial | PUD/RULP |  | Streams |  | Wastewater |

HazMat
R RCRA Small Quantity

- Generator Sites
- ${ }_{\text {Sites }}^{\text {RCRA }}$

RCRA Corrective
-3 $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hazardous } \\ & \text { Material Spill }\end{aligned}$ Voluntary Cleanup
Underground Storage Tank Leak - Underground Underground
Storage Tan

## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.



|  |
| :---: |


| Roadway | Design <br> Construction Footprint | Public Use | Waterways |  | Utilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TIII | Floodplain (FEMA) | -п.- | Electric Transmission |
|  |  |  | WTV | Floodplain (City |  | Underground Fiber |
| Zoning |  | Business |  | of Pueblo, 2007) |  | Gas |
|  | Agricultural | Residential |  | Generalized Wetland | + | Water |
|  | Industrial | PUD/RULP |  | Streams |  | Wastewater |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Stormwater |

HazMat
A RCRA Small Quantily

- Generator Sites RCRA Sites RCRA Correctiv
Action Sites

Hazardous $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haterial Spil }\end{aligned}$ Material Spill
Voluntary Cleanup Voluntary Cleanup
Program Underground Storage Tank Leak 2. Underground

Map Info: Map created by J.F. Sato on 04.28.20
using data gathered from field work (2011), Map Info: Map created by J.F. Sato on 04.28
using data gathered from field work (2011),
Pueblo City GIS (2011), Pueblo County GIS
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## (50) US 50 West PEL Study: Swallows Rd. to Baltimore Ave.

Alternative E


| Roadway | Design <br> Construction Footprint | Public Use | Waterways |  | Utilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TIII | Floodplain (FEMA) | -п+ | Electric Transmission |
|  |  | Office |  |  |  | Underground Fiber |
| Zoning |  | Business | Cla | of Pueblo, 2007) |  | Gas |
|  | Agricultural | Residential |  | Generalized Wetland | -1": | Water |
|  | Industrial | PUD/RULP |  | Streams |  | Wastewater |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Stormwater |

- RCRA Small Quantity
- Generator Sites
- RCRA

RCRA Corrective

Hazardous $\begin{aligned} & \text { Haterial Spil } \\ & \text { Mat }\end{aligned}$ Material Spill Voluntary Cleanup - Underground Storage Tank Leak

- Underground

Storage Tan
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[^0]:    Legend:

    Abbreviations:
    unsig unsignalized intersection TUDI Tight Urban Diamond Interchange
    sig signalized intersection flyover ramp Indicates not calculated.

    CFI Continuous Flow Intersection (at-grade with left turning traffic crossing oncoming traffic)
    indicates intersection operates at LOS E or F and, therefore, does not meet the Purpose and Need

[^1]:    Resources not differentiating among intersection options:
    Streams, wetlands and floodplain - All intersection ootions avoid impacts on Turkey Creek
    Historic properties - None were recorded
    Community/business cohesion - Immediate viciinity of intersection is largely undeveloped or agricultural
    Community/business conesion - Immediate vic
    Utirities - None found in vicinity of intersection
    T\&E Especies - No habitat
    Hazardous materials - No sites

[^2]:    Resources not differentiating among intersection option
    Historic properties - None were recorded
    Historic properties - None were recorded
    General wetlands - All options had no wetland impact
    Generar wetiands - Alioptions had no wetiand im
    Floodplain - None in viciity of this intersection
    UUilities-Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cable
    Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound lanes. Also crosses one 36 " sanitary sewer line.

[^3]:    Resources not differentiating among intersection options
    Historic properties - None were recorded
    General wetlands - All options had no wetland impact
    Geneorallain - None in viciinity of this no intersection
    Floilitites-Crosses 2 underground fiber optic
    Utilities - Crosses 2 underground fiber optic cables currently parallel to US 50 eastbound and westbound lanes. Also crosses one 36 " sanitary sewer line

[^4]:    The Single-Point Urban Interchange (SPUI) option does not meet the minimum LOS criterion with the Pueblo Blvd. Extension. However,
    without the Pueblo Blvd. Extension, the SPUI operates at acceptable LOS and avoids all land use, stream, wetland, and floodplain impacts.

