

## Table of Contents

---

### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES.1	Why did the Colorado Department of Transportation prepare this Revised Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement? .....	ES-1
ES.2	Why are improvements needed on this Corridor? .....	ES-1
ES.3	How bad will traffic get in the future without these improvements? .....	ES-1
ES.4	What is the purpose and need for this project? .....	ES-2
ES.5	Who are the Corridor stakeholders? .....	ES-4
ES.6	How were members of the public and stakeholders informed of and involved in the process? .....	ES-4
ES.7	How were agencies and stakeholders involved in the decision-making? .....	ES-4
ES.8	How were alternatives developed? .....	ES-5
ES.9	How were alternatives evaluated? .....	ES-6
ES.10	What is the outcome of this process? .....	ES-8
ES.11	What alternatives were advanced for detailed analysis in this document? .....	ES-8
ES.12	What is the No Action Alternative? .....	ES-9
ES.13	What is the Minimal Action Alternative? .....	ES-11
ES.14	What components are included in the remaining Action Alternatives? .....	ES-13
ES.15	What is the Collaborative Effort Team? .....	ES-16
ES.16	What is the I-70 Mountain Corridor Context Sensitive Solutions Process? .....	ES-17
ES.17	How was the Preferred Alternative (Consensus Recommendation) developed? .....	ES-19
ES.18	What does the Preferred Alternative consist of? .....	ES-20
ES.19	How much will this project cost? .....	ES-21
ES.20	Is the money for this project available? .....	ES-22
ES.21	How will stakeholders be involved with implementing necessary improvements in this corridor? .....	ES-23
ES.22	In what order would improvements be made? .....	ES-25
ES.23	What are the triggers for additional highway and non-Advanced Guideway System transit capacity improvements? .....	ES-25
ES.24	What are some of the other highway improvements that can be made? .....	ES-26
ES.25	Why are both transit and highway improvements needed? .....	ES-27
ES.26	How do metro Denver residents access the Advanced Guideway System? .....	ES-27
ES.27	Do the dual-mode transportation improvements make traveling the Corridor safer? .....	ES-27
ES.28	Are there other ways to decrease congestion without the Advanced Guideway System and/or widening the highway? .....	ES-28
ES.29	Why do we need highway and transit improvements? .....	ES-28
ES.30	What is the Stream and Wetland Ecological Enhancement Program? .....	ES-28
ES.31	What is A Landscape Level Inventory of Valued Ecosystem Components? .....	ES-29
ES.32	What are the types of environmental impacts of greatest concern? .....	ES-29
ES.33	How can the public provide input on this document? .....	ES-33
ES.34	What are the next steps in the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement process? .....	ES-35

## Table of Contents

### INTRODUCTION

1.	What is this document and its purpose? .....	I-1
2.	What is a Programmatic National Environmental Policy Act process? .....	I-1
3.	Why are the lead agencies doing this document?.....	I-2
4.	What changed since the 2004 Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement and how were comments received on the 2004 Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement addressed? .....	I-2
5.	What decisions are addressed programmatically at Tier 1 and what decisions will be addressed at Tier 2? .....	I-4
6.	What has been the decision-making process to get to the Preferred Alternative?....	I-5
7.	What happens after the Tier 1 Record of Decision (Implementation Plan)? .....	I-5
8.	What is Context Sensitive Solutions and how does it work with future National Environmental Policy Act processes and other decision-making on the Corridor? .....	I-12
9.	How were the I-70 Mountain Corridor Context Sensitive Solutions Guidelines developed?.....	I-13
10.	How is the I-70 Mountain Corridor Context Sensitive Solutions Guidance to be used?.....	I-13
11.	What additional information is included in the I-70 Mountain Corridor Context Sensitive Solutions Guidance?.....	I-14

### CHAPTER 1. PURPOSE AND NEED

1.1	What's in Chapter 1?.....	1-1
1.2	Why was this Corridor study initiated? .....	1-1
1.3	What other studies have been completed or are related to this Corridor? .....	1-3
1.4	What are the horizon years of analysis for the study? .....	1-4
1.5	What are the study limits and why were they selected?.....	1-5
1.6	What is the purpose and need for transportation improvements in the Corridor? ....	1-5
1.7	What are the Corridor's features? .....	1-8
1.8	Who uses this Corridor and for what reasons? .....	1-9
1.9	What future growth is expected to occur in the Corridor? .....	1-12
1.10	What is the current and projected travel demand?.....	1-14
1.11	How are the needs demonstrated by transportation problems in the Corridor? .....	1-19
1.12	How is the project purpose and need used to evaluate potential solutions?.....	1-26

### CHAPTER 2. SUMMARY AND COMPARISON OF ALTERNATIVES

2.1	What's in Chapter 2?.....	2-1
2.2	How were alternatives developed? .....	2-2
2.3	What process was used to evaluate and screen alternatives? .....	2-2
2.4	How were stakeholders (communities and agencies) involved? .....	2-5
2.5	Which alternative elements were eliminated and why?.....	2-5
2.6	What alternatives were advanced? .....	2-23
2.7	What was the decision-making process for identifying the Preferred Alternative? .....	2-42
2.8	How do the Alternatives compare? .....	2-48
2.9	How can the Preferred Alternative be implemented?.....	2-70

**CHAPTER 3. AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES**

What does Chapter 3 cover? .....3-1  
 What is the context of the resource evaluations? .....3-1  
 Why is this analysis focused on specific issues? .....3-2  
 What is the general methodology for the natural and human environment resource evaluations? .....3-3  
 How did the lead agencies collect and update data for environmental analyses? .....3-3  
 How were impacts quantified? .....3-4  
 What is the difference between direct and indirect impacts? .....3-5  
 How are impacts defined at Tier 1 versus Tier 2? .....3-5  
 What is the programmatic approach to mitigation planning? .....3-6  
 How do I read Chapter 3? .....3-7

**3.1 Climate and Air Quality Resources .....3.1-1**

3.1.1 What are the air quality resources of concern to this project and why are they important? .....3.1-1  
 3.1.2 What study area and process was used to analyze air quality resources? .....3.1-1  
 3.1.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.1-2  
 3.1.4 What are the areas of air quality interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.1-2  
 3.1.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect air quality and climate? .....3.1-2  
 3.1.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.1-6  
 3.1.7 What are the mitigation strategies for air quality? .....3.1-6

**3.2 Biological Resources .....3.2-1**

3.2.1 What are the biological resources in the Corridor and why are they important? .....3.2-1  
 3.2.2 What process was used to analyze biological resources? .....3.2-2  
 3.2.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.2-3  
 3.2.4 What are the areas of biological resources interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.2-4  
 3.2.5 How do the alternatives affect biological resources? .....3.2-10  
 3.2.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.2-17  
 3.2.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for biological resources? .....3.2-17

**3.3 Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S. ....3.3-1**

3.3.1 What are wetlands and other waters of the U.S. and why are they important? .....3.3-1  
 3.3.2 What process was used to analyze wetland resources and other waters of the U.S.? .....3.3-2  
 3.3.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.3-2  
 3.3.4 What are the areas of wetlands and other waters of the U.S. interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.3-3  
 3.3.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect wetlands and other waters of the U.S.? .....3.3-5

## Table of Contents

3.3.6	What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.3-6
3.3.7	What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for wetlands and other waters of the U.S.? .....	3.3-7
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Water Resources .....</b>	<b>3.4-1</b>
3.4.1	What are water resources and why are they important? .....	3.4-1
3.4.2	What study area and process was used to analyze water resources? ....	3.4-1
3.4.3	What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.4-2
3.4.4	What are the water resources of interest identified in the Corridor? .....	3.4-3
3.4.5	How do the Action Alternatives potentially affect water resources? .....	3.4-4
3.4.6	What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.4-11
3.4.7	What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for water resources? .....	3.4-12
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Geologic Hazards .....</b>	<b>3.5-1</b>
3.5.1	What are the geologic hazards in the Corridor and why are they important? .....	3.5-1
3.5.2	What study area and process was used to analyze geologic hazards? ...	3.5-1
3.5.3	What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.5-2
3.5.4	What are the areas of geologic hazard interest identified in the Corridor? .....	3.5-2
3.5.5	How do the alternatives potentially affect geologic hazards? .....	3.5-2
3.5.6	What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.5-5
3.5.7	What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for geologic hazards? .....	3.5-5
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Regulated Materials and Historic Mining .....</b>	<b>3.6-1</b>
3.6.1	What are the concerns related to regulated materials and historic mining and why are they important to this project? .....	3.6-1
3.6.2	What process was used to analyze regulated materials and historic mining sites? .....	3.6-1
3.6.3	What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.6-2
3.6.4	Where are the areas of regulated materials and historic mining in the Corridor? .....	3.6-2
3.6.5	How do the alternatives potentially affect regulated materials and historic mining sites? .....	3.6-5
3.6.6	What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.6-8
3.6.7	What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for regulated materials and historic mining sites? .....	3.6-12
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Land Use and Right-of-Way .....</b>	<b>3.7-1</b>
3.7.1	What are the land use and right-of-way concerns for this project and why are they important? .....	3.7-1
3.7.2	What study area and process was used to analyze land use and right-of-way? .....	3.7-1

3.7.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.7-2

3.7.4 What are the areas of land use and right-of-way interest identified in the Corridor?.....3.7-2

3.7.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect land use and right-of-way?.....3.7-5

3.7.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.7-9

3.7.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for land use and right-of-way? .....3.7-10

**3.8 Social and Economic Values.....3.8-1**

3.8.1 What are the social and economic values of concern to this project and why are they important? .....3.8-1

3.8.2 What study area and process was used to analyze social and economic values? .....3.8-1

3.8.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.8-2

3.8.4 What are the areas of social and economic interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.8-2

3.8.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect social and economic values? ..3.8-3

3.8.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.8-7

3.8.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for social and economic values? .....3.8-8

**3.9 Environmental Justice .....3.9-1**

3.9.1 What is environmental justice and how is it important to this project? .....3.9-1

3.9.2 What study area and process was used to analyze environmental justice?.....3.9-1

3.9.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.9-2

3.9.4 What are the areas of interest for environmental justice in the Corridor? .....3.9-2

3.9.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect minority and low-income populations? .....3.9-4

3.9.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.9-6

3.9.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for environmental justice?.....3.9-7

**3.10 Noise.....3.10-1**

3.10.1 What concerns related to noise are important to this project? .....3.10-1

3.10.2 What study area and process was used to analyze noise? .....3.10-1

3.10.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.10-2

3.10.4 What are the areas of noise interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.10-4

3.10.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect noise? .....3.10-4

3.10.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.10-7

3.10.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for noise?.....3.10-7

## Table of Contents

<b>3.11 Visual Resources</b> .....	<b>3.11-1</b>
3.11.1 What are the visual resources related to this project and why are they important? .....	3.11-1
3.11.2 What study area and process were used to analyze visual resources?.....	3.11-1
3.11.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.11-1
3.11.4 What are the areas of visual interest identified in the Corridor?.....	3.11-2
3.11.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect visual resources? .....	3.11-6
3.11.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.11-8
3.11.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for visual resources?.....	3.11-8
<b>3.12 Recreation Resources and Section 6(f) Discussion</b> .....	<b>3.12-1</b>
3.12.1 What are recreation and Section 6(f) resources and why are they important?.....	3.12-1
3.12.2 What study area and process was used to analyze recreation and Section 6(f) resources? .....	3.12-1
3.12.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.12-2
3.12.4 What are the areas of recreation and Section 6(f) resources interest identified in the Corridor? .....	3.12-2
3.12.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect recreation and Section 6(f) resources?.....	3.12-5
3.12.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.12-8
3.12.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for recreation resources?.....	3.12-9
<b>3.13 Historic Properties and Native American Consultation</b> .....	<b>3.13-1</b>
3.13.1 What are historic properties and why are they important? .....	3.13-1
3.13.2 What study area and process was used to analyze historic properties?.....	3.13-1
3.13.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....	3.13-2
3.13.4 How did the Federal Highway Administration and Colorado Department of Transportation consult with Native American tribes? .....	3.13-3
3.13.5 What are the areas of historic properties interest identified in the Corridor? .....	3.13-4
3.13.6 How do the alternatives potentially affect historic properties?.....	3.13-4
3.13.7 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.13-7
3.13.8 What are the approaches to mitigation planning for historic properties?.....	3.13-8
<b>3.14 Section 4(f) Discussion</b> .....	<b>3.14-1</b>
3.14.1 What is Section 4(f)? .....	3.14-1
3.14.2 What process was followed for this first tier Section 4(f) Discussion?....	3.14-2
3.14.3 What is the project's purpose and need? .....	3.14-4
3.14.4 What alternatives are being considered in the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement? .....	3.14-4
3.14.5 What are the Section 4(f) properties that are potentially used by the alternatives advanced in the National Environmental Policy Act process?.....	3.14-6

3.14.6 What are the potential uses of the Section 4(f) properties? .....3.14-12

3.14.7 What alternatives were considered that potentially avoid Section 4(f) properties in the Corridor?.....3.14-18

3.14.8 What are constraints and opportunities to avoid Section 4(f) properties associated with the Combination Alternatives? .....3.14-26

3.14.9 How do the alternatives compare? .....3.14-27

3.14.10 What planning to minimize harm has been incorporated? .....3.14-29

3.14.11 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with? .....3.14-30

3.14.12 What can we conclude from this Discussion? .....3.14-32

3.14.13 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.14-33

**3.15 Paleontology.....3.15-1**

3.15.1 What is paleontology and why is it important to this project? .....3.15-1

3.15.2 What study area and process was used on this project to analyze paleontological resources?.....3.15-1

3.15.3 What agencies have Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.15-1

3.15.4 What are the areas of paleontology interest identified in the Corridor? .3.15-2

3.15.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect paleontological resources? ...3.15-2

3.15.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.15-3

3.15.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for paleontological resources?.....3.15-3

**3.16 Energy .....3.16-1**

3.16.1 What are the concerns related to energy and why are they important to this project? .....3.16-1

3.16.2 What study area and process was used to analyze energy? .....3.16-1

3.16.3 What agencies have the Colorado Department of Transportation and Federal Highway Administration coordinated with and what are their relevant issues? .....3.16-2

3.16.4 What are the areas of energy interest identified in the Corridor? .....3.16-2

3.16.5 How do the alternatives potentially affect energy? .....3.16-2

3.16.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.16-4

3.16.7 What are the approaches to programmatic mitigation planning for energy? .....3.16-4

**3.17 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources .....3.17-1**

3.17.1 What is an irreversible and irretrievable commitment of resources and why is it important to this project? .....3.17-1

3.17.2 What process was used to determine the commitment of resources due to this project? .....3.17-1

3.17.3 What are the areas of interest in these resources in the Corridor? ..... 3.17-1

3.17.4 How do the alternatives affect these resources? ..... 3.17-2

3.17.5 What are the project effects on resources in 2050? .....3.17-3

3.17.6 What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....3.17-3

3.17.7 What are the mitigation strategies for this resource? ..... 3.17-3

**3.18 Short-Term Uses versus Long-Term Productivity .....3.18-1**

3.18.1 What is the relationship between local short-term uses and long-term productivity and why is it important to this project? ..... 3.18-1

## Table of Contents

3.18.2	What process was used to determine the impacts of short-term uses on long-term productivity due to this project? .....	3.18-1
3.18.3	What are the areas of interest related to short-term uses and long-term productivity in the Corridor? .....	3.18-1
3.18.4	How do the alternatives affect short-term uses and long-term productivity? .....	3.18-1
3.18.5	What are the project effects on resources in 2050? .....	3.18-2
3.18.6	What will be addressed in Tier 2 processes? .....	3.18-3
3.18.7	What are the mitigation strategies for short-term uses? .....	3.18-3
<b>3.19</b>	<b>Mitigation Summary .....</b>	<b>3.19-1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 4. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS ANALYSIS</b>		
4.1	What is in Chapter 4? .....	4-1
4.2	What are cumulative impacts and why are they important? .....	4-1
4.3	What resources are examined as part of the cumulative effect analysis? .....	4-1
4.4	What is the geographic scope for cumulative impact analysis? .....	4-2
4.5	What is the time frame for cumulative impact analysis? .....	4-3
4.6	What methods were used to estimate cumulative impacts? .....	4-5
4.7	What past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future actions were considered? .....	4-9
4.8	What are the anticipated cumulative impacts? .....	4-10
4.9	What are the cumulative benefits? .....	4-26
4.10	What measures will be taken to address issues related to cumulative impacts? .....	4-26
4.11	What conclusions can be made? .....	4-27
4.12	What's next and how will analysis differ from Tier 1 to Tier 2? .....	4-27
<b>CHAPTER 5. FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS</b>		
5.1	What's in Chapter 5? .....	5-1
5.2	How were cost estimates determined? .....	5-1
5.3	What is the cost of the Preferred Alternative? .....	5-1
5.4	How much funding is currently allocated to the I-70 Mountain Corridor? .....	5-2
5.5	What are the sources for current funding and their limitations? .....	5-3
5.6	Is there enough funding to implement the Preferred Alternative? .....	5-4
5.7	What are potential funding sources and their limitations? .....	5-4
5.8	What innovative funding sources might be available? .....	5-5
<b>CHAPTER 6. PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT</b>		
6.1	What's in Chapter 6? .....	6-1
6.2	What are the objectives of the public and agency information and involvement program? .....	6-1
6.3	How were agencies and stakeholders involved in the public and agency information and involvement program? .....	6-2
6.4	How did you involve members of the public and local organizations in the public and agency information and involvement program? .....	6-5
6.5	How did you involve minority and low-income populations? .....	6-6
6.6	What public and agency input was received? .....	6-6
6.7	How were the stakeholders involved in the decision making process? .....	6-6
6.8	What public and agency involvement opportunities will be provided going forward from this point in the process? .....	6-7

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

## LIST OF PREPARERS AND CONTRIBUTORS



**INDEX**

**REFERENCES**

**DISTRIBUTION LIST**

**Appendices**

- A – I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Context Sensitive Solutions
- B – I-70 Mountain Corridor Section 106 Programmatic Agreement
- C – Consensus Recommendation
- D – Stream and Wetland Ecological Enhancement Program (SWEEP) Memorandum of Understanding
- E – A Landscape Level Inventory of Valued Ecosystem Components (ALIVE) Memorandum of Understanding

**List of Figures**

Figure ES - 1. I-70 Mountain Corridor .....	ES-3
Figure ES - 2. Alternatives Screening Process .....	ES-7
Figure ES - 3. No Action Alternative .....	ES-10
Figure ES - 4. Minimal Action Alternative .....	ES-12
Figure ES - 5. 65 mph Local Tunnel Alternatives .....	ES-16
Figure ES - 6. Planning Process .....	ES-24
Figure ES - 7. 2000 to 2035 Population and Employment Growth .....	ES-34
Figure I-1. Planning Process.....	I-11
Figure 1-1. I-70 Mountain Corridor in Colorado .....	1-2
Figure 1-2. Study Limits .....	1-6
Figure 1-3. Vertical and Horizontal Profile of the I-70 Mountain Corridor .....	1-10
Figure 1-4. 2000 Travel by Trip Purpose .....	1-11
Figure 1-5. 2000 to 2035 Population and Employment Growth .....	1-13
Figure 1-6. 2000 and 2035 Travel Demand .....	1-17
Figure 1-7. 2000, 2035, and 2050 Travel Demand .....	1-18
Figure 1-8. 2035 and 2050 Unmet Person Trip Demand.....	1-20
Figure 1-9. 2035 Peak Period Peak Direction Travel Time.....	1-22
Figure 1-10. Problem Areas for Mobility, Congestion, and Safety .....	1-23
Figure 1-11. 2000 and 2035 Hours of Congestion.....	1-25
Figure 2-1. Alternatives Screening Process.....	2-4
Figure 2-2. No Action Alternative .....	2-25
Figure 2-3. Minimal Action Alternative .....	2-28
Figure 2-4. 65 mph Local Tunnel Alternatives .....	2-32
Figure 2-5. Components of Transit Alternatives .....	2-34
Figure 2-6. Components of Highway Alternatives.....	2-35
Figure 2-7. Components of Combination Six-Lane Highway with Rail and Intermountain Connection Alternative.....	2-36
Figure 2-8. Components of Combination Six-Lane Highway with Advanced Guideway System Alternatives .....	2-37
Figure 2-9. Components of Combination Six-Lane Highway with Dual-Mode Bus in Guideway Alternatives .....	2-38
Figure 2-10. Components of Combination Six-Lane Highway with Diesel Bus in Guideway Alternatives .....	2-39
Figure 2-11. Preferred Alternative.....	2-47

## Table of Contents

Figure 2-12. 2035 Peak Period Peak Direction Travel Time by Alternative .....	2-51
Figure 2-13. 2035 Peak Period Peak Direction Hours of Congestion by Alternative .....	2-53
Figure 2-14. Corridor Congestion and Additional Demand Accommodated by Alternative .....	2-55
Figure 2-15. Transit Share by Alternative .....	2-57
Figure 2-16. Fatality Rates by Alternative .....	2-59
Figure 2-17. Year of Network Capacity by Alternative .....	2-61
Figure 2-18. Capital Costs by Alternative .....	2-69
Figure 3.2-1. Life Zones and Elevations .....	3.2-5
Figure 3.2-2. Key Wildlife Habitat .....	3.2-7
Figure 3.2-3. Fisheries and Vegetation .....	3.2-9
Figure 3.3-1. Wetlands.....	3.3-4
Figure 3.4-1. I-70 Corridor Watersheds .....	3.4-5
Figure 3.5-1. Geologic Hazards in the Corridor .....	3.5-3
Figure 3.6-1. Regulated Materials and Historic Mining Sites .....	3.6-15
Figure 3.7-1. Land Ownership in the Corridor.....	3.7-3
Figure 3.8-1. Social and Economic Conditions in the Corridor .....	3.8-4
Figure 3.10-1. Communities Measured for Noise Levels .....	3.10-3
Figure 3.11-1. Eastern View of Tenmile Canyon .....	3.11-2
Figure 3.11-2. Eastern View of Herman Gulch .....	3.11-3
Figure 3.11-3. Western View at Buffalo Overlook.....	3.11-3
Figure 3.11-4. Scenery Analysis Units: Garfield to Summit Counties .....	3.11-4
Figure 3.11-5. Scenery Analysis Units: Summit to Jefferson Counties.....	3.11-5
Figure 3.12-1. Recreation Sites in the I-70 Mountain Corridor .....	3.12-4
Figure 3.13-1. Historic Properties in the Corridor .....	3.13-5
Figure 3.14-1. Potential Section 4(f) Properties.....	3.14-7
Figure 3.14-2. Georgetown-Silver Plume National Historic Landmark District.....	3.14-9
Figure 3.14-3. Recreation Activities in Clear Creek County Greenway .....	3.14-11
Figure 3.14-4. Potential 4(f) Properties (Mileposts 223-230) .....	3.14-14
Figure 3.14-5. Potential Section 4(f) Properties (Mileposts 238-241) .....	3.14-18
Figure 3.15-1. Areas of Paleontological Sensitivity in the Corridor .....	3.15-3
Figure 4-1. Cumulative Impacts Study Area .....	4-4
Figure 4-2a. Changes That Have Occurred in the Georgetown Area with the Development of I-70 to Its Current State (in 2000) .....	4-6
Figure 4-2b. Changes That Have Occurred in the Georgetown Area with the Development of I-70 to Its Current State (in 2000) .....	4-7
Figure 4-3. Changes to the Georgetown Area from 1901 to the Present .....	4-8
Figure 5-1. Capital Cost by Alternative .....	5-2

## List of Charts

Chart ES - 1. Capital Costs by Alternative .....	ES-23
Chart 3.2-1. Vegetation, Direct Impacts (Acres) .....	3.2-11
Chart 3.2-2. Habitat Loss, Direct Impacts (Acres) .....	3.2-12
Chart 3.2-3. Impacts on Gold Medal and “High-Value” Fisheries (Acres).....	3.2-14
Chart 3.4-1. Predicted Corridor Stream Loading Impacts by Alternative .....	3.4-7
Chart 3.7-1. Summary of Properties within Project Footprint by Alternative .....	3.7-7
Chart 3.12-1. Summary of Potential Impacts on Recreation Resources by Alternative.....	3.12-6
Chart 4-1. Corridor Cumulative Impacts on Land Use by 2035 .....	4-12
Chart 5-1. Capital Costs by Alternative .....	5-2

**List of Tables**

Table 2-1. Transportation Management Alternative Elements.....2-7

Table 2-2. Localized Highway Improvement Alternative Elements .....2-9

Table 2-3. Fixed Guideway Transit Alternative Elements .....2-12

Table 2-4. Rubber Tire Transit Alternative Elements .....2-15

Table 2-5. Highway Improvement Alternative Elements .....2-17

Table 2-6. Alternate Route Alternative Elements.....2-19

Table 2-7. Aviation Alternative Elements .....2-21

Table 2-8. Tunnel Alternative Elements .....2-22

Table 2-9. Minimal Action Components Associated with Action Alternatives .....2-40

Table 2-10. Components of Preferred Alternative .....2-45

Table 2-11. Protected Species Impact Determinations.....2-64

Table 3.1-1. Estimated Pollutant Emissions by Alternative.....3.1-3

Table 3.2-1. Vegetation Communities and Associated Life Zone .....3.2-5

Table 3.2-2. Gold Medal and “High-Value” Fisheries.....3.2-8

Table 3.2-3. Protected Species Impact Determinations.....3.2-16

Table 3.4-1. Summary of Stream Channel Impacts (Miles) .....3.4-9

Table 3.6-1. Federal and State Superfund Sites, Generators, and Releases.....3.6-3

Table 3.6-2. Listed Storage Tank Sites.....3.6-3

Table 3.6-3. Summary of Impacts.....3.6-9

Table 3.7-1. Summary of Corridor County Master Plan Topics Related to the Corridor.....3.7-4

Table 3.10-1. Measured Noise Levels 2001–2004 .....3.10-4

Table 3.10-2. 2035 Predicted Noise Levels .....3.10-5

Table 3.12-1. Indirect Impacts on Forest Land Visitation: Annual Change  
in Destination Trips (In Millions).....3.12-7

Table 3.13-1. Comparison of Direct Impacts on Historic Properties .....3.13-6

Table 3.14-1. Potential for Use of Historic Properties by Alternative .....3.14-13

Table 3.14-2. Potential Use of Section 4(f) Parks, Recreation Areas, and Wildlife  
Refuges .....3.14-17

Table 3.16-1. Daily Operational Energy Consumption – Based on 2035 Travel Demand....3.16-5

Table 3.16-2. Construction Energy Consumption – Based on 2035 Travel Demand,  
in Billion British Thermal Units .....3.16-6

Table 3.19-1. Mitigation Strategies .....3.19-3

Table 4-1. Cumulative Impacts Issues.....4-2

Table 4-2. Cumulative Impacts (acres) on Key Wildlife Habitat in the Corridor .....4-13

Table 4-3. Annual Carbon Dioxide Emissions .....4-26

**List of Technical Reports Contained in Electronic Appendix**

- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Travel Demand Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Transportation Analysis Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Alternatives Development and Screening Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Safety Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Climate and Air Quality Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Biological Resources Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Wetlands and Other Waters of the U.S. Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Water Resources Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Geologic Hazards Technical Report*
- I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Regulated Materials and Historic Mining Technical Report*

## Table of Contents

*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Land Use Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Social and Economic Values Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Environmental Justice Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Noise Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Visual Resources Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Recreation Resources Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Historic Properties and Native American Consultation  
Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Section 4(f) Evaluation Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Paleontological Resources Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Energy Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Cumulative Impacts Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Financial Considerations Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Cost Estimates Technical Report*  
*I-70 Mountain Corridor PEIS Public and Agency Involvement Technical Report*