US 550/160 CONNECTION SOUTH DESIGN-BUILD PROJECT

RFQ General Questions and Responses

Inquiry ID	Inquiry	neral Questions and Responses Response
RFQ-1	Can you please confirm there is no page limit for the Form C's?	Forms are limited to 2 pages each, as denoted by "Form C may continue onto a page 2" at the bottom of the form.
		Use the Form C's to describe the experience, up to a maximum of ten projects, that the Submitter, its Lead Engineering/Design Firm(s), the Contractor, and its other Major Participants have designed, and/or constructed within the past ten years.
RFQ-2	Can you please provide more information on the TERO requirements?	Please visit the US 550/160 Connection South project website (https://www.codot.gov/projects/us-550-us-160-Connection-design-build) to review the TERO Code and the applicable CFR referenced in the RFQ for the TERO Code.
RFQ-3	Please consider revising the Geotechnical Lead reporting to the Design Manager instead of the Design-Build Project Manager. Typically, this position is a function of the Design Team and proves to be a more efficient reporting relationship during the design and post-design phase. Would this revision be appropriate or possible in CDOT's determination?	The Geotechnical Lead was purposefully placed under the Design-Build Project Manager to show the needed/desired interface of the Geotechnical Lead throughout the Project, for both design and construction. The same intention is true for the Structural Lead. Organizational structures provided by the Submitter can show the reporting of the Geotechnical Lead and the Structural Lead to the Design Manager but must also show the availability to the Construction Manager and thus the D-B Manager. To ensure clarity, the RFQ will be amended to strike Bullet 2 that indicates "Shall work under the direct supervision of the Design-Build Project Manager." and replace it with Bullet 4 of the Structural Lead's Minimum Requirements that indicates "Shall be available during construction to address issues that may arise."
RFQ-4	In regards to 2.8 Major Participants and Form A on page 5 of the RFQ, do we need to name all subcontractors participating at >10% at this time? We have several concerns with this and they are as follows: 1) we are unsure what the actual dollar amount of	Yes, the intent of the Major Participants section is to ensure that the Project Team is conveyed in the SOQ, including all major participants performing work. Submitters should make reasonable effort to list all Major Participants of the Project Team. Section 2.8, Para. 1 states, "As used herein, the term "Major Participant" means any of
	the project is at this time as well as the scope, which can affect how much work is contracted to particular subcontractors at this stage of the project.	the following entities: all general partners or joint venture members of the Submitter; all individuals, persons, proprietorships, partnerships, limited liability partnerships, corporations, professional corporations, limited liability companies, business associations, or other legal entity, however organized, holding (directly or indirectly) a 15% or greater interest in the Submitter; any Subcontractor(s) that will perform Work
	that could potentially have a large portion of work but are going to "play the field" and not be exclusive with any one design/build team at this time. So if we list major subcontractors that other	valued at 10% or more of the overall contract amount; the lead engineering/design firm(s); and each engineering/design Subconsultant that will perform 20% or more of the design Work. " To address concerns of non-exclusive firms that may be a major participant, paragraph 2
	submitters may be listing as major participants as well, then we could be disqualified. Can 2.8 be potentially delayed till the RFP stage? Or how should be handle the intent of this	of Section 2.8 of the RFQ will be revised to include, "CDOT will not disqualify any Submitter if a Submitter's Major Participant belongs to more than one Submitter organization for this request, if that Major Participant is non-exclusive and specified as such."
DEO E	section?	The CDOT consideration shout is not considered for not considered at this time.
RFQ-5	Will Values be scored?	The CDOT organization chart is not available for release at this time. Values originated as project goals and stemmed from the Environmental Document's Purpose & Needs. RFP development resulted in the Project Team believing that these goals would be difficult to score and difficult for Proposers to distinguish themselves against, yet, we still believed they were relevant and important to the project outcome. Values are included to remind the Project Team (CDOT and Contractor) of the overarching principles.
RFQ-7	Can you elaborate on the archaeology monitor need?	The archaeology monitor will be assigned by, funded by, and work for CDOT. Contractors do not need to include this position in their cost.
RFQ-8	SOQ Section 11 – Legal Structure states we are to provide complete copies of the organizational documents that allow the Submitter and Major Participants to conduct business in the State of Colorado. Would the submission of the Certificate of Good Standing issued by the Secretary of State be acceptable to meet the requirements of Section 11?	Yes, that is the appropriate form to demonstrate good standing.