

## Alternative Delivery Method Industry & Public Meeting

August 6, 2024

### Questions and Comments

Is CDOT securing the RIGHT-OF-WAY prior to begin of Construction?

Yes. Acquisition or Possession and Use will be required for Construction. CDOT will disclose the status of the acquisitions in the Request for Proposals.

How many RIGHT-OF-WAY parcels are needed for the project?

RIGHT-OF-WAY needs are still being assessed, however, there appears to be approximately 43 parcels.

Is there a completion deadline for the grant?

Grant Terms are being finalized but required Completion is anticipated to be by the end of 2030.

Any NEPA issues right now?

No. Re-Evaluation for SH172 through the County Road 225 Intersection are nearing completion with some habitat mitigations and the Valley Segment is being prepared for commencement of the Re-Evaluation. Re-evaluations will be completed for Right-of-Way authorization and, thus, completed prior to the Request for Proposals. Re-Evaluation will be required for the Design-Build Team's design to assess changes of impact.

What are next steps for CDOT in this process?

CDOT will seek Transportation Commission approval of the Design-Build Delivery Method in September followed by Chief Engineer's approval. Over the next 3-6 months CDOT will seek a consultant owner's representative firm to support the development of the RFP as well as support both the design and the construction administration under the Design-Build Contract.

Is all the funding available or is some programmed for future years?

Funds are available but programmed through 2027. While funds are programmed for future years, utilization of advanced budgeting techniques would allow the project to budget all required funding at this time.

We understand that CDOT has no formalized manual for progressive design builds. However, would using CM/GC or PDB help advance the schedule/timeline of the project?

Progressive Design-Build is not offered by CDOT currently. In terms of CM/GC, the Delivery selection team believes that Design-Build offered the best execution of schedule, allowing concurrent activities while addressing budget constraints and meeting Grant scope commitments.

Assuming the 2027 timeline is driven by Right-of-Way procurement, those two methods, CM/GC & Progressive Design Build, would allow us to advance construction in areas where Right-of-Way is not an issue and help reduce on escalation for a pushed-out timeline.

Agreed. However, the Project hopes to issue the RFP in advance of the 2027 date. 2027 is a hard date set to meet Grant obligation commitments. The Selection Team believed that the other delivery methods were less appropriate based upon other criteria. This includes cost control and innovation.

Would one of you be willing to share the PDSM for US 160?

Yes. The final will be posted on the website upon Chief Engineer's approval of the Design-Build Delivery method. A draft was posted several days after the industry presentation.